arXiv:1602.02399v1 [math.DS] 7 Feb 2016

Chains of compact cylinders for cusp-generic nearly integrable convex systems on $\mathbb{A}^3$

Jean-Pierre Marco *

Abstract

This paper is the first of a series of three dedicated to a proof of the Arnold diffusion conjecture for perturbations of convex integrable Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{A}^3 = \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

We consider systems of the form $H(\theta, r) = h(r) + f(\theta, r)$, where h is a C^κ strictly convex and superlinear function on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $f \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$, $\kappa \geqslant 2$. Given $\mathbf{e} > \text{Min } h$ and a finite family of arbitrary open sets O_i in $\mathbb{R}^3$ intersecting $h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$, a *diffusion orbit* associated with these data is an orbit of H which intersects each open set $\widehat{O}_i = \mathbb{T}^3 \times O_i \subset \mathbb{A}^3$.

The first main result of this paper (Theorem I) states the existence (under cusp-generic conditions on f in Mather's terminology) of "chains of compact and normally hyperbolic invariant 3-dimensional cylinders" intersecting each $\widehat{O}_i$. Diffusion orbits drifting along these chains are then proved to exist in [GM, Mar]. The second main result (Theorem II) consists in a precise description of the hyperbolic features of classical systems (sum of a quadratic kinetic energy and a potential) on $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, which is a crucial step to prove Theorem I.

The cylinders are either diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$ or to the product of $\mathbb{T}$ with a sphere with three holes. A chain at energy $\mathbf{e}$ for H is a finite family of such cylinders, which are contained in $H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ and admit heteroclinic connections between them. The cylinders satisfy additional dynamical properties which ensure the existence, up to an arbitrarily small perturbation, of orbits of H drifting along them (and so along the chain).

The content of Theorem I is the following. Assuming κ large enough, we prove that for every $\mathbf{f}$ in an *open dense* subset of the unit sphere in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$, there is a lower semicontinuous threshold $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{f}) > 0$ for which, when $\varepsilon \in]0, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(f)[$, the system $H = h + \varepsilon\mathbf{f}$ admits a chain at energy $\mathbf{e}$ which intersects each $\widehat{O}_i$.

To prove this result we approximate the system H by local normal forms near resonances, and we distinguish between "strong double resonance" points and "simple resonance" curves. In both cases we first detect normally hyperbolic objects invariant under the normal forms obtained by averaging with respect to two fast angles (in the simple resonance case) or a single fast angle (in the double resonance case).

Along simple resonance curves, the approximate systems are one-parameter families of pendulums on $\mathbb{A}$, while the main role at strong double resonance points is played by classical systems on $\mathbb{A}^2$, whose study in the generic case is the content of Theorem II. Given a generic classical system on $\mathbb{A}^2$, for any integer homology class c we first prove the existence of an associated "chain of heteroclinically connected 2-annuli realizing c," which is asymptotic both to the critical energy (maximal value of the potential) and to the infinite energy. We then prove the existence of a singular annulus, and we finally prove that for any c, the associated chain admits heteroclinic connections with that singular annulus.

Along simple resonance curves, the normalized cylinders are the product of the one-parameter family of fixed points of the pendulums with the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ of fast angles, while near the double resonance points the cylinders are the product of the annuli (or the singular annuli) in the classical system with the circle $\mathbb{T}$ of the fast angle. We get the corresponding invariant objects for H by normally hyperbolic persistence and KAM type results to deal with the invariance of the boundaries (2-dimensional tori). We finally prove the existence of a rich homoclinic and heteroclinic structure for these objects, which gives rise to the chains.

*Université Paris 6, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris cedex 05. E-mail: jean-pierre.marco@imj-prg.fr

Introduction and main results

Given $n \geqslant 1$, we denote by $\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ the cotangent bundle of the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$, endowed with its natural angle-action coordinates (θ, r) and its usual symplectic structure. This paper is the first of a series of three dedicated to a proof of the Arnold diffusion conjecture for nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{A}^3$, in the "convex setting" which was introduced by Mather. Two other approaches of the same problem are developped in [C, KZ].

In this paper we focus on the geometric part of our construction, that is, the existence of a "hyperbolic skeleton" for diffusion, formed by chains of compact invariant normally hyperbolic 3-dimensional cylinders, whose existence is the content of Theorem I. The proof of the existence of diffusion orbits drifting along chains is the object of [GM, Mar]. The proof of Theorem I necessitates in particular a detailed analysis of the hyperbolic properties of generic classical systems (sum of a quadratic energy and a potential function) on $\mathbb{A}^2$, which constitutes the second main result of the present paper (Theorem II).

1 The general setting

1. In [Arn64], Arnold introduced the first example of an "unstable" family of Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{A}^3$, namely:

$$H_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = r_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}(r_2^2 + r_3^2) + \varepsilon(\cos\theta_3 - 1) + \mu(\varepsilon)(\cos\theta_3 - 1)g(\theta), \tag{1}$$

where g is a suitably chosen trigonometric polynomial, $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough and $\mu(\varepsilon) \ll \varepsilon$. The main result of Arnold is the existence of $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, the system H_ε admits an "unstable solution" $\gamma_\varepsilon(t) = \big(\theta(t), r(t)\big)$ such that

$$r_2(0) < 0, \qquad r_2(T_\varepsilon) > 1, \tag{2}$$

for some (large) T_ε. In view of this result and the associated constructions, Arnold conjectured (see [Arn94]) that for "typical" systems of the form $H_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = h(r) + \varepsilon f(\theta, r, \varepsilon)$ on $\mathbb{A}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, the projection in action of some orbits should visit any element of a prescribed collection of arbitrary open sets intersecting a connected component of a level set of h. Orbits experiencing this behavior are said to be *diffusion orbits*.

This conjecture motivated a number of works, first in a sligthly different context. Namely, setting $\varepsilon = 1$ in (1) yields a simpler class of systems for which the unperturbed part no longer depends on the actions only, but still remains completely integrable (with nondegenerate hyperbolicity). It became a challenging question to prove the existence of unstable solutions (2) for the slightly more general class of systems

$$G_\mu(\theta, r) = r_1 + \tfrac{1}{2}(r_2^2 + r_3^2) + (\cos\theta_3 - 1) + \mu g(\theta, r), \tag{3}$$

where g belongs to a residual subset of a small enough ball in some appropriate function space (finitely or infinitely differentiable, Gevrey, analytic). This setting (with its natural generalizations) is now called the *a priori unstable* case of Arnold diffusion. In [GM] we set out a geometric framework to deal with such systems, see [Ber08, BKZ, BT99, CY09, DdlLS00, DdlLS06a, DdlLS06b, FM03, GT, GdlL06, GR13, GLS, Moe02, Tre04] amongst others for different approaches.

2. In this paper we focus on the so-called *a priori* stable case, that is, we consider perturbations of integrable systems on $\mathbb{A}^3$ which depend only on the actions. Our goal is to analyze the hyperbolic structure of such systems (under nondegeneracy conditions) and prove the existence of "many" 3 and 4 dimensional hyperbolic invariant submanifolds with a rich homoclinic structure, which in addition form well-defined "chains" (in the spirit of the initial approach of Arnold in [Arn64]). This geometric framework will in turn enable us in [Mar] to use in the *a priori* stable setting the "*a priori* unstable techniques" introduced in [GM], and prove the existence of orbits drifting along such chains.

3. Let us briefly describe our setting, beginning with the functional spaces. For $2 \leqslant \kappa < +\infty$, we equip $C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3) := C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3, \mathbb{R})$ with the uniform seminorm

$$\|f\|_\kappa = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}^6,\ 0\leqslant|k|\leqslant\kappa} \|\partial^k f\|_{C^0(\mathbb{A}^3)} \leqslant +\infty$$

and we set $C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3) = \big\{f \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3) \mid \|f\|_\kappa < +\infty\big\}$, so that $\big(C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3), \| \ \|_\kappa\big)$ is a Banach algebra. We consider systems on $\mathbb{A}^3$, of the form

$$H(\theta, r) = h(r) + f(\theta, r), \tag{4}$$

where $h : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is C^κ and the perturbation $f \in C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3)$ is small enough.

4. A first restriction imposed by Mather in [Mat03] in order to use variational methods is that the unperturbed part h is strictly convex with superlinear growth at infinity (that is, $\lim_{\|r\|\to+\infty} h(r)/\|r\| \to +\infty$). Such Hamiltonians are referred to as *Tonelli Hamiltonians*. We will also limit ourselves to Tonelli Hamiltonians here, since convexity will be necessary in our constructions in the neighborhood of double resonance points.

5. A usual way to deal with the smallness condition on f, as already illustrated by (1), is to prove the occurrence of diffusion orbits for all systems in "segments" originating at h, of the form

$$\big\{H_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = h(r) + \varepsilon f(\theta, r) \mid \varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0]\big\} \tag{5}$$

where f is a fixed function. This makes it natural that the smallness threshold ε_0 may explicitely depend on f, however this would not be appropriate in our setting since it seems difficult to prove the existence of diffusion over *whole* segments such as (5). To take this observation into account, following Mather, we use a more global framework and introduce "anisotropic balls" in which the diffusion phenomenon can be expected to occur generically. Let $\mathcal{S}^\kappa$ be the unit sphere in $C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3)$. Given $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0 : \mathcal{S}^\kappa \to [0, +\infty[$ (a "threshold function"), we define the associated $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0$-ball:

$$\mathscr{B}^\kappa(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0) := \big\{\varepsilon\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{S}^\kappa,\ \varepsilon \in]0, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0(\mathbf{f})[\big\}. \tag{6}$$

6. This yields the following version of the diffusion conjecture[1], to be compared with [Arn94].

Diffusion conjecture in the convex setting. *Consider a C^κ integrable Tonelli Hamiltonian h on $\mathbb{A}^3$. Fix an energy* $\mathbf{e}$ *larger than* Min h *and a finite family of arbitrary open sets $O_1, \dots, O_m$ which intersect $h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$. Then for $\kappa \geqslant \kappa_0$ large enough, there exists a lower semicontinuous function*

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0 : \mathcal{S}^\kappa \to [0, +\infty[\tag{7}$$

[1] Mather's formulation is indeed still more precise and involved

with positive values on an open dense subset of $\mathcal{S}^\kappa$ such that for f in an open and dense subset of $\mathscr{B}^\kappa(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0)$, the system

$$H(\theta, r) = h(r) + f(\theta, r) \tag{8}$$

admits an orbit which intersects each $\mathbb{T}^3 \times O_i$.

7. The zeros of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0$ correspond to directions along which diffusion cannot occur. Simple examples show that such directions exist in general: for instance if $h(r) = \frac{1}{2}(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)$, each system $H_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon f$ with $f(\theta) = \sin\theta_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ is completely integrable and does not admit diffusion orbits for ε small enough. Note also that since $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0$ is assumed to be lower semicontinuous, the associated ball is open in $C_b^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$. In view of the shape of $\mathscr{B}^\kappa(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0)$, a residual subset in such a ball is said to be *cusp-residual* and a property which holds on a cusp-residual subset is said to be *cusp-generic*.



Figure 1: A generalized ball

8. Our purpose in this paper is to set out a list of nondegeneracy conditions on the perturbation f which yield the existence of "a small amount of hyperbolicity" in the system $H = h + f$, from which we can deduce the existence of chains of normally hyperbolic objects which intersect the collection of open sets $\mathbb{T}^3 \times O_i$. We then prove that these conditions are satisfied for *any*[2] f in some generalized ball $\mathscr{B}^\kappa(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0)$, where the threshold function satisfies the conditions of the previous conjecture. This is the content of Theorem I stated in Section 2 of this Introduction. As in Nekhoroshev's approach of exponential stability, our analysis necessitates to discriminate between "strong double resonances" and "almost simple resonances" of the unperturbed Hamiltonian h. While the analysis along simple resonances is quite straightforward, the neighborhood of strong double resonances needs a precise description of the hyperbolic behavior of generic classical systems on the annulus $\mathbb{A}^2$. This is the second main result of this paper (Theorem II), stated in Section 3 of this Introduction.

2 Cylinders, chains and Theorem I

1. Before stating Theorem I we briefly describe the various objects involved in our construction. More precise definitions are given in Section 1 of Part I. Let X be a C^1 complete vector field on a smooth manifold M, with flow Φ. Let p be an integer $\geqslant 1$.

• We say that $\mathscr{C} \subset M$ is a C^p *invariant cylinder with boundary* for X if $\mathscr{C}$ is a submanifold of M, C^p–diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$, which is invariant under the flow of X: $\Phi^t(\mathscr{C}) = \mathscr{C}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

[2] an additional perturbation will be necessary in order to get the diffusion orbits drifting along the chains, which explains the restriction to residual subsets of generalized balls in the previous conjecture

• We denote by $\mathbf{Y}$ any realization of the two-sphere S^2 minus three open discs with nonintersecting closures, so that $\partial\mathbf{Y}$ is the union of three circles. We say that $\mathscr{C}_\bullet \subset M$ is an *invariant singular cylinder* for X if $\mathscr{C}_\bullet$ is a C^1 submanifold of M, diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}\times\mathbf{Y}$ and invariant under Φ. The boundary of a singular cylinder is the disjoint union of three tori.

Throughout this paper we will consider vector fields generated by Hamiltonian functions $H\in C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$, $\kappa\geqslant 2$. The cylinders or singular cylinders will be contained in regular levels of H.



Figure 2: 3-dimensional cylinder and singular cylinder

2. The notion of normal hyperbolicity for submanifolds with boundary requires some care. We introduce in Section 1 of Part I and Appendix A a simple definition for the normal hyperbolicity of cylinders and singular cylinders, which coincides with the usual one (see [Cha04, Cha08]) but is better adapted to our subsequent constructions. In particular, normally hyperbolic cylinders and singular cylinders admit well-defined 4-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds, contained in their energy level.

3. In addition to the normal hyperbolicity, we will require our cylinders to admit global Poincaré sections, diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}\times[0,1]$, whose associated Poincaré maps satisfy a twist condition (with a similar property for singular cylinders). This enables us to define a particular class of 2-dimensional invariant tori contained in these cylinders, which we call *essential tori*. Analogous (but slightly more involved) notions will be defined for singular cylinders. Moreover, we will require specific homoclinic conditions to be satisfied by the cylinders, which yields the notion of *admissible cylinders*.

4. Finally, we will introduce various heteroclinic conditions which will have to be satisfied by pairs of cylinders. This makes it possible to define *admissible chains*, that is, finite families $(\mathscr{C}_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant k_*}$ of admissible cylinders or singular cylinders, in which two consecutive elements satisfy these heteroclinic conditions.

5. The main result of Part I is the following.

Theorem I. (Cusp-generic existence of admissible chains.) *Consider a C^κ integrable Tonelli Hamiltonian h on $\mathbb{A}^3$. Fix $\mathbf{e}>\operatorname{Min} h$ and a finite family of open sets $O_1,\dots,O_m$ which intersect $h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$. Fix $\delta>0$. Then for $\kappa\geqslant\kappa_0$ large enough, there exists a lower semicontinous function*

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0 : \mathscr{S}^\kappa \to \mathbb{R}^+$$

with positive values on an open dense subset of $\mathscr{S}^\kappa$ such that for $f\in\mathscr{B}^\kappa(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0)$ the system

$$H(\theta,r) = h(r) + f(\theta,r) \tag{9}$$

admits an admissible chain of cylinders and singular cylinders, such that each open set $\mathbb{T}^3\times O_k$ contains the δ-neighborhood in $\mathbb{A}^3$ of some essential torus of the chain.

6. One can be more precise and localize the previous chain. Since h is a Tonelli Hamiltonian, one readily checks that $\omega := \nabla h$ is a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^3$ onto $\mathbb{R}^3$, and that the level set

$h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ is diffeomorphic to S^2. Given an indivisible vector $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3\backslash\{0\}$, set

$$\Gamma_k = \omega^{-1}(k^\perp) \cap h^{-1}(\mathbf{e}),$$

where $k^\perp$ is the plane orthogonal to k for the Euclidean structure of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then one checks that Γ_k is diffeomorphic to a circle, and that if $k \neq k'$ then Γ_k and $\Gamma_{k'}$ intersect at exactly two points (such intersection points are said to be *double resonance points*). By projective density, it is possible to choose a family $k_1, \ldots, k_{m-1}$ of indivisible and pairwise independent vectors of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ such that

- Γ_{k_1} intersects O_1 and $\Gamma_{k_{m-1}}$ intersects O_m;
- for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$, $\Gamma_{k_{i-1}} \cap \Gamma_{k_i}$ contains a point $a_i \in O_i$.

Fix $a_1 \in \Gamma_{k_1} \cap O_1$ and $a_m \in \Gamma_{k_{m-1}} \cap O_m$. Fix an arbitrary orientation on each circle Γ_{k_i} and let $[a_i, a_{i+1}]_{\Gamma_i}$ be the segment of Γ_i bounded by a_i and a_{i+1} according to this orientation. Set finally

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1} [a_i, a_{i+1}]_{\Gamma_i}.$$



Figure 3: A "broken line" Γ of resonance arcs

We will prove that one can choose $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0$ in Theorem I so that for $f \in \mathscr{B}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0)$ the projection to $\mathbb{R}^3$ of the admissible chain is located in a $\rho(f)$-tubular neighborhood of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, whose radius $\rho(f)$ tends to 0 when $f \to 0$ in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$.

3 Generic hyperbolic properties of classical systems on $\mathbb{A}^2$

A *classical system on* $\mathbb{A}^2$ is a Hamiltonian of the form

$$C(\theta, r) = \tfrac{1}{2}T(r) + U(\theta), \qquad (\theta, r) \in \mathbb{A}^2 \tag{10}$$

where T is a positive definite quadratic form of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and U a C^κ potential function on $\mathbb{T}^2$, where $\kappa \geqslant 2$. In the sequel we will require the potential U to admit a single maximum at some x^0, which is nondegenerate in the sense that the Hessian of U is negative definite. Consequently, the lift of x^0 to the zero section of $\mathbb{A}^2$ is a hyperbolic fixed point which we denote by O. We set $\overline{e} = \operatorname{Max} U$ and we say that $\overline{e}$ is the *critical energy* for C. Such systems appear (generically), *up to a non symplectic rescaling* $r - r^0 = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\overline{r}$ in the neighborhood of a double resonance point r^0 of the initial system (9), as the main part of normal forms (we did not change the notation

of the variables here). The energy of C is not directly related to the initial energy $\mathbf{e}$ of (9), but the difference $e - \overline{e}$ has rather to be though of as the distance to the double resonance point (in projection to the action space) rescaled by the factor $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. The aim of Part II is to depict some hyperbolic properties of C, when T *is fixed* and U belongs to a residual subset of $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$, κ large enough.

1. The following definition will be used throughout the paper.

Definition 1. *Let $c \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. An* annulus for X^C realizing c and defined over I *is a 2-dimensional submanifold A, contained in $C^{-1}(I) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$, such that for each $e \in I$, $\mathsf{A} \cap C^{-1}(e)$ is the orbit of a periodic solution γ_e of X^C, which is hyperbolic in $C^{-1}(e)$ and such that the projection $\pi \circ \gamma_e$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$ realizes c. We also require the period of the orbits to increase with the energy and that for each $e \in I$, the periodic orbit γ_e admits a homoclinic orbit along which $W^\pm(\gamma_e)$ intersect transversely in $C^{-1}(e)$. Finally, we require the existence of a finite partition $I = I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_n$ in consecutive intervals such that the previous homoclinic orbit varies continuously for $e \in I_i$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.*

When I is compact, the annulus A is clearly normally hyperbolic in the usual sense (the boundary causes no trouble is this simple setting). The stable and unstable manifolds of A are well-defined, as the unions of those of the periodic solutions γ_e. Moreover, A can be continued to an annulus defined over a slightly larger interval $I' \supset I$.

In the aforementioned normalization process of (9) near a double resonance point r^0, the interval over which an annulus is defined will be crucial for its localization with respect to r^0.

2. Note that, due to the reversibility of C, the solutions of the vector field X^C occur in "opposite pairs", whose time parametrizations are exchanged by the symmetry $t \mapsto -t$.

Definition 2. *Let $c \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})\backslash\{0\}$. A* singular annulus for X^C realizing $\pm c$ *is a C^1 compact invariant submanifold $\mathbf{Y}$ of $\mathbb{A}^2$, diffeomorphic to the sphere S^2 minus three disjoint open discs with disjoint closures (so that $\partial\mathbf{Y}$ is the disjoint union of three circles), such that there exist constants $e_* < \overline{e} < e^*$ which satisfy:*

- *$\mathbf{Y} \cap C^{-1}(\overline{e})$ is the union of the hyperbolic fixed point O and a pair of opposite homoclinic orbits,*
- *$\mathbf{Y} \cap C^{-1}(]\overline{e}, e^*])$ admits two connected components $\mathbf{Y}^+$ and $\mathbf{Y}^-$, which are annuli defined over the interval $]\overline{e}, e^*]$ and realizing c and $-c$ respectively,*
- *$\mathbf{Y}^0 = \mathbf{Y} \cap C^{-1}([e_*, \overline{e}[)$ is an annulus realizing the null class 0.*



Figure 4: A singular 2-dimensional annulus

A singular annulus, endowed with its induced dynamics, is essentially the phase space of a simple pendulum from which an open neighborhood of the elliptic fixed point has been removed.

According to the remark on the interpretation of the energy of C, a singular annulus is to be though of as located "at the center" of the double resonance for the initial system (9).

3. We will need the following notion of chains[3] of annuli for C, from which we will deduce the existence and properties of the chains of cylinders near the double resonance points.

Definition 3. *Let $c \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. We say that a family $(I_i)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant i_*}$ of nontrivial intervals, contained and closed in the energy interval $]\overline{e}, +\infty[$, is* ordered *when* $\operatorname{Max} I_i = \operatorname{Min} I_{i+1}$ *for* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant i_* - 1$. *A* chain of annuli realizing c *is a family $(\mathsf{A}_i)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant i_*}$ of annuli realizing c, defined over an ordered family $(I_i)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant i_*}$, with the additional property*

$$W^-(\mathsf{A}_i) \cap W^+(\mathsf{A}_{i+1}) \neq \varnothing, \qquad W^+(\mathsf{A}_i) \cap W^-(\mathsf{A}_{i+1}) \neq \varnothing,$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant i_* - 1$.

The last condition is equivalent to assuming that the boundary periodic orbits of A_i and A_{i+1} at energy $e = \operatorname{Max} I_i = \operatorname{Min} I_{i+1}$ admit heteroclinic orbits[4].

4. We can now state the main result of Part II. We say that $c \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \{0\}$ is *primitive* when the equality $c = mc'$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ implies $m = \pm 1$. We denote by $\mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ the set of primitive homology classes, by $\mathbf{d}$ be the Hausdorff distance for compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and by $\Pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ the canonical projection.

Theorem II. (Generic hyperbolic properties of classical systems). *Let T be a quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and for $\kappa \geqslant 2$, let $\mathscr{U}_0^\kappa \subset C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$ be the set of potentials with a single and nondegenerate maximum. Then for $\kappa \geqslant \kappa_0$ large enough, there exists a residual subset*

$$\mathscr{U}(T) \subset \mathscr{U}_0^\kappa \tag{11}$$

in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that for $U \in \mathscr{U}$, the associated classical system $C = \frac{1}{2}T + U$ satisfies the following properties.

1. *For each $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ there exists a chain $\mathbf{A}(c) = (\mathsf{A}_0, \dots, \mathsf{A}_m)$ of annuli realizing c, defined over ordered intervals $I_0, \dots, I_m$, such that the first and last intervals are of the form*
$$I_0 =]\operatorname{Max} U, e_m] \quad \text{and} \quad I_m = [e_P, +\infty[,$$
for suitable constants e_m and e_P (which we call the Poincaré energy).

2. *Given two primitive classes $c \neq c'$, there exists $\sigma \in \{-1, +1\}$ such that the two chains $\mathbf{A}(c) = (\mathsf{A}_i)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ and $\mathbf{A}(\sigma c') = (\mathsf{A}'_i)_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant m'}$ satisfy*
$$W^-(\mathsf{A}_0) \cap W^+(\mathsf{A}'_0) \neq \varnothing \quad \text{and} \quad W^-(\mathsf{A}'_0) \cap W^+(\mathsf{A}_0) \neq \varnothing,$$
both heteroclinic intersections being transverse in $\mathbb{A}^2$.

3. *There exists a singular annulus $\mathbf{Y}$ which admits transverse heteroclinic connections with the first annulus of the chain $\mathbf{A}(c)$, for all $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$.*

[3] we keep the same terminology as for the cylinders, with a slightly different sense here

[4] but the previous formulation is more appropriate when hyperbolic continuations of the annuli are involved

4. *Under the canonical identification of* $H_1(\mathbb{T}^2,\mathbb{Z})$ *with* $\mathbb{Z}^2$ *and for* $e>0$*, let us set, for a given primitive class* $c\sim(c_1,c_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2$*:*

$$Y_c(e)=\frac{\sqrt{2e}\,c}{\sqrt{c_1^2+c_2^2}}\in\mathbb{R}^2$$

Let $\mathbf{A}(c)=(\mathsf{A}_0,\dots,\mathsf{A}_m)$ *be the associated chain and set* $\Gamma_e=\mathsf{A}_m\cap C^{-1}(e)$ *for* $e\in[e_P,+\infty[$*. Then*

$$\lim_{e\to+\infty}\mathbf{d}\big(\Pi(\Gamma_e),\{Y_c(e)\}\big)=0.$$

We say that a chain with I_0 and I_m as in the first item is *biasymptotic to* $\overline{e}:=\mathrm{Max}\,U$ *and to* $+\infty$. We will not only consider chains formed by annuli only, but also "generalized ones" in which we will allow one annulus to be singular. With this terminology, one can rephrase the content of items 1 and 3 of previous theorem in the following concise way: for $U\in\mathscr{U}$ and for each pair of classes $c,c'\in\mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2,\mathbb{Z})$, there exists a generalized chain:

$$\mathsf{A}_m\leftrightarrow\cdots\leftrightarrow\mathsf{A}_1\leftrightarrow\mathbf{Y}\leftrightarrow\mathsf{A}'_1\leftrightarrow\cdots\leftrightarrow\mathsf{A}'_{m'}$$

(where $\leftrightarrow$ stands for the heteroclinic connections) which is biasymptotic to $+\infty$, and realize c and c' respectively. This is indeed the main ingredient of our subsequent constructions, to get the part of the chains of cylinders located in the neighborhood of the "double resonance points". Item 4 will serve us to precisely localize the extremal cylinders, while item 2, which we find interesting in itself, will not be used in the construction of our chains.

In the r–plane, one therefore gets the following symbolic picture for the projection of 6 generalized chains of annuli, where the annuli are represented by fat segments, the singular annulus by a fat segment with a circle and the various heteroclinic connections are represented by $\leftrightarrow$.



Figure 5: Projections in action of chains of annuli

The projections of the annuli on the action space are in fact more complicated than lines, they are rather 2–dimensional submanifolds with boundary, which tend to a line when the energy grows to infinity.

4 Outline of the proofs

Part I essentially relies on the result of Part II, which will be described separately.

4.1 Outline of the proof of Theorem I

• In this description we look at simplified model of the form $H_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon f$, where we assume $h(r) = \frac{1}{2}(r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)$. We fix an energy $\mathbf{e} > 0$ and consider the broken line $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ defined in Section 2. Fix an arc $\Gamma = \Gamma_{k_i}$ from $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ and assume, again for simplicity, that $k_i = (0, 0, 1)$, so that Γ is contained in the plane $r_3 = 0$, and $r \in \Gamma$ if and only if:

$$\omega(r) = \nabla h(r) = (r_1, r_2, 0), \qquad h(r_1, r_2, 0) = \mathbf{e}.$$

One can assume without loss of generality that the endpoints of Γ are double resonance points, that is, the frequency $\widehat{\omega}(r) := (r_1, r_2)$ lies on a rational line of $\mathbb{R}^2$. To prove the existence of cylinders whose projection in action lies along Γ, we will first average the perturbation *as much as possible* in order to get simplified systems which admit cylinders. We then use normally hyperbolic persistence to prove that these cylinders give rise to cylinders in the initial system, provided that the averaged systems are close enough to the initial one.

• Given $r^0 \in \Gamma$, when $\widehat{\omega}(r^0)$ is "sufficiently nonresonant", one proves that the system H_ε is conjugated to the normal form

$$N_s(\theta, r) = h(r) + \varepsilon V(\theta_3, r) + R_s(\theta, r, \varepsilon) \tag{12}$$

in the neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \{r^0\}$, with

$$V(\theta_3, r) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f\big((\widehat{\theta}, \theta_3), r\big)\, d\widehat{\theta}, \qquad \widehat{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2), \tag{13}$$

and where R_s is small in some C^k topology.

• When r varies on a small closed segment $S \subset \Gamma$ around r^0, the truncated normal form

$$\tfrac{1}{2}(r_1^2 + r_2^2) + \big[\tfrac{1}{2}r_3^2 + \varepsilon V(\theta_3, r)\big] \tag{14}$$

appears as the skew-product of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\frac{1}{2}(r_1^2 + r_2^2)$ with a family of "generalized pendulums", functions of $(\theta_3, r_3) \in \mathbb{A}$, parametrized by $r \in S$ (the fact that r_3 itself appears in the parameter is here innocuous). For each value of the parameter, the latter pendulums are therefore completely integrable. Assume moreover that $V(\,\cdot\,, r)$ admits a single and nondegenerate maximum at some point $\theta_3^*(r)$, and, for simplicity, that $V\big(\theta_3^*(r), r\big) = 0$. Then the point $(\theta_3^*(r), r_3 = 0)$ is hyperbolic for the Hamiltonian $\frac{1}{2}r_3^2 + \varepsilon V(\theta_3, r)$ and one immediately gets the existence of a normally hyperbolic cylinder $\mathcal{C}$ at energy $\mathbf{e}$ for N_s by taking the the product of the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ of the angles $\widehat{\theta}$ with the curve

$$r \in S, \quad \theta_3 = \theta_3^*(r).$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]$, so that its boundary is the disjoint union of two 2-dimensional isotropic tori.

• When the remainder R_s is small enough in the C^2 topology, the previous cylinder persists by normal hyperbolicity *provided that its boundary persists*, which will comes from KAM-type results. This necessitates both R_s to be small in the C^k topology for k large enough and some frequency to be Diophantine, which in turns necessitates a careful choice of the endpoints of the segment S. One main task in Part I is be to determine *maximal* subsegments S of Γ to which the previous description applies.

• We will treat the smallness condition of R_s and the KAM conditions separately. The first remark (see [Ber10b]), is that under appropriate nondegeneracy conditions on f, the smallness condition on R_s holds outside a *finite set* $D \subset \Gamma$ of "strong double resonance points". Consequently, our first step will be to divide Γ into "s–segments" (where s stands for "purely simple") limited by a finite number of consecutive strong double resonance points ($\odot$ in the following picture).

Figure 6: The arc Γ with the strong double resonance points

We prove that global normal forms exist along such segments, which enable us to detect "normally hyperbolic" cylinders (without boundary) which are everywhere tangent to the Hamiltonian vector field, but not necessarily invariant under its flow. Obviously the notion of normal hyperbolicity has to be relaxed beyond its usual sense in this case, which will be done in Section I of Part I. These pseudo invariant cylinders become genuine normally hyperbolic invariant manifolds once the existence of 2 dimensional invariant tori close to their boundaries is proved. We call them the s-cylinders.

• To prove this existence, and overcome the lack of precise estimates on the size of the remainder R_s, we will begin by proving the existence of genuine invariant cylinders *in the neighborhood of the double resonance points*. These cylinders will be called d-cylinders in the following. Thanks to the existence of extremely precise normal forms in the neighborhood of double resonance points[5], their existence is easy to prove taking Theorem II for granted. In particular, we will be able to prove the existence of many 2-dimensional persisting tori inside these cylinders. We will then turn back to the determination of the maximal segments S, by "interpolating" between two d-cylinders located near two consecutive double resonance points, by means of the previous global normal form. This way, the boundaries of the s-cylinders will be proved to belong to the previous family of 2-dimensional tori.

• Let us now describe the construction of the d-cylinders in the neighborhood of a double resonance point. Given such a point r^0, for instance $r^0 = (1, 0, 0)$ for simplicity, the first task is to prove the existence of a conjugacy between the initial system and the normal form

$$\begin{aligned} N_d(\theta, r) &= \tfrac{1}{2} r_1^2 + \left[\tfrac{1}{2}(r_2^2 + r_3^2) + \varepsilon U(\theta_2, \theta_3)\right] + R_d(\theta, r, \varepsilon), \\ U(\theta_2, \theta_3) &:= \int_{\mathbb{T}} f\Big(\big(\theta_1, (\theta_2, \theta_3)\big), r^0\Big)\, d\theta_1, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where now the remainder R_d can be proved to be extremely small (in the C^k topology with large k) over a neighborhood of r^0 of diameter ε^ν, where ν can be arbitrarily chosen in $]0, \frac{1}{2}]$ provided that κ is large enough.

• After performing a $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ dilatation in action, the main role in (15) will be played by the classical system

$$C(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) = \tfrac{1}{2}(r_2^2 + r_3^2) + U(\theta_2, \theta_3)$$

which we will assume to satisfy the genericity conditions of Theorem II. This will provide us with a large family of invariant 2-dimensional annuli for C, realizing any primitive integer homology class of $\mathbb{T}^2$, together with a singular annulus. They constitute chains and "generalized chains" along lines of rational slope in projection to the action space (see Figure 5).

[5] in domains whose size tends to 0 when $\varepsilon \to 0$

• In the truncated normal form

$$\tfrac{1}{2}r_1^2 + \left[\tfrac{1}{2}(r_2^2 + r_3^2) + \varepsilon U(\theta_2, \theta_3)\right]$$

each previous annulus A of C gives rise (up to a rescaling in action) to a cylinder, product of A with the circle $\mathbb{T}$ of the angle θ_1. Again, this cylinder is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0,1]$. Now we can moreover take advantage to the smallness of R_d to prove the persistence of the boundaries by KAM techniques (we will use here Herman's version of the invariant curve theorem). This way we prove the existence in the initial system of a d-cylinder attached to each annulus of C, which lies along a simple resonance curve, whose equation is directly related to the homology class which is relized by A.

The same method enables us to prove the existence of a singular cylinder attached to the singular annulus of C, and which is located "at the center of the double resonance". The length of these cylinders is $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$, due to the rescaling.

One can also prove the existence of heteroclinic orbits between them, as soon as the annuli of C from which they are deduced admit heteroclinic connections. Finally, one crucial remark is then that the extremal cylinders (attached to the extremal annuli of C) can be *continued to a distance $O(\varepsilon^\nu)$ from the double resonance point*. One therefore deduce from Figure 5 the following symbolic picture, now in the initial system and near r^0.



Figure 7: d-cylinders and singular cylinder near a double resonance point

We did not represent the heteroclinic connections since they are immediately deduced from those of Figure 5. In particular, the four cylinders located close to the singular cylinder admit heteroclinic connections with it. The chains of cylinders so obtained lie along the simple resonance curves getting to the double resonance point, and admit connections with the singular cylinder. This enables us to "cross" the double resonance along a simple resonance curve, of to "pass from" one resonance curve to another one.

• Once the existence the d-cylinders is proved for each double resonance point $\odot$ on the segment Γ, we can "interpolate along Γ" between the extremal cylinders attached to two consecutive such points. These extremal cylinders are those attached to the extremal annuli of the classical systems realizing the homology corresponding to the resonance curve Γ. This yields the existence of an s–cylinder, whose projection in action lies along the segment of Γ limited by the double resonance points, and whose "ends" moreover "match" with both extremal cylinders at these points.

The situation is in fact slighly more complicated, due to the possible generic occurrence of *bifurcation points* for the two-phase averaged systems (14). These are the points $r \in \Gamma$ where the potential $V(\,\cdot\,, r)$ admits *two* nondegenerate global maxima instead of a single one. In the neighborhood of these points two cylinders coexist, for which we prove the existence of heteroclinic connections. This yields the following final picture between two double resonance points.



Figure 8: Interpolation between two extremal d-cylinders

• This way one obtains a chain of cylinders and singular cylinders along the segment Γ, by concatenation of the previous chains between consecutive double resonance points. This construction works for each segment Γ_{k_i} of the initial broken line. To get a chain along the full broken line one only has to use the previous description at a double resonance point: the "incoming chain" along Γ_{k_i} is connected to the "outgoing chain" along $\Gamma_{k_{i+1}}$ since the singular cylinder at the point a_i admits heteroclinic connections with the "initial cylinders" in both chains.



Figure 9: Transition between two arcs at a double resonance point

• The previous constructions are possible if f is subjected to a list of nondegeneracy conditions, both along the simple resonance curves involved in the construction of the broken line $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ and in the neighborhood of the strong double resonance points (or the intersection points of two distinct curves in $\mathbf{\Gamma}$). The last step is to prove that these conditions are cusp-residual.

4.2 Outline of the proof of Theorem II

In this part we consider a classical system $C(\theta, r) = \frac{1}{2}T(r) + U(\theta)$ on $T^*\mathbb{T}^2$, under the generic assumption that U admits a single and nondegenerate maximum at θ_0. The lift $O = (\theta_0, 0)$ to the zero section is therefore a hyperbolic fixed point for the vector field X_C. We set $\overline{e} = \text{Max } U$.

• For $e > \overline{e}$, the so-called Jacobi metric induced by C at energy e is defined for $v \in T_\theta\mathbb{T}^2$ by

$$|v|_e = \big(2(e - U(\theta))\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|, \tag{16}$$

where $\|\ \|$ stands for the norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$ associated with the dual of T. The Jacobi-Maupertuis principle states that, up to reparametrization, the solutions of the Hamiltonian vector field X^C in $C^{-1}(e)$ and those of the geodesic vector field X_e induced by $|\ |_e$ in the unit tangent bundle are in one-to-one correspondence.

• Fix a primitive class $c \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. By a simple minimization argument, there exist length-minimizing closed geodesics in the class c for the metric $|\cdot|_e$. As a consequence, for each $e > \overline{e}$, there exist periodic orbits of X^C contained in $C^{-1}(e)$ and realizing c, which we will call minimizing too. It turns out that, generically on U, these orbits are hyperbolic. Moreover, still generically, there is a discrete subset $B(c) \subset]\overline{e}, +\infty[$ such that for $e \in]\overline{e}, +\infty[\backslash B(c)$, the level $C^{-1}(e)$ contains a single minimizing periodic orbit realizing c, while $C^{-1}(e)$ contains exactly two such orbits when $e \in B(c)$. Finally, Hedlund's theorem proves that when $e \in]\overline{e}, +\infty[\backslash B(c)$, the corresponding minimizing periodic orbit admit homoclinic orbits, while the two minimizing orbits at $e \in B(c)$ are connected by heteroclinic orbits.

• Since the orbits are hyperbolic, varying the energy e in the previous description proves the existence of a (possibly infinite) family of annuli $(A_j)_{j\in J}$, defined over the ordered family of intervals $(I_j)_{j\in I}$ limited by consecutive points of $B(c)$ (the constraint of monotonicity of the periods and the existence of continously varying homoclinic orbits in Definition 1 come from more refined considerations). Moreover, each pair of annuli defined over consecutive intervals admit heteroclinic connections by Hedlund's theorem.

It therefore remains to prove that the chain "stabilizes" at both ends, that is, that one can assume J to be finite, of the form $\{1, \dots, m\}$, with $I_1 =]\overline{e}, e_m[$ and $I_m =]e_P, +\infty[$. We refer to the latter as the "high energy annulus" and to the former as the "low energy annulus".

• **The high energy annuli.** To see that the familly stabilizes at high energies, we use the fact that a classical system of the form $C(x,p) = \frac{1}{2}T(p) + U(x)$ at high energy appears as a perturbation of the completely integrable system $\frac{1}{2}T$. The scaling $p = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\overline{p}$ reduces the study of C at high energies e to that of

$$C_\varepsilon(x, \overline{p}) = \tfrac{1}{2}T(\overline{p}) + \varepsilon U(x)$$

for small $\varepsilon \sim 1/e$. We canonically identify $H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Given $c \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we define the c–averaged potential associated with U as the function

$$U_c(\varphi) = \int_0^1 U\big(\varphi + s\,(c_1, c_2)\big)\, ds \tag{17}$$

where φ belongs to the circle $\mathbb{T}^2/T_c \sim \mathbb{T}$, where $T_c = \{\lambda(c_1, c_2)\ [\mathbb{Z}^2] \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Assume that U_c admits a single nondegenerate maximum, which is nondegenerate. Then the classical Poincaré theorem on the creation of hyperbolic periodic solutions by perturbation of periodic tori can be applied at each point $\overline{p}$ with $\|\overline{p}\| \geqslant \mu_0$, for μ_0 large enough, on the simple resonance line $T^{-1}(\mathbb{R}c)$. As a result, going back to the system C by the inverse scaling, we get an annulus A of class C^κ formed by the union of the rescaled periodic orbits, which is defined over an interval of the form $]e_P, +\infty[$ and realizes c. One can moreover prove that these orbits are minimizing in the previous sense.

• **The low energy annuli.** The proof of existence of a single low energy annulus realizing a given class is more involved and requires the study of the symbolic dynamics created by the hyperbolic fixed point O together with its homoclinic orbits (such orbits were proved to exist in [Bol78] and we will give here a proof close to that of [Ber00], based on discrete weak KAM theory, which enables us to localize them more precisely). This requires some additional (generic) nondegeneracy assumptions on the eigenvalues of the fixed point. We obtain a family (parametrized by the energies e slightly larger than $\overline{e}$) of horseshoes for Poincaré sections of the Hamiltonian flow in $C^{-1}(e)$. This is reminiscent of the Shilnikov-Turaev study for hyperbolic fixed points of Hamiltonian systems with homoclinic orbits which are transverse in their critical

energy level, with more precise estimates on the structure and localization of the horseshoes. The result is the existence of a family of annuli realizing each primitive homology class and which admit heteroclinic connections between them, provided that some compatibility condition is satisfied. This will prove the stabilization property at low energy for each class, together that the third item in Theorem II.

• **The singular annulus.** We get the existence of (at least) one singular annulus by gluing together the annuli corresponding to the homology classes $\pm c$, where c is determined by a minimization condition on the homoclinic orbits of the hyperbolic fixed points, together with an annulus of periodic orbits realizing the zero homology class. This proves the existence of an invariant manifold which contains the fixed point together with a pair of opposite homoclinic orbits (satisfying special minimization properties), on which a one-parameter family of null homology periodic orbits accumulates, together with two families of periodic orbits realizing opposite homology classes. The main point is that the union of the periodic orbits and the homoclinic orbits is a C^1 normally hyperbolic manifold, which is due to the nondegeneracy assumptions on the eigenvalues of the fixed point. The rich heteroclinic structure induced by the family of horseshoes in turn yields the existence of the heteroclinic connections between the first annuli in each chain and the singular annulus.

Structure of the paper. The paper is split into two parts and seven appendices. Part I introduces the various notions related to chains of cylinders and contains the proof of Theorem I, taking for granted the generic properties of classical systems. Part II is dedicated to the various definitions and statements relative to classical systems and contains the proof of Theorem II. The first four appendices present technical results related to Part I: Appendix A recalls basic results on normally hyperbolic manifolds in our setting, Appendices B and C are devoted to normal forms, and Appendix D states a finite differentiable version of the invariant curve theorem for twist maps. The last two appendices are related to Part II: in Appendix E we prove the existence of orbits homoclinic to the hyperbolic fixed points for generic classical systems on $\mathbb{A}^2$, Appendix F is devoted to a proof of the Hamiltonian Birkhoff-Smale theorem, while Appendix G recalls some elements of Moser's construction of horseshoes.

Aknowledgements. I warmly thank Marc Chaperon, Alain Chenciner, Jacques Féjoz and Pierre Lochak for their constant support and encouragements. I am indebted to Laurent Lazzarini for the proof of the invariant curve theorem and for lots of discussions at several stages of the preparation of this work. Clémence Labrousse carefully read and corrected several parts of this paper, my warmest thanks to her.

Part I. Cusp-generic chains

This part is devoted to the proof of Theorem I.

- In Section 1 we introduce precise definitions for normally hyperbolic annuli and cylinders.
- In Section 2 we list the nondegeneracy conditions imposed to the perturbed systems we consider.
- In Section 3 we introduce the definitions of d-cylinders and s-cylinders, which depend on the resonance zones they are located in. We also introduce the twist property and the twist sections attached to a cylinder.
- In Section 4 we prove the existence of d and s-cylinders with twist sections under the nondegeracy conditions of Section 2.
- In Section 5 we describe the homoclinic and heteroclinic intersection conditions which are satisfied by the cylinders and serve us to define the notion of *admissible chains*. We prove their existence under the same nondegeracy conditions.
- Finally, Section 6 proves the cusp-genericity of our nondegeneracy conditions and ends the proof of Theorem I.

1 Normally hyperbolic annuli and cylinders

In this section we first introduce particular definitions for the "normal hyperbolicity" of manifolds which are not necessarily invariant under a vector field, whose occurence is unavoidable in the perturbed systems we will consider. We then obtain genuine normally hyperbolic manifolds (with boundary) by considering codimension 1 invariant subsets contained in the previous ones. We refer to [Cha04, Ber10a] for direct presentations of the normal hyperbolicity of manifolds with boundary.

1. In this paper, a 2ℓ*-dimensional* C^p *annulus* will be a C^p manifold C^p diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^\ell$. A *singular annulus* will be a C^1 manifold C^1-diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}\times\,]0,1[\,\times\mathcal{Y}$, where $\mathcal{Y}$ is (any realization of) the sphere S^2 minus three points. We will have to consider 2-dimensional annuli embedded in $\mathbb{A}^2$ and 4-dimensional annuli or singular annuli embedded in $\mathbb{A}^3$, which we abbreviate in 2-annuli, 4-annuli and singular 4-annuli.

2. We now define the main objects under concern in this part, which all are 3-dimensional manifolds.

- A C^p *cylinder without boundary* is a C^p manifold C^p-diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2\times\mathbb{R}$.
- A C^p *cylinder* is a C^p manifold C^p-diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2\times[0,1]$, so that a cylinder is compact and its boundary has two components diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2$.
- A C^p *singular cylinder* is a C^p manifold C^p-diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}\times\mathbf{Y}$, where $\mathbf{Y}$ is (any realization of) the sphere S^2 minus three open discs with nonintersecting closures. A singular cylinder is compact and its boundary has three components, diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2$.

3. Let M be a C^∞ manifold and X a complete vector field on M with flow Φ. A submanifold $N\subset M$ (possibly with boundary) is said to be *pseudo invariant* for X when the vector field X is tangent to N at each point of N. A submanifold N is said to be *invariant* when $\Phi(t,N)=N$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Invariant manifolds are pseudo invariant. When N is invariant with $\partial N \neq \varnothing$, ∂N is invariant too.

4. We endow now $\mathbb{A}^3$ with its standard symplectic form Ω, and we assume that $X = X_H$ is the vector field generated by $H \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$, $\kappa \geqslant 2$. A pseudo invariant 4-annulus $\mathscr{A} \subset A^3$ for X is said to be *pseudo normally hyperbolic in* $\mathbb{A}^3$ when there exist

- an open subset O of $\mathbb{A}^3$ containing $\mathscr{A}$,
- an embedding $\Psi : O \to \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ whose image has compact closure, such that $\Psi_*\Omega$ continues to a symplectic form $\overline{\Omega}$ on $\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ which satisfies (Appendix A (190)),
- a vector field $\mathscr{V}$ on $\mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying the assumptions of the normally hyperbolic persistence theorem, in particular (188), together with those of the symplectic normally hyperbolic theorem (Appendix A) for the form $\overline{\Omega}$, such that, with the notation of this theorem:

$$\Psi(\mathscr{A}) \subset \mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_* X(x) = \mathscr{V}(x), \quad \forall x \in O. \tag{18}$$

Such an annulus $\mathscr{A}$ is therefore of class C^p and symplectic. We define similarly pseudo normally hyperbolic singular 4-annuli, with in this case $p = 1$.

5. When the previous 4-annulus $\mathscr{A}$ is moreover invariant for X_H, we say that it is normally hyperbolic. In this case the image $\Psi(\mathscr{A}) \subset \mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$ is invariant for $\mathscr{V}$ and admits well-defined invariant manifolds $W^\pm\big(\Psi(\mathscr{A})\big)$, with center-stable and center-unstable foliations $\big(W^\pm\big(\Psi(x)\big)\big)_{x\in\mathscr{A}}$. In this case we define the *local* invariant manifolds $W^\pm_\ell(\mathscr{A})$ for X, with respect to (O, Ψ), as the subsets

$$\Psi^{-1}\Big(W^\pm_\ell\big(\Psi(\mathscr{A})\big)\Big), \tag{19}$$

where $W^\pm_\ell\big(\Psi(\mathscr{A})\big)$ stands for the connected component of $\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$ in $\Psi(O) \cap W^\pm\big(\Psi(\mathscr{A})\big)$. Similarly, we define the local center stable and unstable manifolds of the points of $\mathscr{A}$:

$$W^\pm_\ell(x) = \Psi^{-1}\Big(W^\pm_\ell\big(\Psi(x)\big)\Big), \quad x \in \mathscr{A}. \tag{20}$$

The global manifolds $W^\pm(\mathscr{A})$ and $W^\pm(x)$ for X are then defined in the usual way, by forward or backward transport of the corresponding local ones by the flow of X.

By compactness of the image of Ψ, one immediately checks that the global manifolds $W^\pm(\mathscr{A})$ and $W^\pm(x)$ are independent of the choice of (O, Ψ). These manifolds are of class C^p, coisotropic, and their characteristic foliations coincide with their center-stable and center-unstable foliations.

6. We define similarly the invariant manifolds of invariant (normally hyperbolic) 4-singular-annuli, which are therefore symplectic and whose invariant manifolds satsify the same properties as above. Observe moreover that, by definition, given an invariant normally hyperbolic singular 4-annulus $\mathscr{A}_\bullet$, there exists an open neighborhood O of $\mathscr{A}_\bullet$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$ and a Hamiltonian $H_\circ$ defined on an open subset $\mathscr{O}$ containing O such that:

- $H_\circ$ coincides with H on O,
- $H_\circ$ admits a normally hyperbolic invariant 4-annulus which contains $\mathscr{A}_\bullet$.

7. We still assume that $X = X_H$ is the vector field generated by $H \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$, $\kappa \geqslant 2$. Let $\mathbf{e}$ be a regular value of H.

- A pseudo invariant cylinder without boundary $\mathscr{C} \subset H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ is *pseudo normally hyperbolic in* $H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ when there exists a pseudo invariant and pseudo normally hyperbolic 4-annulus $\mathscr{A}$ for X_H such that $\mathscr{C} \subset \mathscr{A} \cap H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$.

• An invariant cylinder (with boundary) $\mathscr{C} \subset H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ is *normally hyperbolic in* $H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ when there exists an invariant normally hyperbolic 4-annulus $\mathscr{A}$ for X_H such that $\mathscr{C} \subset \mathscr{A} \cap H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$. Any such $\mathscr{A}$ is said to be *associated with* $\mathscr{C}$.

• An invariant singular cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\bullet \subset H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ is *normally hyperbolic in* $H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ when there is an invariant normally hyperbolic 4-singular-annulus $\mathscr{A}_\bullet$ for X_H such that $\mathscr{C}_\bullet \subset \mathscr{A}_\bullet \cap H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$. Any such $\mathscr{A}_\bullet$ is said to be *associated with* $\mathscr{C}_\bullet$.

One immediately sees that normally hyperbolic invariant cylinders or singular cylinders, contained in $H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$, admit well-defined 4-dimensional stable and unstable manifolds with boundary, also contained in $H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$, together with their center-stable and center-unstable foliations.

8. From the remark on the singular 4-annuli, one deduces that given a singular cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\bullet$, there exists an open neighborhood O of $\mathscr{C}_\bullet$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$ and a Hamiltonian $H_\circ$ defined on an open subset $\mathscr{O}$ containing O such that:

• $H_\circ$ coincides with H on O,

• $H_\circ$ admits a normally hyperbolic cylinder.

This remark will enable us to deal with singular cylinders in the same way as with usual cylinders in our subsequent constructions.

2 Averaged systems, δ-double resonances and conditions (S)

We first describe the geometry of simple and double resonances at fixed energy of a Tonelli Hamiltonian $h \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and, given a perturbation $f \in C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3)$, we define the averaged systems associated with $H = h + f$. We then introduce the set of *δ-strong double resonance points* on a resonance circle at fixed energy, where $\delta > 0$ will be the main control parameter of our construction. This enables us to set out a list of nondegeneracy conditions **(S)** for the system H along a resonance circle, which will be used throughout Part I and yield the "cusp-generic part" of Theorem I.

2.1 Simple and double resonances

We identify the action space $\mathbb{R}^3$ with its dual, the frequency space, by Euclidean duality. We fix a C^κ Tonelli Hamiltonian h on $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\kappa \geqslant 2$, and set $\omega = \nabla h$. Let us first state some direct geometric consequences of the convexity and superlinearity of h.

1. The map ω is a $C^{\kappa-1}$ diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^3$ onto $\mathbb{R}^3$. Being convex and coercive, h admits a single absolute minimum at some point p, which satisfies $\omega(p) = 0$. For $\mathbf{e} > h(p)$, the level surface $h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ bounds a convex domain containing p, so $h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ is diffeomorphic to S^2, and its image by ω contains 0 in its "interior[6]." Moreover, the map $\varpi \mapsto \frac{\varpi}{\|\varpi\|_2}$ (where $\| \ \|_2$ stands for the Euclidean norm) defines a $C^{\kappa-1}$ diffeomorphism from the set $\omega\big(h^{-1}(e)\big)$ onto the sphere S^2.

2. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{T}^3$ be the canonical projection. Fix $\varpi \in \mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}$ and consider the *resonance module* $\mathcal{M}_\varpi = \varpi^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^3$ associated with ϖ. Clearly $\pi(\mathcal{M}^\perp)$ is a subtorus of $\mathbb{T}^3$, which is invariant under the flow generated by the constant vector field ϖ. This flow is dynamically minimal.

3. Given $\varpi \in \mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}$, $\mathcal{M}_\varpi = \varpi^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^3$ is a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ whose rank is the *multiplicity of resonance* of ϖ. We say that ϖ is a simple resonance frequency when $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{M}_\varpi = 1$ and a

[6] the bounded connected component of its complementary

double resonance frequency when $\operatorname{rank}\mathcal{M} = 2$. A point $r \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is a simple or double resonance action when $\omega(r)$ is a simple or double resonance frequency.

4. Given a submodule $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ of rank $m = 1$ or 2, the vector subspace $\mathcal{M}^\perp$ is said to be the *resonance subspace associated with* $\mathcal{M}$ (a resonance plane when $m = 1$ and a resonance line when $m = 2$). In the action space, the corresponding resonance $\varpi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^\perp)$, is said to be a resonance surface when $m = 1$ and a resonance curve when $m = 2$. Note that any point on a resonance curve is a double resonance action, while a point on a resonance surface can be either a simple resonance action or a double resonance action.

5. Resonance curves and surfaces in the action space are *transverse* to the levels $h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ for $\mathbf{e} > \operatorname{Min} h$. As a consequence, the resonance surfaces intersect the energy levels along (topological) *resonance circles*, while the resonance curves intersect the levels at isolated *double resonance points*. Moreover, two independent resonant circles at energy $\mathbf{e} > \operatorname{Min} h$ in the action space intersect at exactly two double resonance points.

6. Recall that a submodule of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is *primitive* when it is not strictly contained in a submodule with the same rank. Primitive rank 1 submodules are generated by indivisible vectors of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Note that the resonances can always be defined by primitive submodules, this will always be the case in the following.

7. Given a rank m primitive submodule $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^3$, there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$–basis of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ whose last m vectors form a $\mathbb{Z}$–basis of $\mathcal{M}$ (see for instance [Art91]). Let P be the matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ whose i^{th}-column is formed by the components of the i^{th}-vector of this basis. Let $\mathscr{R} = \omega^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^\perp)$. The symplectic linear coordinate change in $\mathbb{A}^3$ defined by

$$\theta = {}^tP^{-1}\widetilde{\theta} \ \ [\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z}^n], \qquad r = P\,\widetilde{r}, \tag{21}$$

transforms h into a new Hamiltonian $\widetilde{h}$ such that, setting $\widetilde{\omega} = (\widetilde{\omega}_1, \widetilde{\omega}_2, \widetilde{\omega}_3) = \nabla\widetilde{h}$, the transformed resonance $\widetilde{\mathscr{R}} = P^{-1}\,\mathscr{R}$ admits the equation

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{3-m+1} = \cdots = \widetilde{\omega}_3 = 0$$

Such coordinates are said to be *adapted to* $\mathcal{M}$.

Notation 1. *According to the previous decomposition, the variables u in $\mathbb{R}^3$ or $\mathbb{T}^3$ will be split into $(\widehat{u}, \overline{u}) = u$, where $\overline{u}$ is m-dimensional and $\widehat{u}$ is $(3-m)$-dimensional.*

2.2 Averaged systems

We consider a C^κ Tonelli Hamiltonian h on $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\kappa \geqslant 2$, and set $\omega = \nabla h$. Given $f \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$, we set $H = h + f$.

1. Fix $r^0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\varpi := \omega(r^0) \neq 0$ and let $m = 1, 2$ be the rank of the resonance module $\mathcal{M}_\varpi = \varpi^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^3$, so that the quotient $\mathbb{T}^3/\pi(\mathcal{M}^\perp)$ is an m–dimensional torus. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_x \subset \mathbb{T}^3$ the fiber over $x \in \mathbb{T}^3/\pi(\mathcal{M}^\perp)$, which is therefore a $(3-m)$–dimensional torus invariant under the flow generated by h.

2. The $\mathcal{M}$–averaged system Av_{r^0} at r^0 is defined on the cotangent bundle $T^*[\mathbb{T}^3/\pi(\mathcal{M}^\perp)]$. The cotangent space at x satisfies the natural identifications

$$\big(T_x[\mathbb{T}^3/\pi(\mathcal{M}^\perp)]\big)^* \simeq (\mathbb{R}^3/\mathcal{M}^\perp)^* \simeq \langle\mathcal{M}\rangle,$$

where $\langle\mathcal{M}\rangle \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the vector subspace generated by $\mathcal{M}$.

The $\mathcal{M}$–averaged perturbation is the function $U_{r^0} : \mathbb{T}^3/\pi(\mathcal{M}^\perp) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$U_{r^0}(x) = \int_{\mathcal{T}_x} f(\varphi, r^0)\, d\mu_x(\varphi),$$

where μ_x is the induced Haar measure on $\mathcal{T}_x$. We are thus led to set

$$\mathrm{Av}_{r^0}(x,y) = \tfrac{1}{2}\, D^2h(r^0)[y,y] + U_{r^0}(x), \qquad (x,y) \in \big(\mathbb{T}^3/\pi(\mathcal{M}^\perp)\big) \times \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle.$$

Averaged systems are therefore classical systems on $\mathbb{A}^m$. We say that Av_{r^0} is an *s–averaged system* when $m = 1$ and a *d–averaged* system when $m = 2$.

3. Fix now an adapted coordinate system (θ, r) at r^0. Following Notation 1, observe that $\overline{\theta}$ and $\widehat{\theta}$ define coordinates on the quotient $\mathbb{T}^3/\pi(\mathcal{M}^\perp_\varpi)$ and on its fibers respectively, and that $(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r})$ are canonically conjugated coordinates on $T^*[\mathbb{T}^3/\pi(\mathcal{M}^\perp)]$. In these coordinates, the averaged system reads

$$\mathrm{Av}_{r^0}(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) = \tfrac{1}{2} T_{r^0}(\overline{r}) + U_{r^0}(\overline{\theta}), \tag{22}$$

where T is the restriction of the Hessian $D^2h(r^0)$ to the $\overline{r}$–space $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $U : \mathbb{T}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ reads

$$U_{r^0}(\overline{\theta}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^m} f\big((\widehat{\theta}, \overline{\theta}), r^0\big)\, d\widehat{\theta}. \tag{23}$$

Clearly, averaged systems associated to different adapted coordinates are linearly symplectically conjugated.

2.3 The control parameter for double resonance points on a resonance circle

We consider now a C^κ Tonelli Hamiltonian h on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and its frequency map $\omega = \nabla h$, together with $f \in C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3)$, with

$$\kappa \geqslant 6, \qquad \|f\|_\kappa \leqslant 1. \tag{24}$$

We fix $\mathbf{e} > \mathrm{Min}\, h$ and an indivisible vector $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, and we set $\Gamma = \omega^{-1}(k^\perp) \cap h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$. We fix a coordinate system (θ, r) adapted to $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Z}k$. We still denote by f the expression of the initial function f in the coordinates (θ, r), so that now $\|f\|_\kappa \leqslant M$, where M depends only on k. The aim of this section is to discriminate between strong and weak double resonance points on Γ for the system $H = h + f$.

1. The decay of Fourier coefficients of f. For $k = (k_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we use the notation

$$\|k\| = \mathrm{Max}_{\,1 \leqslant j \leqslant d} |k_j|\,, \qquad |k| = \sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant d} |k_j|\,. \tag{25}$$

We adopt the usual convention for multiindices and partial derivatives. Let us denote by

$$[f]_k(r) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(\theta, r)\, {}^{-2i\pi\, k\cdot\theta} d\theta$$

the Fourier coefficient of $f(\,.\,, r)$ of index $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and set $g_k(\theta, r) = [f]_k(r) e^{2i\pi k\cdot\theta}$. Usual estimates yield, for $k \neq 0$ and any multiindices $j, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that $|j| + |\ell| < \kappa$:

$$\left|\partial^j_\theta \partial^\ell_r g(\theta, r)\right| \leqslant \frac{M}{(2\pi)^{\kappa - (|j|+|\ell|)} \|k\|^{\kappa - (|j|+|\ell|)}}. \tag{26}$$

and in particular the Fourier expansion $f(\theta,r) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3}[f]_k(r)\, e^{2i\pi\, k\cdot\theta}$ is normally convergent since $\kappa > 3$. Hence

$$f(\theta,r) = \sum_{\widehat{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \phi_{\widehat{k}}(\theta_3,r)e^{2i\pi\,\widehat{k}\cdot\widehat{\theta}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_{\widehat{k}}(\theta_3,r) = \sum_{k_3\in\mathbb{Z}} [f]_{(\widehat{k},k_3)}(r)e^{2i\pi\, k_3\cdot\theta_3}. \tag{27}$$

Given $K \geqslant 1$ we set

$$f_{>K}(\theta,r) = \sum_{\widehat{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^2,\|\widehat{k}\|>K} \phi_{\widehat{k}}(\theta_3,r)\, e^{2i\pi\,\widehat{k}\cdot\widehat{\theta}} \tag{28}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Fix an integer $p \in \{2,\dots,\kappa-4\}$ and fix $\delta > 0$. Then there exists an integer $K := K(\delta)$ such that the function $f_{>K}$ is in $C^2(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and satisfies*

$$\|f_{>K}\|_{C^p(\mathbb{A}^3)} \leqslant \delta. \tag{29}$$

Proof. Since $\|f\|_{C^\kappa} \leqslant M$ with $\kappa \geqslant 6$, by (26):

$$\left|\partial_\theta^j \partial_r^\ell g(\theta,r)\right| \leqslant \frac{M}{(2\pi)^4\|k\|^4} \tag{30}$$

as soon as $|j| + |\ell| \leqslant p$. Let $K(\delta)$ be the smallest integer such that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3,|k|>K(\delta)} \frac{M}{(2\pi)^4\|k\|^4} \leqslant \delta. \tag{31}$$

Hence $f_{>K(\delta)}$ is C^p and satisfies (29) (we do not try to give optimal estimates). □

2. The δ-strong double resonance points. Since the coordinates (θ,r) are $\mathcal{M}$-adapted: $\omega(r) := \nabla h(r) = (\widehat{\omega}(r),0) \in \mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}$. For $K\in\mathbb{N}$, we set

$$B^*(K) = \big\{\widehat{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\backslash\{0\} \mid \|\widehat{k}\| \leqslant K\big\}. \tag{32}$$

Definition 4. *Given a* control parameter $\delta > 0$*, we introduce the set of δ-strong double resonance points:*

$$D(\delta) = \Big\{r\in\Gamma \mid \exists\, \widehat{k}\in B^*\big(K(\delta)\big),\ \widehat{k}\cdot\widehat{\omega}(r) = 0\Big\}, \tag{33}$$

where $K(\delta)$ was defined in Lemma 2.1.

Observe that $D(\delta)$ is finite. Indeed, if $\Gamma_{\widehat{k}} = h^{-1}(\mathbf{e}) \cap \omega^{-1}((\widehat{k},0)^\perp)$ is the simple resonance at energy $\mathbf{e}$ associated with $(\widehat{k},0)$, then

$$D(\delta) = \bigcup_{\widehat{k}\in B^*_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(K(\delta)\right)} \Gamma\cap\Gamma_{\widehat{k}}$$

and each $\Gamma\cap\Gamma_{\widehat{k}}$ contains exactly two points, which proves our claim. Note that $D(\delta)$ increases when δ decreases.

2.4 The nondegeneracy conditions (S)

We consider a C^κ Tonelli Hamiltonian h on $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\kappa \geqslant 2$, and set $\omega = \nabla h$. We fix $\mathbf{e} > \operatorname{Min} h$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \backslash \{0\}$ be an indivisible vector and set $\Gamma_k = \omega^{-1}(k^\perp) \cap h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$. Given $f \in C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3)$ satisfying (24), we now set out a list of nondegeneracy conditions involving the averaged systems attached to $H = h + f$ at the points of Γ_k.

- **($\mathbf{S_1}$)** *There exists a finite subset $B \subset \Gamma_k$ such that for $r^0 \in \Gamma_k \backslash B$ the s-averaged potential function $V_{r^0} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ admits a single global maximum, which is nondegenerate, and for $r^0 \in B$ the function V_{r^0} admits exactly two global maximums, which are nondegenerate.*

The nondegeneracy condition on V_{r^0} is to be understood in the Morse sense, that is, the second derivative of V_{r^0} at a nondegenerate point is nonzero. The elements of B will be called *bifurcation points*. To state the next condition, note that each point r^0 in B admits a neighborhood $I(r^0)$ in Γ_k such that when $r \in I(r^0)$, the averaged potential V_r admits two (differentiably varying) nondegenerate local maximums $m^*(r)$ and $m^{**}(r)$. The second condition is a transversal crossing property at a bifurcation point.

- **($\mathbf{S_2}$)** *For any $r^0 \in B$, the derivative $\frac{d}{dr}\big(m^*(r) - m^{**}(r)\big)$ does not vanish at r^0.*

The next condition focuses on the double resonance points contained in Γ_k. Given such an r^0, let

$$\operatorname{Av}_{r^0}(\overline{r}, \overline{\theta}) = \tfrac{1}{2} T_{r^0}(\overline{r}) + U_{r^0}(\overline{\theta}), \tag{34}$$

be the d–averaged system at r^0 in an adapted coordinate system for the resonance module of $\omega(r^0)$.

- **($\mathbf{S_3}$)** *For every double resonance point $r^0 \in \Gamma_k$, the potential U_{r^0} belongs to the residual set $\mathscr{U}(T_{r^0})$ of* Theorem II.

Condition **($\mathbf{S_3}$)** is independent of the choice of the adapted system at r^0, by symplectic conjugacy. We say that H satisfies conditions **(S)** on Γ_k when it satisfies the previous three conditions.

3 The cylinders

This section contains definitions and statements only, the proofs are postponed to the next one. We fix once and for all a Tonelli Hamiltonian $h \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and an energy $\mathbf{e} > \operatorname{Min} h$, together with a resonance circle $\Gamma \subset h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$. We denote by $\Pi : \mathbb{A}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ the natural projection and by $\mathbf{d}$ the Hausdorff distance between compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^3$. The main result of this section is the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Fix $f \in C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and set $H_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon f$. Assume that $H := H_1$ satisfies* **(S)** *along Γ. Then for $\kappa \geqslant \kappa_0$ large enough, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$, there is a finite sequence $\big(\mathscr{C}_k(\varepsilon)\big)_{0 \leqslant k \leqslant k_*}$ of normally hyperbolic invariant cylinders and singular cylinders at energy $\mathbf{e}$ for H_ε, whose projection by Π satisfies*

$$\mathbf{d}\Big(\bigcup_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant k_*} \mathscr{C}_k(\varepsilon), \Gamma \Big) = O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}).$$

The cylinders in fact enjoy more stringent "graph properties" which will enable us to prove that they form *chains* in Section 5. In the rest of this section we describe the intermediate steps to prove the previous proposition. We start with the "d-cylinders" in the neighborhood of the points of the set $D(\delta)$ of δ-strong double resonance points introduced in Definition 4, where δ has to be suitably chosen, and we "interpolate between them" with "s-cylinders" along the complementary arcs of Γ, taking the bifurcation points into account.

3.1 The d-cylinders at a double resonance point

In this section we fix an *arbitrary* double resonance point $r^0 \in \Gamma$, with d-averaged system C, and we set out precise definitions for the *d-cylinders* in the neighborhood of r^0. We will introduce three different families of such d-cylinders, according to the way they are constructed. Let (θ, r) be adapted coordinates at r^0, so that $\omega(r^0) = (\omega_1, 0, 0)$ with $\omega_1 \neq 0$.

• The notion of 2-dimensional annulus for a classical system was introduced in Definition 2. Given a compact annulus A for C, the product of A with "the circle of θ_1" is a normally hyperbolic compact cylinder, which is invariant for a suitable truncation of H_ε. We will prove that it remains invariant for H_ε, provided ε is small enough. The family of such normally hyperbolic cylinders, attached with all compact annuli of C, constitutes our first family of d-cylinders.

• The construction of the second family is similar to the previous one, but the starting point is a singular annulus (see Definition 2) rather than a compact annulus. The normally hyperbolic objects obtained this way are singular cylinders.

• The third family is formed by suitable continuations of the cylinders attached to the annuli of C which are defined over intervals of the form $[e_P, +\infty[$, we call them *extremal cylinders*. They will enable us to define the "s-cylinders" between to two consecutive distinct points of $D(\delta)$, as cylinders containing two suitable extremal cylinders, located in the neighborhood of both points of $D(\delta)$ (see Section 3.2).

Three corresponding existence results are stated, which will be proved in the next section.

3.1.1 The cylinders attached to a compact 2-annulus of the d-averaged system

We consider the system $H_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon f$ and set $H := H_1$. We perform a translation in action so that $r^0 = 0$, without loss of generality.

1. We will have to use several coordinate transformations. To avoid confusion, we fix an initial coordinate system (x, y) adapted to the double resonance point 0. Hence, relatively to these coordinates, $\nabla h(0) = (\widehat{\omega}, 0) \in (\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^2$, (where $\widehat{\omega} = \omega_1$). With the usual notational convention, the d-averaged system associated with H at 0 reads

$$C(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \tfrac{1}{2} T(\overline{y}) + U(\overline{x}), \qquad (\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{35}$$

where T is the restriction of the Hessian $D^2h(0)$ to the $\overline{y}$-plane and

$$U(\overline{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f\big((\widehat{x}, \overline{x}), 0\big)\, d\widehat{x}. \tag{36}$$

We also introduce the *complementary part* of the Hessian $D^2h(0)$:

$$Q(y) = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(D^2h(0)y^2 - \partial^2_{\overline{y}} h(0)\overline{y}^2\big) := y_1 L(y), \tag{37}$$

so that L is a linear form on $\mathbb{R}^3$.

2. The d-cylinders will be conveniently defined relatively to appropriate normalized coordinates, that we now introduce. Let us set, for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) = (\theta, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r}), \qquad (\theta, \mathsf{r}) \in \mathbb{A}^3.$$

Definition 5. *Fix $d^* > 0$, $\sigma \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1[$ and two integers $p, \ell \geqslant 2$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, a* normalizing diffeomorphism with parameters (d^*, σ, p, ℓ) *is an analytic embedding*

$$\Phi_\varepsilon = \Psi_\varepsilon \circ \sigma_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, d^*) \to \mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, 2d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \tag{38}$$

where $\Psi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \to \mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, 2d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ is symplectic and satisfies $\|\Phi_\varepsilon - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^0} \leqslant \varepsilon^\sigma$, such that for $(\theta, \mathsf{r}) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, d^*)$:

$$\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(H_\varepsilon \circ \Psi_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) - \mathbf{e}\Big) = \frac{\widehat{\omega}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\widehat{\mathsf{r}} + Q(\mathsf{r}) + C(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}}) + \mathsf{R}^0_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \mathsf{r}) + \mathsf{R}_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}). \tag{39}$$

The functions C and Q are defined in (35) and (37), and R^0_ε and R_ε are C^p functions on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times B^3(0, d^*)$ and $\mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, d^*)$ respectively, which satisfy

$$\|\mathsf{R}^0_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant C\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \qquad \|\mathsf{R}_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant \varepsilon^\ell. \tag{40}$$

for a suitable $C > 0$.

We will adopt the notation (θ, r) for the symplectic coordinates such that $\Psi_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = (x, y)$, so that the nonsymplectic rescaling reads $\sigma_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) = (\theta, r)$. The evolution time for the normal form N_ε has also to be rescaled, which will is here innocuous since we are interested only in geometric objects.

3. We are now in a position to define the d-cylinder attached to a compact 2-annulus of C. Fix such an annulus A, defined over a compact interval J. Since the periodic orbits in A are hyperbolic in their energy level, A can be continued to a slightly larger family of hyperbolic orbits, the union of which we denote by A_*, and one can moreover assume that their period satisfy the same mononicity assumption as for A. Then, basic angle-action transformations prove the existence of an open interval J^* containing J and a symplectic embedding

$$\mathrm{j} : \mathbb{T} \times J^* \to \mathbb{A}^2, \qquad \mathrm{j}(\mathbb{T} \times J) = \mathsf{A}, \qquad \mathrm{j}(\mathbb{T} \times J_*) = \mathsf{A}_*, \tag{41}$$

such that, if $(\varphi, \rho) \in \mathbb{T} \times J^*$ are the standard symplectic coordinates:

$$C \circ \mathrm{j}(\varphi, \rho) = \rho. \tag{42}$$

We say that $(J \subset J^*, \mathrm{j})$ is a normalizing system for A.

Definition 6. *Fix an annulus A of C with normalizing system $(J \subset J^*, \mathrm{j})$ and contained in $\mathbb{T}^2 \times B^2(0, d^*)$ for some $d^* > 0$*

- *A* 4-annulus of class C^p attached to A *for H_ε is a C^p invariant normally hyperbolic* 4-*annulus $\mathscr{A}_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ for the vector field X_{H_ε}, such that there exists a d-normalizing diffeomorphism Φ_ε and a neighborhood $J' \subset \mathbb{R}$ of J in J^* for which $\Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathscr{A}_\varepsilon)$ contains a graph over the domain*

  $$\theta_1 \in \mathbb{T}, \ \mathsf{r}_1 \in]-d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon}, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon}[, \ \varphi \in \mathbb{T}, \ \rho \in J', \tag{43}$$

  of the form

  $$u = U_\varepsilon(\theta_1, \mathsf{r}_1, \varphi, \rho), \ s = S_\varepsilon(\theta_1, \mathsf{r}_1, \varphi, \rho), \tag{44}$$

  where U_ε and S_ε are C^p functions which tend to 0 in the C^p-topology when $\varepsilon \to 0$.

- *A d-cylinder at energy* $\mathbf{e}$ *attached to* A *for* H_ε *is a (compact and normally hyperbolic) cylinder* $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ *invariant for the vector field* X_{H_ε}*, such that there exists a* 4*-annulus attached to* A *with* $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon \subset \mathscr{A}_\varepsilon \cap H_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$*, and such that* the projection $\Pi_\rho\big(\Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon)\big)$ on the ρ-axis contains the interval J.

- *A twist section for such a d-cylinder is a global* 2*-dimensional transverse section* $\Sigma \subset \mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$*, image of a symplectic embedding* $\mathrm{j}_\Sigma : \mathbb{T} \times [a, b]$*, such that the associated Poincaré return map is a twist map in the* j_Σ*-induced coordinates on* $\mathbb{T} \times [a, b]$.

We refer to Section 1 for the definition of normally hyperbolic cylinders and associated 4-annuli. Note that the constraint $\mathsf{r}_1 \in]-d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon}, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon}[$ yields the localization $r_1 \in]-d^*\varepsilon, d^*\varepsilon[$, which is very stringent.

4. Our first existence result is the following.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume* $\kappa \geqslant \kappa_0$ *large enough. Then for each compact annulus* A *of* C*, there is an* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *such that for* $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ *there exists a d-cylinder* $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ *at energy* $\mathbf{e}$ *attached to* A *for* H_ε*, which admits a twist section.*

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is in Section 4.1.

3.1.2 The singular d-cylinders at a double resonance point

The definition and existence result for the singular cylinder is very similar to the previous ones.

Definition 7. *Let* $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$ *be a singular annulus for* C.

- *A* singular annulus *attached to* $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$ *for* H_ε *is a normally hyperbolic singular* 4*-annulus* $\mathscr{A}_\bullet(\varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ *for the vector field* X_{H_ε}*, such that there exists a d-normalizing diffeomorphism* Φ_ε *for which* $\Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathscr{A}_\bullet(\varepsilon))$ *tends to the product*

$$\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon := \big(\mathbb{T}\times\,]-d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon}, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon}[\big) \times \mathsf{A}_\bullet \tag{45}$$

in the C^1 *topology when* $\varepsilon \to 0$*. More precisely, there exists* $\sigma \in]\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ *and a* C^1*-embedding* χ_ε *defined on* $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon$*, with image* $\Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathscr{A}_\bullet(\varepsilon))$*, which satisfies*

$$\|\chi_\varepsilon - \chi\|_{C^1} \leqslant \varepsilon^\sigma \tag{46}$$

where χ *is the canonical embedding* $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^3$.

- *A singular d-cylinder at energy* $\mathbf{e}$ *attached to* $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$ *for* H_ε *is a singular cylinder* $\mathscr{C}_\bullet(\varepsilon)$ *for the vector field* X_{H_ε}*, such that there is a singular annulus* $\mathscr{A}_\bullet(\varepsilon)$ *with* $\mathscr{C}_\bullet(\varepsilon) \subset \mathscr{A}_\bullet(\varepsilon) \cap H_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$.

- *A generalized twist section for such a singular d-cylinder is a singular* 2*-annulus which admits a continuation to a* 2*-annulus, on which the Poincaré return map continues to a twist map.*

We refer to Section 1 for the definition of normally hyperbolic singular cylinders and associated singular 4-annuli. Again, note that the definition of $\mathbf{A}_\varepsilon$ and the convergence property yields a very precise localization for the singular annuli.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume* $\kappa \geqslant \kappa_0$ *large enough. Then given a singular 2-annulus* $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$ *of* C*, there is an* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *such that for* $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ *there exists a singular d-cylinder* $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ *at energy* $\mathbf{e}$ *attached to* $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$ *for* H_ε*, which admits a generalized twist section.*

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is in Section 4.2.

3.2 The extremal d cylinders and the interpolating s-cylinders

In this section we come back to the initial assumptions of Proposition 3.1. We endow Γ with an arbitrary orientation and, given $\delta > 0$, we fix two consecutive elements m^0 and m^1 of $D(\delta)$ on Γ according to that orientation. Let $[m^0, m^1]$ be the segment of Γ they delimit (also according to that orientation).

1. We introduce adapted coordinate systems (x^i, y^i) at m^i relatively to which $\omega(m^i) = (\omega_1^i, 0, 0)$ with $\omega_1^i \neq 0$, and which both satisfy

$$\Gamma = \{m \in h^{-1}(\mathbf{e}) \mid \omega_3^i(m) = 0\}.$$

For $m \in]m^0, m^1[$, set

$$\sigma^i = \frac{\omega_1^i(m)}{|\omega_1^i(m)|} \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

Let $C_i = \frac{1}{2}T_{m^i} + U_{m^i}$ be the d-averaged systems at m^i relatively to the previous coordinate systems. Identify $H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}^2$ relatively to the same systems, and let

$$c^i = (\sigma^i, 0) \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

2. We can now define the extremal d-cylinders at the point $m \in \{m^0, m^1\}$ relatively to the resonance circle Γ. We fix the corresponding integer homology class $c \in \{c^0, c^1\}$ and consider a compact 2-annulus A of C defined over the interval $J = [e_P, e_\ell]$, where e_P is the Poincaré energy for c (see Theorem II). We want to continue the d-cylinders attached to A (and the corresponding annuli containing them) "away from the double resonance and along Γ", to a distance $O(\varepsilon^\nu)$ where $\nu \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$ can be arbitrarily chosen (provided that the regularity κ is large enough).

To state our result properly, we need to distinguish between the two components of the boundary of the d-cylinders $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ attached to A, introduced in Definition 6. With the notation of Lemma 3.1, let $(J \subset J^*, \mathrm{j})$ be a normalizing system for A and set $J^* =]e_P^*, e_\ell^*[$, so that $e_P^* < e_P$ and $e_\ell^* > e_\ell$. Since the projection $\Pi_\rho\big(\Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon)\big)$ on the ρ-axis contains the interval J, one can define the *inner component* $\partial_{in}\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ of $\partial\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ as the one whose corresponding projection intersects $]e_P^*, e_P]$, and the *outer component* $\partial_{out}\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ as the one whose corresponding projection intersects $[e_\ell, e_\ell^*[$.



Figure 10: An extremal cylinder

Let (x, y) be the adapted coordinates at m. The resonance surface $\omega^{-1}(k^\perp)$ admits the graph representation

$$y_3 = y_3(\widehat{y})$$

and we assume that the s-averaged potential

$$U(\cdot, y) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f\big((\widehat{x}, \cdot), r\big) d\widehat{x} \quad : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$$

admits in the neighborhood of $y(m)$ a unique and nondegenerate maximum at $x_3^*(y)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Fix $\nu \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$ and constants $b > a > 0$, $\mu > 0$. Then for $\kappa \geqslant \kappa_0$ large enough, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$, there exists a cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{\rm ext}$ which continues $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ in the sense that:*

- *$\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon \subset \mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{\rm ext}$,*
- *one component of the boundary $\partial\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{\rm ext}$ coincide with the inner component $\partial_{inn}\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ points*
- *the other component of $\partial\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{\rm ext}$ is also a component of the boundary of an* invariant *cylinder contained in $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{\rm ext}$ and located in the domain*

$$\widehat{x} \in \mathbb{T}^2, \quad a\varepsilon^\nu \leqslant \|\widehat{y} - \widehat{y}^0\| \leqslant b\varepsilon^\nu, \quad |x_3 - x_3^*(m)| \leqslant \mu, \quad |y_3 - y_3^*(m)| \leqslant \mu\sqrt{\varepsilon}. \tag{47}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.3 is in Section 4.4.

3. We can now define in a simple way the s-cylinder which "interpolates" between the previous extremal cylinders $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{\rm ext}(m^0)$ and $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{\rm ext}(m^1)$. We say that a cylinder $\mathscr{C}$ is *oriented* when an order on its boundary components has been fixed, we denote by $\partial_\bullet\mathscr{C}$ the first one and by $\mathscr{C}^\bullet$ the second one. We say that two cylinders $\mathscr{C}_0$, $\mathscr{C}_1$ contained in a cylinder are *consecutive* when $\partial^\bullet\mathscr{C}_0 = \partial^\bullet\mathscr{C}^1$.

Definition 8. *An s-cylinder at energy $\mathbf{e}$ "connecting m^0 and m^1 along Γ" is a normally cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ at energy $\mathbf{e}$ for H_ε which contains both extremal cylinders $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{\rm ext}(m^0)$ and $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{\rm ext}(m^0)$, and whose projection in action is located in a tubular neighborhood of radius $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ of Γ.*

A twist section for an invariant cylinder $\widehat{\mathscr{C}} \subset \mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ is a 2-dimensional global section $\Sigma \subset \widehat{\mathscr{C}}$, transverse to X_H in $\mathscr{C}$, which is the image of some exact-symplectic embedding $\mathrm{j}_\Sigma : \mathbb{T}\times[a,b] \to \Sigma$, such that the Poincaré return map associated with Σ is a twist map in the j_Σ-induced coordinates on $\mathbb{T} \times [a, b]$.

We say that $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ satifies the twist property when it admits a finite covering $\widehat{\mathscr{C}}_1, \ldots, \widehat{\mathscr{C}}_{\ell(\varepsilon)}$ by consecutive subcylinders, each of which admits a twist section in the previous sense, and whose boundaries are dynamically minimal.



Figure 11: An s-cylinder

4. The corresponding existence result is the following.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $\kappa \geqslant \kappa_0$ large enough. Then there is an ε_0 such that there exists a family $(\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon)_{0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_0}$ of s-cylinders at energy $\mathbf{e}$ connecting m^0 and m^1, which satsifies the twist property.*

The proof of Lemma 3.4 is in Section 4.3

4 Proof of the results of Section 3

We successively prove Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 which rely on the ε-dependent normal forms of Appendix C. We then deduce Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.3 from the global normal form of Appendix B, for the former, and from the previous ε-dependent normal forms for the latter.

4.1 Proof of Lemma 3.1

We first prove the existence of normalizing diffeomorphisms. We then prove the existence of d–annuli by the persistence theorem of Appendix A, applied to the normal form N_ε of equation (39). The intersection of a d–annulus with $\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon^{-1}(0)$ is a pseudo invariant cylinder which admits a "twist section" (which will be naturally defined even in this pseudo invariant context). The invariant curve theorem (in the version by Herman) applied to the twist section proves the existence of a large family of isotropic 2–dimensional invariant tori inside the pseudo invariant cylinders. The zone limited by two of them is a compact invariant cylinder and one can choose these tori close enough to the "ends" of the pseudo invariant cylinders to prove our statement.

4.1.1 Existence of the d–normalizing diffeomorphisms

In this section we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Fix a set of parameters (d^*, σ, p, ℓ). Then there exists κ_0 such then for $\kappa \geqslant \kappa_0$, there exists a d–normalizing diffeomorphism with parameters (d^*, σ, p, ℓ).*

Proof. We apply Proposition C.1 to our Hamiltonian H_ε at 0, with $\ell + 1$ in place of ℓ. We arbitrarily fix $d < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma < 1 - d$ (we do not try to get optimal results here). Therefore one can choose $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}^*$ large enough such that, given any $d^* > 0$, there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ so that, for $0 \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$, there exists an analytic symplectic embedding

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \to \mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, 2d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon})$$

such that for $(\theta, r) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon})$,

$$N_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = H_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = h(r) + g_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, r) + R_\varepsilon(\theta, r),$$

where g_ε is analytic on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times B(0, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ and R_ε is C^κ on $\mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon})$, with

$$\|g_\varepsilon - \varepsilon[f]\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}^2 \times B^3(0, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon})\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^{2-\sigma}, \qquad \|R_\varepsilon\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, d^*\sqrt{\varepsilon})\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^{\ell+1}. \tag{48}$$

The rescaling $r = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\mathsf{r}$, $t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\,\mathsf{t}$ yields the new Hamiltonian

$$\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} N_\varepsilon(\theta, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\mathsf{r}). \tag{49}$$

Then, performing a Taylor expansion of h and $[f]$ at $r^0 = 0$ in N_ε, one gets the normal form:

$$\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} N_\varepsilon(\theta, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r}) = \frac{\widehat{\omega}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\,\mathsf{r}_1 + \tfrac{1}{2} D^2 h(0)\,\mathsf{r}^2 + U(\overline{\theta}) + \mathsf{R}^0_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \mathsf{r}) + \mathsf{R}_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) \tag{50}$$

where $\mathsf{R}_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_\varepsilon(\theta, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r})$ is a C^κ function on $\mathbb{T}^3 \times B^3(0, d^*)$ such that

$$\|\mathsf{R}_\varepsilon\|_{C^p(\mathbb{T}^n \times B(0, d^*))} \leqslant \varepsilon^\ell.$$

Moreover

$$\mathsf{R}^0_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta},\mathsf{r}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\big(g_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta},\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r}) - \varepsilon U(\overline{\theta})\big) + \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{2}\int_0^1 (1-s)^2 D^3 h(s\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r})(\mathsf{r}^3)\,ds.$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\Big(g_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta},\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r}) - \varepsilon U(\overline{\theta})\big) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\big(g_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta},\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r}) - \varepsilon[f](\overline{\theta},\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r})\Big) - \Big([f](\overline{\theta},\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r}) - [f](\overline{\theta},0)\Big),$$

so that, for a suitable constant $a > 0$:

$$\|\mathsf{R}^0_\varepsilon\|_{C^p(\mathbb{T}^2\times B^3(0,d^*))} \leqslant a\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

This concludes the proof. □

4.1.2 Existence of the annuli

In this section we deal with the normal form N_ε of (39). We fix a compact annulus A for C, defined over J. By hyperbolic continuation of periodic orbits together with the torsion assumptions, A can be continued to an annulus A^* (satisfying the same torsion properties as A), defined over a slightly larger open interval J^*. Using the Moser isotopy argument, one then proves the existence of a neighborhood $\mathscr{O}_\mathsf{A}$ of A in $\mathbb{A}^2$ and an $\alpha > 0$ such that, setting

$$\mathscr{O}(J^*,\alpha) := \mathbb{T}\times J^* \times]-\alpha,\alpha[^2 \tag{51}$$

there exists a "straightening" symplectic diffeomorphism

$$\left|\begin{array}{l} \phi : \mathscr{O}(J^*,\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}_A, \\ \phi(\varphi,\rho,0,0) = \mathrm{j}(\varphi,\rho), \qquad \forall (\varphi,\rho)\in\mathbb{T}\times J^*, \\ \phi^{-1}\big(W^s_{loc}(\mathsf{A}^*)\big) = \{u=0\}, \qquad \phi^{-1}\big(W^u_{loc}(\mathsf{A}^*)\big) = \{s=0\}, \qquad (u,s)\in]-\alpha,\alpha[^2. \end{array}\right. \tag{52}$$

so that, in particular, $\phi\big(\mathbb{T}\times J^*\times\{(0,0)\}\big) = \mathsf{A}^*$. We say that the symplectic embedding ϕ and the coordinates (φ,ρ,u,s) are adapted to A (or A^*). The main result of this section is the following, where we set $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon := \mathbb{R}/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that N_ε satisfies (39) and (40), and let $q \geqslant 1$ be a fixed integer and a be a positive constant. Then if ℓ is large enough, for any open interval $J^\bullet$ such that $J \subset J^\bullet \subset J^*$, there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ there is a symplectic C^p embedding*

$$\left|\begin{array}{l} \Psi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon\times[-1,1]\times\mathbb{T}\times J^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^3 \\ \Psi_\varepsilon(\xi,\eta,\varphi,\rho) = \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\xi, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\eta,\, j_\varepsilon\big(\xi,\eta,\varphi,\rho\big)\Big), \qquad \|j_\varepsilon - j\|_{C^p(\mathbb{R}\times[-1,1]\times\mathbb{T}\times J)} \leqslant a\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \end{array}\right. \tag{53}$$

whose image is a pseudo invariant hyperbolic annulus for N_ε. Here $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon\times[-1,1]\times\mathbb{T}\times J$ is equipped with the symplectic form $d\,\eta\wedge d\xi + d\rho\wedge d\varphi$. The vector field $\Psi_\varepsilon^* X^{\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon}$ is generated by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathsf{M}_\varepsilon(\xi,\eta,\varphi,\rho) = \widehat{\omega}\,\eta + \mathsf{C}_0(\rho) + \Lambda^0_\varepsilon(\eta,\rho) + \Lambda_\varepsilon\Big(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\xi,\eta,\varphi,\rho\Big), \tag{54}$$

where Λ_ε is 1–periodic in its first variable and in φ, and

$$\|\Lambda^0_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant a\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \qquad \|\Lambda_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant a\varepsilon^q. \tag{55}$$

Proof. We will proceed in three steps to take into account the partial integrability of the system N_ε up to the extremely small term R_ε.

• **First step.** Using a suitable rescaling in $(\widehat{\theta},\widehat{\mathsf{r}})$, we will first prove the existence of a hyperbolic annulus for the *truncated* normal form $\mathsf{N}^0_\varepsilon = \mathsf{N}_\varepsilon - \mathsf{R}_\varepsilon$ (see (39)).

1. From the definition of the adapted embedding and coordinates, it is easy to check that the composed Hamiltonian $\mathsf{C} = C \circ \phi$ takes the form

$$\mathsf{C}(\varphi,\rho,u,s) = \mathsf{C}_0(\rho) + \lambda(\varphi,\rho)\, us + \mathsf{C}_3(\varphi,\rho,u,s) \tag{56}$$

with $\partial^{i+j}_{u^i s^j}\mathsf{C}_3(\varphi,\rho,0,0) = 0$ for $0 \leqslant i+j \leqslant 2$ and $\lambda(\varphi,\rho) \geqslant \lambda_0 > 0$ by compactness of the closure $\overline{J^*}$. As a consequence, the vector field $X^{\mathsf{C}} = \phi^* X^C_{|\mathscr{O}}$ reads:

$$\left|\begin{array}{l} \varphi' = \varpi(\rho) + K^\varphi(\varphi,\rho,u,s) \\ \rho' = K^\rho(\varphi,\rho,u,s) \\ u' = \lambda(\varphi,\rho)\, u + K^u(\varphi,\rho,u,s) \\ s' = -\lambda(\varphi,\rho)\, s + K^s(\varphi,\rho,u,s), \end{array}\right. \tag{57}$$

where $K := (K^\varphi, K^\rho, K^u, K^s)$ satisfies $K(\varphi,\rho,0,0) = 0$, $\partial_u K(\varphi,\rho,0,0) = \partial_s K(\varphi,\rho,0,0) = 0$ for $(\varphi,\rho) \in \mathbb{T} \times J^*$.

2. We see all the functions and systems as defined over the universal cover of their domains, so that in particular $\widehat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$. We use the same notation $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathscr{O}$ for the initial domains and their covers. We introduce the symplectic transformation

$$\left|\begin{array}{l} \chi : \mathbb{R}\times\,]-2,2[\,\times\mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\times\,]-2\sqrt{\varepsilon},2\sqrt{\varepsilon}[\,\times\mathscr{O} \\ \chi(\xi,\eta,\varphi,\rho,u,s) = \Big(\widehat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\,\xi,\, \widehat{\mathsf{r}} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\eta,\, (\overline{\theta},\overline{\mathsf{r}}) = \phi\big(\varphi,\rho,u,s\big)\Big). \end{array}\right. \tag{58}$$

We consider the restriction of N^0_ε to the range $\mathbb{R}\times\,]-2\sqrt{\varepsilon},2\sqrt{\varepsilon}[\,\times\mathscr{O}$ and use bold letters to denote the functions $\mathsf{N}^0_\varepsilon \circ \chi, Q \circ \chi, \mathsf{R}^0_\varepsilon \circ \chi$, so that:

$$\mathsf{N}^0_\varepsilon(z^0) = \widehat{\omega}\,\eta + \mathbf{Q}(z^0) + \mathsf{C}(\zeta) + \mathbf{R}^0_\varepsilon(z^0), \tag{59}$$

where $\zeta = (\varphi,\rho,u,s)$ and $z^0 = (\eta,\varphi,\rho,u,s)$. The symplectic character of χ yields the following form for the Hamiltonian vector field $X^{\mathsf{N}^0_\varepsilon}$ in the coordinate system $(\xi,\eta,\varphi,\rho,u,s)$

$$\left|\begin{array}{llllll} \xi' = \widehat{\omega} & & & + \partial_\eta \mathbf{Q}(z^0) & + \partial_\eta \mathbf{R}^0_\varepsilon(z^0) \\ \eta' = 0 & & & & \\ \varphi' = \varpi(\rho) & + K^\varphi(\zeta) & + \partial_\rho \mathbf{Q}(z^0) & + \partial_\rho \mathbf{R}^0_\varepsilon(z^0) \\ \rho' = 0 & + K^\rho(\zeta) & - \partial_\varphi \mathbf{Q}(z^0) & - \partial_\varphi \mathbf{R}^0_\varepsilon(z^0) \\ u' = \lambda(\varphi,\rho)\, u & + K^u(\zeta) & + \partial_s \mathbf{Q}(z^0) & + \partial_s \mathbf{R}^0_\varepsilon(z^0) \\ s' = -\lambda(\varphi,\rho)\, s & + K^s(\zeta) & - \partial_u \mathbf{Q}(z^0) & - \partial_u \mathbf{R}^0_\varepsilon(z^0) \end{array}\right. \tag{60}$$

Note that $Q(\mathsf{r}) = \widehat{\mathsf{r}} \cdot L(\mathsf{r})$, where $L : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a linear map. We set $\mathbf{L} = L \circ \chi$, so, by (58):

$$\partial_y \mathbf{Q}(z^0) = 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\eta \cdot \partial_y \mathbf{L}(z^0) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\eta \mathbf{Q}(z^0) = 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\mathbf{L}(z^0) + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\eta \cdot \partial_\eta \mathbf{L}(z^0) \tag{61}$$

where y stands for any variable in the set $\{\varphi,\rho,u,s\}$, and where the derivatives $\partial_y \mathbf{L}$ and $\partial_\eta \mathbf{L}$ are bounded in the C^p topology, by periodicity and compactness. Moreover, clearly $\|\mathbf{R}^0_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant c_0\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, for a suitable constant $c_0 > 0$.

3. To get the assumptions of the persistence theorem, we fix an interval J strictly contained in J^* and we introduce the following rescaling, where $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in]0,1[$ will be chosen below:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \widetilde{\chi} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \\ \widetilde{\chi}(\xi, \eta, \widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\rho}, \widetilde{u}, \widetilde{s}) = \Big(\xi, \eta, \varphi = \widetilde{\varphi}/\gamma_0, \eta = \widetilde{\eta}, u = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, \widetilde{u}/\gamma_1,\ s = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, \widetilde{s}/\gamma_1\Big). \end{array} \right. \tag{62}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are the subddomains of $\mathbb{R}\times\,]-2,2[\,\times\mathcal{O}$ defined by $\|(u,s)\| \leqslant 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}/\gamma_1$ and $\|(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{s})\| \leqslant 2/\gamma_1$ respectively. We will restrict the system (60) to the domain $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$. On the domain $\mathcal{D}$, the vector field $\widetilde{\chi}^* X^{\mathsf{N}^0_\varepsilon}$ takes the following form

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \xi' = \widehat{\omega} + F^\theta_\varepsilon(\widetilde{z}^0) \\ \eta' = 0 \\ \widetilde{\varphi}' = \gamma_0\, \varpi(\widetilde{\rho}) + F^\varphi_\varepsilon(\widetilde{z}^0) \\ \widetilde{\rho}' = F^\rho_\varepsilon(\widetilde{z}^0) \\ \widetilde{u}' = \widetilde{\lambda}(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\rho})\, \widetilde{u} + F^u_\varepsilon(\widetilde{z}^0) \\ \widetilde{s}' = -\widetilde{\lambda}(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\rho})\, \widetilde{s} + F^s_\varepsilon(\widetilde{z}^0) \end{array} \right. \tag{63}$$

with, by direct computation and assuming $\varepsilon < 1$:

$$\|F_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant 3\,\mathrm{Max}\,\Big(\frac{\sigma\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\gamma_0^p\gamma_1^2}, \frac{\sigma\gamma_1}{\gamma_0^p}\Big). \tag{64}$$

4. Using a bump flat-top function, one can continue the function F to a function defined over $\mathbb{R}^6$, still denoted by F, which coincide with the initial one over the domain $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}/2$ defined by the inequality $\|(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{s})\| \leqslant 1$, and which moreover vanish outside $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ and have the same periodicity properties (relatively to ξ and $\widetilde{\varphi}$) as the initial one. We can also assume that its C^p norm over $\mathbb{R}^6$ satisfies (64) up to the choice of a larger constant σ. This continuation yields a new vector field Y_ε on $\mathbb{R}^6$. The persistence theorem applies to Y_ε: there is a constant $\nu \ll 1$ such that, if $\varpi, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \varepsilon_0$ satisfy

$$\mathrm{Max}\,(\gamma_0\|\varpi\|_{C^p}, \gamma_1/\gamma_0^p, \sqrt{\varepsilon}_0/\gamma_0^p\gamma_1^2) < \nu \tag{65}$$

then for $0 \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ the vector field Y_ε admits a normally hyperbolic invariant manifold, of the form

$$\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{U}_\varepsilon(\eta, \widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\rho}), \quad \widetilde{s} = \widetilde{S}_\varepsilon(\eta, \widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\rho}), \qquad \|(\widetilde{U}_\varepsilon, \widetilde{S}_\varepsilon)\|_{C^p} \leqslant \overline{a} < 1. \tag{66}$$

where $\widetilde{U}_\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{S}_\varepsilon$ are C^p functions and $\overline{a}$ is an arbitrary positive constant. Note that $\widetilde{U}_\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{S}_\varepsilon$ are independent of ξ. Clearly, this invariant manifold is contained in $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}/2$, so that the initial system (63) also admits a normally hyperbolic invariant manifold, with the same equation. Moreover, since the system (63) is γ_0 periodic in $\widetilde{\varphi}$, by hyperbolic uniqueness, the functions $\widetilde{U}_\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{S}_\varepsilon$ are γ_0 periodic in $\widetilde{\varphi}$ too (and independent of ξ), see[Ber10b].

5. As a consequence, the initial system (60) admits a 4–dimensional pseudo invariant normally hyperbolic annulus $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0_\varepsilon$ with equation, in the coordinates $(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho, u, s)$:

$$\xi \in \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon,\ \eta \in]-1,1[,\ \varphi \in \mathbb{T},\ u = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, U_\varepsilon(\eta, \varphi, \rho),\ s = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, S_\varepsilon(\eta, \varphi, \rho), \qquad \|(U_\varepsilon, S_\varepsilon)\|_{C^p} \leqslant \overline{a}, \tag{67}$$

where $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon := \mathbb{R}/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathbb{Z}$ and $U_\varepsilon(\eta, \varphi, \rho) := \widetilde{U}_\varepsilon(\eta, \gamma_0\varphi, \gamma_1\rho)$ and an analogous definition for S_ε. We will see $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0_\varepsilon$ as the image of the embedding

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\Psi}^0_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times\,]-1,1[\,\times \mathbb{T} \times J \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^3 \\ \widehat{\Psi}^0_\varepsilon(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho) = \big(\xi, \eta,\, j^0_\varepsilon\big(\eta, \varphi, \rho\big)\big), \end{array} \right. \tag{68}$$

with

$$j^0_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho) = \phi\big(\varphi,\rho,\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,U_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho),\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,S_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho)\big). \tag{69}$$

• **Second step.** We now take advantage of the integrable structure of $X^{\mathsf{N}^0_\varepsilon}$ restricted to $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0_\varepsilon$, stemming both from the fact that, relatively to the (ξ,η,φ,ρ) coordinates, it is independent of ξ and that the "complementary part" is an η–family of one-degree-of-freedom systems in the variables (φ,ρ).

1. One immediately checks that the annulus $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}^0_\varepsilon$ is controllable, so that it is a symplectic submanifold of $\mathbb{A}^3$. The vector field $(\widehat{\Psi}^0_\varepsilon)^* X^{\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon}$ is generated by the Hamiltonian $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon = \mathsf{N}^0_\varepsilon \circ \widehat{\Psi}^0_\varepsilon$ relatively to the symplectic form

$$\Omega_\varepsilon := (\widehat{\Psi}^0_\varepsilon)^*\Omega = d\eta\wedge d\xi + d\rho\wedge d\varphi + \varepsilon\, dU_\varepsilon\wedge dS_\varepsilon. \tag{70}$$

Clearly

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon(\xi,\eta,\varphi,\rho) = \widehat{\omega}\,\eta & + & \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\eta\,\mathbf{L}\big(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\eta,\varphi,\rho,\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,U_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho),\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,S_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho)\big) \\ & + & \mathsf{C}\big(\varphi,\rho,\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,U_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho),\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,S_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho)\big) \\ & + & \mathbf{R}^0_\varepsilon\big(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\eta,\varphi,\rho,\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,U_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho),\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,S_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho)\big). \end{array} \tag{71}$$

Observe that $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon$ is independent of ξ. Moreover, one deduces from (63) that the function η is a first integral for $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon$ relatively to Ω_ε. Each level set $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon = \mathbf{e}$ (for $|\mathbf{e}|$ small enough) is regular since the Hamiltonian vector field does not vanish, and is moreover foliated by the invariant subsets

$$\mathscr{T}(\eta^0,\mathbf{e}) = \big(\mathbb{T}\times\{\eta^0\}\big)\times\big\{(\varphi,\rho)\in\mathbb{T}\times J \mid \widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon(\eta^0,\varphi,\rho) = \mathbf{e}\big\}, \qquad \big|\eta^0\big| < 1. \tag{72}$$

Thanks to (71), one immediately checks that

$$\partial_\rho\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho) = \mathsf{C}'_0(\rho) + O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}). \tag{73}$$

Hence $\partial_\rho\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon(\eta,\varphi,\rho)\neq 0$ fo ε small enough, by our monotonicity assumption on the periods of the periodic orbits of A (see Section 3 of the Introduction and Section 1 of Part II). Therefore the functions $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon$ and η are independent, and $\mathscr{T}(\eta^0,\mathbf{e})\subset(\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon)^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ is a 2–dimensional Liouville torus for $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon$, Lagrangian for Ω_ε.

2. By the Liouville-Arnold theorem, there exist angle-action coordinates adapted to the Lagrangian foliation $(\mathscr{T}(\eta^0,\mathbf{e}))$, relatively to which the Hamiltonian is independent of the angles. The actions are the periods $A_j = \int_{\nu_j}\lambda_\varepsilon$, $j=1,2$, of the Liouville form

$$\lambda_\varepsilon = (\widehat{\Psi}^0_\varepsilon)^*\lambda = \eta\,d\xi + \rho\,d\varphi + \varepsilon S_\varepsilon\,dU_\varepsilon$$

(where $\lambda = \eta\,d\xi + \rho\,d\varphi + s\,du$ is the standard Liouville form of $\mathbb{A}^3$) over a basis (ν_j) of the homology of the tori $\mathscr{T}(\eta^0,\mathbf{e})$. For ν_1, one chooses the canonical cycle generated by the angle ξ, which obviously yields $A_1=\eta$. As for ν_2, one chooses the cycle generated by the angle φ, that is: $\{(0,\eta^0)\}\times\{(\varphi,\rho)\in\mathbb{T}\times J \mid \widehat{\mathbf{M}}^0_\varepsilon(\eta^0,\varphi,\rho)=\mathbf{e}\}$. Therefore by immediate computation taking (71) into account to get ρ as an implicit function of η,φ:

$$A_2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}}\rho(\varphi)\,d\varphi + \varepsilon\int_{\nu_2} S_\varepsilon\,dU_\varepsilon = \rho + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,a^\rho(\eta,\rho,\varepsilon),$$

where a^ρ is a C^p function. The associated angles α_i are computed using a generating function, which easily yields

$$\alpha_1 = \xi, \qquad \alpha_2 = \varphi + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, a^\varphi(\eta, \rho),$$

where a^φ is a C^p function. Given $J^\bullet$ such that $J \subset J^\bullet \subset J^*$ be a slightly smaller interval, the angle-action embedding $\sigma_\varepsilon(\alpha_1, A_1, \alpha_2, A_2) = (\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho)$ is well defined over $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times]-1, 1[\times \mathbb{T} \times J^\bullet$ for ε small enough, with values in $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times]-1, 1[\times \mathbb{T} \times J^*$ According to the previous product decomposition, $\sigma_\varepsilon = \mathrm{Id} \times \sigma_\varepsilon^{(2)}$, where $\sigma_\varepsilon^{(2)}$ is $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$–close to the identity in the C^p topology. Moreover, by construction

$$\sigma_\varepsilon^* \Omega_\varepsilon = dA_1 \wedge d\alpha_1 + dA_2 \wedge d\alpha_2,$$

and the transformed Hamiltonian $\mathbf{M}_\varepsilon^0 = \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_\varepsilon^0 \circ \sigma_\varepsilon$ depends only on (A_1, A_2). In the following we set $\Psi_\varepsilon^0 = \widehat{\Psi}_\varepsilon^0 \circ \sigma_\varepsilon$, so that $\mathbf{M}_\varepsilon^0 = \mathsf{N}_\varepsilon^0 \circ \Psi_\varepsilon^0$. Clearly, using (71):

$$\mathbf{M}_\varepsilon^0(\alpha_1, A_1, \alpha_2, A_2) = \widehat{\omega} \cdot A_1 + \mathsf{C}_0(A_2) + \Lambda_\varepsilon^0(A_1, A_2), \qquad \|\Lambda_\varepsilon^0\|_{C^p} \leqslant a^{**}\sqrt{\varepsilon} \tag{74}$$

where a^{**} is a large enough constant.

• **Third step.** We finally use the straightening lemma again to get a normal form in the neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^0$, to which we only have to add the remainder R_ε to get the final annulus $\mathcal{A}$ and the corresponding estimates by the persistence theorem. The method is very similar to that of the first step and we will skip the details.

1. By the symplectic normally hyperbolic persistence theorem (Appendix A), one can continue the immersion Ψ_ε^0 to a straightening symplectic embedding

$$\Phi_\varepsilon^0 : \frac{\mathbb{R}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathbb{Z}} \times]-1, 1[\times \mathbb{T} \times J \times]-\alpha, \alpha[^2 \to \mathscr{U}$$

where $\mathscr{U}$ is an open neighborhood of the annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^0$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$, such that

$$\Phi_\varepsilon^0(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho, 0, 0) = \Psi_\varepsilon^0(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho),$$

and such that the stable and unstable manifolds of the points of $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^0$ are straightened. We introduce the composed Hamiltonian

$$\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon^0 = \mathsf{N}_\varepsilon^0 \circ \Phi_\varepsilon^0 = \mathsf{N}_\varepsilon^0 \circ \chi \circ \Phi_\varepsilon^0$$

where χ was defined in (58). One easily proves that $\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon^0$ admits the following expansion with respect to the hyperbolic variables (u, s):

$$\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon^0(x, u, s) = \mathbf{M}_\varepsilon^0(x) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}(x) us + (\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon^0)_3(x, u, s, \varepsilon),$$

where $x = (\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho)$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(x) \geqslant \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0 > 0$ and

$$(\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon^0)_3(x, 0, 0) = 0, \qquad D(\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon^0)_3(x, 0, 0) = 0, \qquad D^2(\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon^0)_3(x, 0, 0) = 0. \tag{75}$$

In the following we abbreviate $(\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon^0)_3$ in $\mathscr{N}_3$.

2. We now go back to the initial Hamiltonian N_ε in the new set of variables, and set

$$\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon = \mathsf{N}_\varepsilon \circ \chi \circ \Phi_\varepsilon^0 = \mathscr{N}_\varepsilon^0 + \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon, \qquad \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon = \mathsf{R}_\varepsilon \circ \chi \circ \Phi_\varepsilon^0.$$

As above, we perform a cutoff and limit ourselves to the domain $\mathscr{D}_\varepsilon$

$$\mathscr{D}_\varepsilon : \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\eta| \leqslant \tfrac{1}{2}, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho \in J_\bullet, \quad \|(u,s)\| \leqslant 2\varepsilon^q,$$

where again $J_\bullet \subset J$ is arbitrarily close to J and $q \geqslant 1$ is an arbitrary integer. We introduce the rescaled variables

$$\xi = \frac{\widetilde{\xi}}{\gamma_0}, \quad \eta = \widetilde{\eta}, \quad \varphi = \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\gamma_0}, \quad \rho = \widetilde{\rho}, \quad u = \varepsilon^q \widetilde{u}, \quad s = \varepsilon^q \widetilde{s}.$$

where γ_0 is to be chosen below, and $\|(\widetilde{u},\widetilde{s})\| \leqslant 2$. We use again top-flat bump functions to continue the functions to $\mathbb{R}^6$. The system associated with $X^{\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon}$ on $\mathbb{R}^6$ then reads:

$$\left|\begin{array}{lrrrr}
\widetilde{\xi}' = \gamma_0\big[\partial_\eta \mathbf{M}_\varepsilon^0 & + \varepsilon^{2q}\widetilde{\partial_\eta \boldsymbol{\lambda}}\,\widetilde{u}\widetilde{s} & + \widetilde{\partial_\eta \mathscr{N}_3} & + \widetilde{\partial_\eta \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon}\big] \\
\widetilde{\eta}' = \quad 0 & & - \widetilde{\partial_\xi \mathscr{N}_3} & - \widetilde{\partial_\xi \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon} \\
\widetilde{\varphi}' = \gamma_0\big[\partial_\rho \mathbf{M}_\varepsilon^0 & + \varepsilon^{2q}\widetilde{\partial_\rho \boldsymbol{\lambda}}\,\widetilde{u}\widetilde{s} & + \widetilde{\partial_\rho \mathscr{N}_3} & + \widetilde{\partial_\rho \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon}\big] \\
\widetilde{\rho}' = \quad 0 & & - \widetilde{\partial_\varphi \mathscr{N}_3} & - \widetilde{\partial_\varphi \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon} \\
\widetilde{u}' = & \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\,\widetilde{u} & + \varepsilon^{-q}\widetilde{\partial_s \mathscr{N}_3} & + \varepsilon^{-q}\widetilde{\partial_s \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon} \\
\widetilde{s}' = & -\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}\,\widetilde{s} & - \varepsilon^{-q}\widetilde{\partial_u \mathscr{N}_3} & - \varepsilon^{-q}\widetilde{\partial_u \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right. \tag{76}$$

where the $\widetilde{\ }$ stands for the composition by the rescaled variables. Given $v \in \{\xi, \eta, \rho, \varphi, u, v\}$, the following (not optimal) estimates are immediate:

$$\|\widetilde{\partial_v \mathscr{N}_3}\|_{C^j} \leqslant \sigma_j \frac{\varepsilon^{2q}}{\gamma_0^p}, \qquad \|\partial_v \mathscr{R}_\varepsilon\|_{C^j} \leqslant \sigma_j \frac{\varepsilon^{\ell - j/2}}{\gamma_0^p},$$

for suitable constants $\sigma_j > 0$, independent of γ_0, γ_1 and ε. We will assume

$$\ell > q + p/2,$$

a condition which holds as soon as κ is large enough. As for the first step of the proof, one readily sees that it is possible to choose $\gamma_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough so that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, the system (76) admits a normally hyperbolic invariant annulus with equation

$$\widetilde{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\widetilde{\eta}| < \tfrac{1}{2}, \quad \widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \widetilde{\rho} \in J_\bullet, \quad \widetilde{u} = \widetilde{U}_\varepsilon(\widetilde{x}), \quad \widetilde{s} = \widetilde{S}_\varepsilon(\widetilde{x}),$$

where $\widetilde{U}_\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{S}_\varepsilon$ are C^p functions with $\|(\widetilde{U}_\varepsilon, \widetilde{S}_\varepsilon)\|_{C^p} \leqslant 1$. As a consequence, the system $X^{\mathscr{N}_\varepsilon}$ possesses a pseudo invariant annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ contained in the domain $\mathscr{D}_\varepsilon$, with equation

$$\xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\eta| < \tfrac{1}{2}, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho \in J_\bullet, \quad u = \varepsilon^q U_\varepsilon(x), \quad s = \varepsilon^q S_\varepsilon(x),$$

where $U_\varepsilon(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho) = \widetilde{U}_\varepsilon(\gamma_0\xi, \eta, \gamma_0\varphi, \rho)$ and a similar definition for S_ε. Going back to the initial variables:

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon = \Big\{ \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\xi, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\eta, \, j_\varepsilon\big(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho\big) \Big) \mid \xi \in \frac{\mathbb{R}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathbb{Z}}, \, |\eta| < \tfrac{1}{2}, \, \varphi \in \mathbb{T}, \, \rho \in J_\bullet \Big\}, \tag{77}$$

with now

$$\|j_\varepsilon - j_\varepsilon^0\|_{C^p} \leqslant \sigma\varepsilon^q$$

for a suitable constant $\sigma > 0$. The annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ is symplectic, so the Hamiltonian vector field $\Psi_\varepsilon^* X^{\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon}$ is generated by $\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon \circ \Psi_\varepsilon$ relatively to the symplectic form

$$\Omega_\varepsilon = d\eta \wedge d\xi + d\rho \wedge d\varphi + \varepsilon^{2q} dU_\varepsilon \wedge dS_\varepsilon.$$

This immediately yields the final Hamiltonian M_ε. □

4.1.3 Twist sections

We now examine the intersection of the previous pseudo invariant annulus with constant energy levels of the initial Hamiltonian N_ε.

Lemma 4.3. *We keep the notation and assumptions of Lemma 4.2. Then $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \cap \mathsf{N}_\varepsilon^{-1}(0)$ is a pseudo invariant hyperbolic cylinder for N_ε, on which $(\xi, \varphi, \rho) \in \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{T} \times J^\bullet$ form a chart. The set Σ_ε defined in this chart by the equation $\xi = 0$ is a 2–dimensional transverse section for the Hamiltonian vector field $X^{\mathsf{M}_\varepsilon}$ on $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$, on which the coordinates $(\varphi, \rho) \in \mathbb{T} \times J$ form an exact symplectic chart. Relatively to these coordinates, given $J^\bullet$ with $J \subset \overline{J}^\bullet \subset J^\bullet$, the Poincaré map induced by the Hamiltonian flow inside $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ is well-defined over $\mathbb{T} \times J^\bullet$, with values in $\mathbb{T} \times J^\bullet$, and reads*

$$\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon(\varphi, \rho) = \Big(\varphi + \varepsilon\varpi(\rho) + \Delta_\varepsilon^\varphi(\varphi, \rho),\ \rho + \Delta_\varepsilon^\rho(\varphi, \rho)\Big), \tag{78}$$

where ϖ, $\Delta_\varepsilon^\varphi$, Δ_ε^ρ are C^p functions such that:

$$\varpi'(\rho) \geqslant \sigma, \qquad \|\Delta_\varepsilon^\varphi\|_{C^p} \leqslant \varepsilon^q, \qquad \|\Delta_\varepsilon^\rho\|_{C^p} \leqslant \varepsilon^q. \tag{79}$$

for a suitable $\sigma > 0$.

Proof. In the chart $(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho) \in \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times\,]-1, 1[\,\times \mathbb{T} \times J^\bullet$ of $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ associated with the embedding Ψ_ε, the intersection $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ admits the equation $\mathsf{M}_\varepsilon = 0$, that is:

$$\widehat{\omega}\,\eta + \mathsf{C}_0(\rho) + \Lambda_\varepsilon^0(\eta, \rho) + \Lambda_\varepsilon\Big(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\xi, \eta, \varphi, \rho\Big) = 0.$$

For ε small enough, from this latter equation one gets the variable η as an implicit function of ξ, φ, ρ, so that $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ is a 3–dimensional submanifold of $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$, diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^2 \times\,]0, 1[$. Moreover $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ is pseudo invariant since $\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon^{-1}(0)$ is invariant and $\mathscr{A}_\varepsilon$ is pseudo invariant. Since $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ is normally hyperbolic, $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ is normally hyperbolic too (see the introduction). Since $\dot{\xi} = \widehat{\omega} + O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$, Σ_ε is a transverse section for $X^{\mathsf{M}_\varepsilon}$ on $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ when ε is small enough. The statement on $\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon$ is immediate from the expression of M_ε. □

4.1.4 Invariant tori and the boundaries of d–cylinders

It only remains now to apply to $\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon$ the invariant curve theorem deduced from Herman's presentation, stated in Proposition D.1, which is possible by chosing ℓ large enough. This yields the existence of ε_0 such that the map $\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon$ admits an essential curve in each connected component of $\mathbb{T} \times J^\bullet \backslash \overline{J}$. As a consequence, the Hamiltonian flow in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ admits an invariant torus in each domain $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{T} \times J^\bullet \backslash \overline{J}$, which bound in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ a compact normally hyperbolic invariant cylinder. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

4.2 Proof of Lemma 3.2

Recall that given a singular 2-annulus $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$ of C, there exists a neighborhood O of $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$ in $\mathbb{A}^2$ and a vector field $X_\circ$ on an open set of $\mathbb{A}^2$ such that $X_\circ \equiv X_C$ in O and $X_\circ$ admits a C^1 normally hyperbolic 2-annulus (see Part II and Appendix A) $\mathsf{A}_\circ$ which satisfies the properties:

- the components of the boundary of $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$ formed by the opposite periodic orbits coincide with the components of the boundary of $\mathsf{A}_\circ$,
- the time-one map of $X_\circ$ is a twist map relatively to adapted coordinates.
- $X_\circ$ is the Hamiltonian vector field generated by a C^2 Hamiltonian $H_\circ$ on $\mathbb{A}^2$.

As a consequence, the previous section applied to $H_\circ$ proves the existence of a cylinder attached to $\mathsf{A}_\circ$. Now the same argument as above proves the existence of three regular 2-dimensional annuli of section at energy $\mathbf{e}$ on which the return map has the form (78) and so admits invariant circles arbitrarily close to the boundaries. One deduces the existence of 3 invariant 2-dimensional tori which form the boundary of a singular cylinder, which moreover admits a generalized twist section in the sense of Definition 7. This concludes the proof.

4.3 Proof of Lemma 3.4

We will first prove the existence of "the main part of" the s–cylinder using the global normal form of Proposition B.1 and hyperbolic persistence. We then prove the existence of the extremal cylinders at the double resonance points. Finally, we get the s–cylinders by gluing together the extremal cylinders at each end of the previous main part.

4.3.1 Global normal form: the "main part" of the s–cylinders

Let $\Gamma = \omega^{-1}(k^\perp) \cap h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$. We fix adapted coordinates (θ, r) and write $r_3 = r_3^*(\widehat{r})$ for the equation of the resonance surface $\omega^{-1}(k^\perp)$. We set

$$\ell(\widehat{r}) = \big(\widehat{r}, r_3^*(\widehat{r})\big).$$

As in Appendix B, we fix two consecutive points r' and r'' in $D(\delta)$, fix $\rho \ll \operatorname{dist}_\Gamma(r', r'')$ and set

$$\Gamma_\rho := [r^*, r^{**}]_\Gamma \subset [r', r'']_\Gamma,$$

where r^*, r^{**} are defined by the equalities

$$\operatorname{dist}_\Gamma(r^*, r') = \operatorname{dist}_\Gamma(r^{**}, r'') = \rho.$$

We set $\widehat{\Gamma}_\rho = \Pi(\Gamma_\rho)$ where Π is the projection $r \mapsto \widehat{r}$. For $c > 0$, we then set

$$\mathscr{U}_{c,\rho} = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \operatorname{dist}_\infty(r, \Gamma_\rho) < c\rho\}, \qquad \mathscr{D}_{c,\rho} = \Pi\big(\mathscr{U}_{c,\rho}\big), \qquad \mathscr{W}_{c,\rho} = \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathscr{U}_{c,\rho}. \tag{80}$$

Our starting point is the following consequence of the global normal form in Proposition B.1.

Lemma 4.4. *Consider the system*

$$N(\theta, r) = h(r) + \varepsilon V(\theta_3, r) + \varepsilon W_0(\theta, r) + \varepsilon W_1(\theta, r) + \varepsilon^2 W_2(\theta, r), \tag{81}$$

where

$$V(\theta_3, r) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(\theta, r)\, d\theta_1 d\theta_2, \tag{82}$$

and where the functions $W_0 \in C^p(\mathbb{A}^3)$, $W_1 \in C^{\kappa-1}(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})$, $W_2 \in C^\kappa(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_0\|_{C^p(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} &\leqslant \delta, \\ \|W_1\|_{C^2(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} &\leqslant c_1\, \rho^{-3} \\ \|W_2\|_{C^2(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} &\leqslant c_2\, \rho^{-6}, \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

for suitable constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Assume that for $\widehat{r} \in \mathscr{D}_{c\rho}$ the function

$$V\big(\cdot, \ell(\widehat{r})\big) : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \tag{84}$$

admits a single maximum at $\theta_3^*(\widehat{r})$, which is nondegenerate. Then for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, the system N_ε admits a pseudo invariant cylinder $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon = \Big\{ \big(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r}, \theta_3 = \Theta_3(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r}), r_3 = R_3(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r})\big) \mid \widehat{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^2,\ \widehat{r} \in \mathscr{D}_{c\rho} \Big\} \cap N_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbf{e}), \tag{85}$$

where $c > 0$ is small enough, and where

$$\|(\Theta_3 - \theta_3^*(\widehat{r}))\|_{C^0} \leqslant c\sqrt{\delta}, \qquad \|(R_3 - r_3^*(\widehat{r}))\|_{C^0} \leqslant c\sqrt{\delta}\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \tag{86}$$

for a suitable $C > 0$ independent of ρ. Moreover, there exists $\mu > 0$ such that any invariant set which is contained in a domain of the form

$$\Big\{ (\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r}, \theta_3, r_3) \mid \widehat{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^2, \widehat{r} \in \mathscr{D}_{c\rho}, |\theta_3 - \theta_3(\widehat{r})|, |r_3 - r_3^*(\widehat{r})| \Big\} \cap N_\varepsilon^{-1}(0) \tag{87}$$

is contained in $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$.

Proof. We first work in the universal covering $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ of $\mathbb{A}^3$ and use the same notation for the elements of $\mathbb{A}^3$ and their lifts.

- The differential system associated with X^{N_ε} reads

$$\left| \begin{array}{lllll} \widehat{\theta}' = \widehat{\omega}(r) & + \varepsilon \partial_{\widehat{r}} V(\theta_3, r) & + \varepsilon\, \partial_{\widehat{r}} W_0(\theta, r) & + \varepsilon\, \partial_{\widehat{r}} W_1(\theta, r) & + \varepsilon^2\, \partial_{\widehat{r}} W_2(\theta, r) \\ \widehat{r}' = & & - \varepsilon\, \partial_{\widehat{\theta}} W_0(\theta, r) & - \varepsilon\, \partial_{\widehat{\theta}} W_1(\theta, r) & - \varepsilon^2\, \partial_{\widehat{\theta}} W_2(\theta, r) \\ \theta_3' = \omega_3(r) & + \varepsilon \partial_{r_3} V(\theta_3, r) & + \varepsilon\, \partial_{r_3} W_0(\theta, r) & + \varepsilon\, \partial_{r_3} W_1(\theta, r) & + \varepsilon^2\, \partial_{r_3} W_2(\theta, r) \\ r_3' = & - \varepsilon \partial_{\theta_3} V(\theta_3, r) & - \varepsilon\, \partial_{\theta_3} W_0(\theta, r) & - \varepsilon\, \partial_{\theta_3} W_1(\theta, r) & - \varepsilon^2\, \partial_{\theta_3} W_2(\theta, r). \end{array} \right. \tag{88}$$

- We will first estimate the various terms of the previous system in the domain D_ε defined by

$$\mathsf{D}_\varepsilon = \Big\{ (\theta, r) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \widehat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^2,\ \widehat{r} \in \mathscr{D}_\rho,\ \big|\theta_3 - \theta_3^*\big(\ell(\widehat{r})\big)\big| \leqslant \sqrt{\delta},\ |r_3 - r_3^*(\widehat{r})| \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon} \Big\}. \tag{89}$$

We first set

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_3 = \theta_3 - \theta_3^*\big(\ell(\widehat{r})\big), \qquad \mathbf{r}_3 = r_3 - r_3^*(\widehat{r}), \tag{90}$$

so that

$$\left| \begin{array}{rcl} \omega_3(r) & = & a(\widehat{r})\, \mathbf{r}_3 + \chi(\widehat{r}, \mathbf{r}_3)\, \mathbf{r}_3^2 \\ -\partial_{\theta_3} V(\theta_3, r) & = & b(\widehat{r})\, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3 + \chi(\widehat{r}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3, \mathbf{r}_3)\, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3^2 + \chi(\widehat{r}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3, \mathbf{r}_3)\, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3\, \mathbf{r}_3 + \chi(\widehat{r}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3, \mathbf{r}_3)\, \mathbf{r}_3^2. \end{array} \right. \tag{91}$$

Observe that $a(\widehat{r}) \geqslant a > 0$ and $b(\widehat{r}) \geqslant b > 0$. To diagonalize the hyperbolic part, we set

$$\zeta(\widehat{r}) = a(\widehat{r})^{\frac{1}{4}} b(\widehat{r})^{-\frac{1}{4}} \tag{92}$$

and

$$u = \zeta(\widehat{r})\, \mathbf{r}_3 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, \zeta(\widehat{r})^{-1}\, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3, \qquad s = \zeta(\widehat{r})\, \mathbf{r}_3 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, \zeta(\widehat{r})^{-1}\, \boldsymbol{\theta}_3, \tag{93}$$

The inverse transformation reads

$$\theta_3\big(I, (u-s)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\big) := \theta_3^*(I) + \zeta(I) \frac{u-s}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \qquad r_3\big(I, (u+s)\big) := r_3^*(I) + \frac{u+s}{2\zeta(I)}. \tag{94}$$

We finally complete the change of variables and time by setting

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{\gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\,\widehat{\theta}, \qquad I = \widehat{r}, \qquad \dot{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}u'. \tag{95}$$

In the following we denote by M a universal constant, independent of ε and δ.

• **Estimates for $\dot{\varphi}$.** Observe that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{\varphi} = \gamma\widehat{\theta}' = \gamma\,\Omega(I,u,s) & + & \varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}}V\Big(\theta_3\big(I,(u-s)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\big),I,r_3\big(I,(u+s)\big)\Big) \\ & + & \varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_0\Big(\varphi/(\gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon}),I,\theta_3\big(I,(u-s)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\big),r_3\big(I,(u+s)\big)\Big) \\ & + & \varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_1\Big(\varphi/(\gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon}),I,\theta_3\big(I,(u-s)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\big),r_3\big(I,(u+s)\big)\Big) \\ & + & \varepsilon^2\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_2\Big(\varphi/(\gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon}),I,\theta_3\big(I,(u-s)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\big),r_3\big(I,(u+s)\big)\Big) \end{array} \tag{96}$$

where

$$\Omega(I,u,s) = \widehat{\omega}\big(I,\, r_3^*(I) + \tfrac{1}{2}(u+s)(\zeta(I))^{-1}\big), \tag{97}$$

Forgetting about the variables to avoid cumbersome notations, and using the estimates on the various fonctions, one gets:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \|\varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}}V\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\varepsilon, & \|\varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}}V\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \\ \|\varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_0\|_{C^0} \leqslant \varepsilon\delta, & \|\varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_0\|_{C^1} \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon}\delta, \\ \|\varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_1\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\varepsilon^{3/2}\rho^{-2}, & \|\varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_1\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\varepsilon\rho^{-3}, \\ \|\varepsilon^2\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_2\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\varepsilon^2\rho^{-5}, & \|\varepsilon^2\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_2\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\varepsilon^{3/2}\rho^{-6}. \end{array} \tag{98}$$

• **Estimates for $\dot{I}$.** In the same way

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{I} = & - & \sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_{\widehat{\theta}}W_0\Big(\varphi/(\gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon}),I,\theta_3\big(I,(u-s)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\big),r_3\big(I,(u+s)\big)\Big) \\ & - & \sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_{\widehat{\theta}}W_1\Big(\varphi/(\gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon}),I,\theta_3\big(I,(u-s)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\big),r_3\big(I,(u+s)\big)\Big) \\ & - & \varepsilon^{3/2}\partial_{\widehat{\theta}}W_2\Big(\varphi/(\gamma\sqrt{\varepsilon}),I,\theta_3\big(I,(u-s)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\big),r_3\big(I,(u+s)\big)\Big), \end{array} \tag{99}$$

which yields

$$\begin{array}{ll} \|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_0\|_{C^0} \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon}\delta, & \|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_0\|_{C^1} \leqslant \delta, \\ \|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_1\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\varepsilon\rho^{-2}, & \|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_1\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\sqrt{\varepsilon}\rho^{-3}, \\ \|\varepsilon^{3/2}\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_2\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\varepsilon^{3/2}\rho^{-5}, & \|\varepsilon^{3/2}\partial_{\widehat{r}}W_2\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\varepsilon\rho^{-6}. \end{array} \tag{100}$$

• **Estimates for $\dot{u}$ and $\dot{s}$.** We will give the details for $\dot{u}$ only, the case of $\dot{s}$ being exactly similar. First note that

$$\dot{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left[\zeta(I)r_3' + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\zeta(I)}\theta_3' + I'\Big(\zeta'(I)\big(\mathbf{r}_3 - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\zeta(I)^2}\zeta'(I)\boldsymbol{\theta}_3\big) + \zeta(I)(r_3^*)'(I) - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\zeta(I)}(\theta_3^*)'(I)\Big)\right] \tag{101}$$

(where $'$ stands both for the initial time derivative and the usual derivative of functions). We first focus on the part of

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Big(\zeta(I)r_3' + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\zeta(I)}\theta_3'\Big) \tag{102}$$

involving the functions ω_3, $\partial_{\theta_3} V$ and $\partial_{r_3} V$ only. A straightforward computation, using in particular (91) proves that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Big(- \varepsilon\zeta\partial_{\theta_3} V + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\zeta}\big(\omega_3 + \partial_{r_3} V\big)\Big) = \lambda(I)u + \chi(I,u,s,\varepsilon) \tag{103}$$

with

$$\lambda(I) = \sqrt{a(I)b(I)}, \tag{104}$$

and, assuming $\varepsilon \leqslant \delta$:

$$\|\chi\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\sqrt{\varepsilon}\delta, \qquad \|\chi\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\delta. \tag{105}$$

As for the contribution of the functions W_0, W_1, W_2, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_0 &:= -\zeta\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_{\theta_3} W_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\zeta}\partial_{r_3} W_0,\\
\chi_1 &:= -\zeta\sqrt{\varepsilon}\partial_{\theta_3} W_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\zeta}\partial_{r_3} W_1,\\
\chi_2 &:= -\zeta\varepsilon^{3/2}\partial_{\theta_3} W_2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\zeta}\partial_{r_3} W_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\chi_0\|_{C^0} \leqslant \delta\sqrt{\varepsilon}, && \|\chi_0\|_{C^1} \leqslant \delta,\\
&\|\chi_1\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} + \frac{\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\rho^2}\Big), && \|\chi_1\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\rho^2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho^3}\Big),\\
&\|\chi_2\|_{C^0} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\rho^5}, && \|\chi_2\|_{C^1} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho^6}.
\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

Finally, the estimates for the remaining term

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} I'\Big(\zeta'(I)\big(\mathbf{r}_3 - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\zeta(I)^2}\zeta'(I)\boldsymbol{\theta}_3\big) + \zeta(I)(r_3^*)'(I) - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\zeta(I)}(\theta_3^*)'(I)\Big) \tag{108}$$

are the clearly same as those of $\dot{I}$.

• Once this preliminary work is done in the domain (89) one easily extends the system to $\mathbb{R}^6$ by using bump functions. Let $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ be a C^∞ function with support in $[-2,2]$, which is equal to 1 in $[-1,1]$. Then the functions

$$\mu_\varepsilon(x) = \eta(x/\sqrt{\varepsilon}), \qquad \nu_\delta(x) = \eta(x/\sqrt{\delta}) \tag{109}$$

satisfy

$$\|\mu_\varepsilon\|_{C^0} = 1, \qquad \|\mu_\varepsilon\|_{C^1} = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \qquad \|\nu_\delta\|_{C^0} = 1, \qquad \|\nu_\delta\|_{C^1} = 1/\sqrt{\delta}. \tag{110}$$

The new system obtained by replacing the various factors in (88) with their product by

$$\mu_\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}_3)\nu_\delta(\boldsymbol{\theta}_3) \tag{111}$$

admits the same estimates as the previous ones, to the cost of changing M to a larger constant.

• As a consequence, with respect to the new variables and time, the new system in $\mathbb{R}^6$ reads

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl}
\dot{\varphi} = \gamma\,\Omega(I,u,s) & + & F_\varphi(\varphi,I,u,s,\varepsilon)\\
\dot{I} = 0 & + & F_I(\varphi,I,u,s,\varepsilon)\\
\dot{u} = \lambda(I)\,u & + & F_u(\varphi,I,u,s,\varepsilon)\\
\dot{s} = -\lambda(I)\,s & + & F_s(\varphi,I,u,s,\varepsilon),
\end{array}\right. \tag{112}$$

with, setting $F = (F_\varphi, F_I, F_u, F_s)$ and assuming

$$\varepsilon = \rho^7, \qquad \varepsilon^{1/7} < \delta, \tag{113}$$

$$\|F\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\sqrt{\delta}\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \qquad \|F\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\sqrt{\delta}. \tag{114}$$

• Since $\lambda(I)$ is bounded from below by a positive constant λ. Therefore the persistence theorem applies when the constant δ is small enough. This yields the existence of a normally hyperbolic invariant manifold $\mathscr{A}_\varepsilon^*$ for (112), of the form

$$\Big\{\big(\varphi, I, U(\varphi, I), S(\varphi, I)\big) \mid (\varphi, I) \in \mathbb{R}^4\Big\},$$

where $(U, S) : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a C^p map which satisfies

$$\|(U, S)\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leqslant \frac{2M}{\lambda}\,\delta\,\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \qquad \|(U, S)\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^4)} \leqslant C\,\delta. \tag{115}$$

One immediately checks these estimates are consistent with the definition of the domain (43) so that $\mathscr{A}_\varepsilon^* \subset \mathsf{D}_\varepsilon$.

• To go back to the initial coordinates, one has to apply the inverse change (94) is contained in the domain

$$|\boldsymbol{\theta}_3| \leqslant c\sqrt{\delta}, \qquad |\mathbf{r}_3| \leqslant c\sqrt{\delta}\sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

for a suitable $c > 0$. One also gets the periodicity in the angular variables by the same uniqueness argument as in [Ber10b]. The local maximality property (87) is also an immediate consequence of the normally hyperbolic persistence theorem. This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.4. □

It remains now to prove the existence of a section and the twist property. In this section we will content ourselves with a non-connected section relatively to which the return map admits the twist property, we will in fact prove the existence of a covering of $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ with consecutive cylinders with connected twist sections in the next Section. We begin with a lemma which is a direct consequence of the normal forms in Lemma 4.4 and describes the Hamiltonian vector field in restriction to the annuli $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 4.5. *With the notation and assumptions of* Lemma 4.4*, the vector field on the invariant compact s-annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ admits the following normal form relatively to the coordinates $(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r})$:*

$$\left|\begin{array}{rcccl} \widehat{\theta}' & = & \widehat{\omega}\big(\widehat{r}, r_3^*(\widehat{r}) + R_3(\widehat{r})\big) & + & \chi_{\widehat{\theta}}(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r}) \\ \widehat{r}' & = & 0 & + & \chi_{\widehat{r}}(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r}) \end{array}\right. \tag{116}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} \|R_3\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\delta\sqrt{\varepsilon}, & \|R_3\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\delta \\ \|\chi_{\widehat{\theta}}\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\sqrt{\delta}\sqrt{\varepsilon}, & \|\chi_{\widehat{\theta}}\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\sqrt{\delta} \\ \|\chi_{\widehat{r}}\|_{C^0} \leqslant M\sqrt{\delta}\,\varepsilon, & \|\chi_{\widehat{r}}\|_{C^1} \leqslant M\sqrt{\delta}\sqrt{\varepsilon}. \end{array} \tag{117}$$

One can cover the annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ with a a finite number of (overlapping) open subsets over which $\omega_1\big(\ell(\widehat{r})\big) \neq 0$ or $\omega_2\big(\ell(\widehat{r})\big) \neq 0$. To simplify the following, we will assume that $\omega_1\big(\ell(\widehat{r})\big) \neq 0$ in the neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$, the general case being easily deduced from the latter (since we allow for non-connected sections).

Lemma 4.6. *With the notation and assumptions of* Lemma 4.5*, and assuming moreover that*

$$\omega_1\big(\ell(\widehat{r})\big) \geqslant \varpi_0 > 0 \tag{118}$$

in the neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$, then the submanifold

$$\Sigma = \Big\{(\widehat{\theta},\widehat{r}) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathscr{D}_{c\varepsilon^{1/7}} \mid \theta_1 = 0\Big\} \tag{119}$$

is a transverse section for the vector field on $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. The intersection $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ is a global section for the flow on $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ which admits (θ_2, r_2) as a global exact-symplectic chart. Relatively to these coordinates, the flow-induced return map attached to $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ is a twist map.

Proof. Recall that h is a Tonelli Hamiltonian on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and that (θ, r) are adapted coordinates, relatively to which $\Gamma = \{\omega_3 = 0\} \cap h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$. Let $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the projection on the $\widehat{r}$–plane.

The submanifold $\{\omega_3 = 0\}$ is a graph over its projection $\pi(\{\omega_3 = 0\})$ since $\partial_{r_3}\omega_3 = \partial^2_{r_3} h > 0$. Observe also that Γ is the "apparent contour" of the level $h^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ with respect to the direction r_3, so that $\Pi(\Gamma)$ bounds the projection $C_\mathbf{e} := \Pi\big(h^{-1}(]-\infty, \mathbf{e}])\big)$, which is strictly convex. By the implicit function theorem, this proves also that $\Pi\big(\{\omega_3 = 0\} \cap h^{-1}(]-\infty, \mathbf{e}])\big) = C_\mathbf{e}$ and therefore that $\{\omega_3 = 0\}$ is a graph over the whole $\widehat{r}$–plane.

Fix $\theta_3^* \in \mathbb{T}$ and set $S := \{\theta_3 = \theta_3^*\} \times \{\omega_3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Then clearly S is invariant under the Hamiltonian flow generated by h, and is moreover symplectic. Taking $(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r})$ as a chart on S, the induced Liouville form reads $r_2 d\theta_2 + r_3 d\theta_3$. The restriction of the Hamiltonian flow to S is generated by the restriction $\widehat{h}$ of h to S. The sublevels of this restriction are the sets $C_\mathbf{e}$, so that $\widehat{h}$ is quasi-convex. Given $\mathbf{e} > \operatorname{Min} h$, relatively to the coordinates $(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r})$:

$$\widehat{h}^{-1}(\mathbf{e}) = \mathbb{T}^2 \times \widehat{\Gamma}.$$

Each torus $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \{\widehat{r}\}$ on this level is invariant under the flow, with rotation vector $\varpi(\widehat{r}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Since $\widehat{h}$ is quasi-convex, the map $\Gamma \to P\mathbb{R}^2$ which associates to $\widehat{r} \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ the projective line generated by $\varpi(\widehat{r})$ is a local diffeomorphism.

The submanifold Σ is clearly a transverse section for the vector field on $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. The previous property shows that the unperturbed return map associated with the vector field

$$\left|\begin{array}{rcl} \widehat{\theta}' &=& \widehat{\omega}\big(\widehat{r}, r_3^*(\widehat{r}) + R_3(\widehat{r})\big) \\ \widehat{r}' &=& 0 \end{array}\right. \tag{120}$$

is a twist map relatively to the coordinates (θ_2, r_2). Since the complete map is a $\sqrt{\delta}$ perturbation in the C^1 topology of the unperturbed one, it still admits the twist property when ε is small enough. □

We finally go back to the initial system by applying the inverse normalization introduced in Appendix B, Proposition B.1.

Lemma 4.7. *Given $\mu > 0$, there exists ε_0 such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ the Hamiltonian system H_ε admits a pseudo invariant and pseudo normally hyperbolic cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ at energy $\mathbf{e}$, which contains any invariant set contained in the domains*

$$D^0 = \Big\{(\widehat{\theta},\widehat{r},\theta_3,r_3) \mid \widehat{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^2,\ a\varepsilon^{1/7} \leqslant \|\widehat{r} - \widehat{r}^0\| \leqslant b\varepsilon^{1/7},\ |\theta_3 - \theta_3^*(\widehat{r})| \leqslant \mu,\ |r_3 - r_3^*(\widehat{r})| \leqslant \mu\sqrt{\varepsilon}\Big\},$$
$$D^1 = \Big\{(\widehat{\theta},\widehat{r},\theta_3,r_3) \mid \widehat{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^2,\ a\varepsilon^{1/7} \leqslant \|\widehat{r} - \widehat{r}^1\| \leqslant b\varepsilon^{1/7},\ |\theta_3 - \theta_3^*(\widehat{r})| \leqslant \mu,\ |r_3 - r_3^*(\widehat{r})| \leqslant \mu\sqrt{\varepsilon}\Big\}.$$

The cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ admits a (non-connected) twist section.

Proof. Recall that, by Proposition B.1:

$$H_\varepsilon = N_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon^{-1},$$

where, setting $\Phi_\varepsilon = (\Phi_\varepsilon^\theta, \Phi_\varepsilon^r)$:

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon^\theta - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^0(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} \leqslant c_\Phi\, \varepsilon\, \rho^{-2} \leqslant c_\Phi \varepsilon^{5/7}, \qquad \|\Phi_\varepsilon^r - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^0(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} \leqslant c_\Phi\, \varepsilon\, \rho^{-1} \leqslant c_\Phi \varepsilon^{6/7}. \tag{121}$$

The inverse image $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon = \Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon)$ is therefore a pseudo invariant and normally hyperbolic cylinder for H_ε, which contains any invariant set contained in $D^0 \cup D^1$ (up to the choice of suitable constants). The inverse image $\Phi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is a section for the Hamiltonian flow in $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$, which satisfies the twist condition since its return map is a small C^1 perturbation of that of Σ, which admits a nondegenerate torsion. □

4.4 Proof of Lemma 3.3

We assume without loss of generality that $m^0 = 0$ and $\mathbf{e} = 0$. We introduce adapted coordinates (x, y) at 0, in which the equation of the resonance Γ moreover reads $\omega_3 = 0$. We set

$$[f](\overline{x}, y) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f\big((x_1, \overline{x}), y\big) dx_1. \tag{122}$$

1. Our starting point is the normal form of Proposition C.1. We set, for $(\theta, r) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times B(0, \varepsilon^d)$:

$$N_\varepsilon(\theta, r) := H_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = h(r) + g_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, r) + R_\varepsilon(\theta, r), \tag{123}$$

where g_ε and R_ε are C^p functions such that

$$\|g_\varepsilon - \varepsilon[f]\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}^2 \times B(0,\varepsilon^d)\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^{1+\sigma}, \qquad \|R_\varepsilon\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}^3 \times B(0,\varepsilon^d)\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^\ell. \tag{124}$$

and Φ_ε is ε^σ–close to the identity in the C^p–topology. We set

$$V_\varepsilon(\theta_3, r) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{T}} g_\varepsilon\big((\theta_2, \theta_3), r\big)\, d\theta_2.$$

2. We assumed that for each point r of Γ in the neighborhood of 0, the s–averaged potential

$$< f > (\theta_3, r) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} [f](\overline{\theta}, r) d\theta_2 \tag{125}$$

admits a single and nondegenerate maximum at some point $\theta_3^*(r)$, which in turns yields the following result.

Lemma 4.8. *For each point $r \in \Gamma$ in the neighborhood of 0, the function $V_\varepsilon(\cdot, r)$ admits a unique and nondegenerate maximum on $\mathbb{T}$ at some point $\theta_3^{**}(r)$. Moreover, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$|\theta_3^{**}(r) - \theta_3^*(r)| < c\, \varepsilon^{\sigma/2}. \tag{126}$$

Proof. By (124):

$$\|V_\varepsilon - < f > \|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T} \times B(0,\varepsilon^d)\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^\sigma$$

with $p \geqslant 2$. The claim then immediately follows from the nondegeneracy of the maximum $\theta_3^*(r)$. □

3. We introduce now the truncated normal form

$$N^0_\varepsilon(\theta, r) := h(r) + g_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, r).$$

The main observation now is that N^0_ε is independent of θ_1, so that r_1 is a first integral and the system can be reduced to its level sets. The total system is then recovered by taking the product with the circle $\mathbb{T}$ of θ_1. So we fix r_1 and set

$$\mathbf{h}(\overline{r}) = h(r_1, \overline{r}), \qquad \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) = g_\varepsilon\big(\overline{\theta}, (r_1, \overline{r})\big), \qquad \mathsf{N}^0_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) = \mathbf{h}(\overline{r}) + \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}).$$

Observe that the function $\mathbf{h}$ is convex and superlinear over $\mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore, setting $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \mathbf{h}$, the resonance $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ defined by $\boldsymbol{\omega}_3(\overline{r}) = 0$ is a graph over the r_2–axis, with equation

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}: \quad r_3 = r_3^{**}(r_2; r_1).$$

4. We set $\mathbf{V}_\varepsilon(\theta_3, \overline{r}) = V_\varepsilon\big(\theta_3, (r_1, \overline{r})\big)$. Fix a point

$$\overline{r}^0 \in \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \cap B(0, \varepsilon^d).$$

Let $S_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of the homological equation

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}(\overline{r}^0)\partial_{\overline{\theta}} S_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}) = \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) - \varepsilon \mathbf{V}_\varepsilon(\theta_3, \overline{r})$$

that is, since $\boldsymbol{\omega}_3(\overline{r}^0) = 0$:

$$S_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\omega}_2(\overline{r}^0)} \int_0^{\theta_2} \big(\mathbf{g}_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) - \varepsilon \mathbf{V}_\varepsilon(\theta_3, \overline{r})\big)\, d\theta_2.$$

In particular, there is a $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\|S_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant \frac{\mu}{\|\overline{r}^0\|}.$$

We introduce the the symplectic change

$$\phi(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) = \big(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}^0 + \overline{r} - \varepsilon \partial_{\overline{\theta}} S_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta})\big)$$

5. By immediate computation, one checks that

$$\mathsf{N}^0_\varepsilon \circ \phi(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) = \mathbf{h}(\overline{r}^0 + \overline{r}) + \varepsilon \mathbf{V}_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}_3, \overline{r}^0) + \mathbf{W}_0(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) + \varepsilon \mathbf{W}_1(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) + \varepsilon^2 \mathbf{W}_1(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}),$$

with

$$\mathbf{W}_0(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) = \big(\mathbf{g}_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}^0 + \overline{r}) - \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}^0)\big),$$

$$\mathbf{W}_1(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) = \big(\boldsymbol{\omega}(\overline{r}^0 + \overline{r}) - \boldsymbol{\omega}(\overline{r}^0)\big)\partial_{\overline{\theta}} S - \int_0^1 \partial_{\overline{r}} \mathbf{g}_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}^0 + \overline{r} - \sigma\varepsilon\partial_{\overline{\theta}} S)(\partial_{\overline{\theta}} S)\, d\sigma,$$

$$\mathbf{W}_2(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}) = \int_0^1 (1-\sigma) D^2 \mathbf{h}(\overline{\theta}, \overline{r}^0 + \overline{r} - \sigma\varepsilon\partial_{\overline{\theta}} S)(\partial_{\overline{\theta}} S)^2\, d\sigma.$$

Hence, there is an $M > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{W}_0\|_{C^2} \leqslant M\varepsilon, \qquad \|\mathbf{W}_0(\cdot, \overline{r})\|_{C^2} \leqslant M\varepsilon\|\overline{r}\|,$$
$$\|\varepsilon \mathbf{W}_1\|_{C^2} \leqslant M\frac{\varepsilon}{\|\overline{r}^0\|},$$
$$\|\varepsilon^2 \mathbf{W}_2\|_{C^2} \leqslant M\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\|\overline{r}^0\|^2}.$$

6. The resulting Hamiltonian differential equations read

$$\left|\begin{array}{lllll} \theta_2' = \boldsymbol{\omega}_2(\overline{r}) + & & + \partial_{r_2}\mathbf{W}_0 + \varepsilon\,\partial_{r_2}\mathbf{W}_1 + \varepsilon^2\,\partial_{r_2}\mathbf{W}_2 \\ r_2' = & & - \partial_{\theta_2}\mathbf{W}_0 - \varepsilon\,\partial_{\theta_2}\mathbf{W}_1 - \varepsilon^2\,\partial_{\theta_2}\mathbf{W}_2 \\ \theta_3' = \boldsymbol{\omega}_3(\overline{r}) + & & + \partial_{r_3}\mathbf{W}_0 + \varepsilon\,\partial_{r_3}\mathbf{W}_1 + \varepsilon^2\,\partial_{r_3}\mathbf{W}_2 \\ r_3' = & -\varepsilon\,V'(\theta_3) - \partial_{\theta_3}\mathbf{W}_0 - \varepsilon\,\partial_{\theta_3}\mathbf{W}_1 - \varepsilon^2\,\partial_{\theta_3}\mathbf{W}_2. \end{array}\right. \tag{127}$$

7. We now follow exactly the same lines as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, in the much simpler present framework. The previous estimates prove the existence in the system N_ε^0 of a "local" annulus of hyperbolic periodic orbits $\mathsf{A}(\overline{r}^0)$ "centered at $\overline{r}^0$", which is a graph of the form

$$\theta_3 = \Theta_3(\theta_2, r_2), \quad r_3 = R_3(\theta_2, r_2), \qquad (\theta_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{T} \times \Big]r_2^0 - c\|\overline{r}^0\|, r_2^0 + c\|\overline{r}^0\|\Big[. \tag{128}$$

provided that

$$\|\overline{r}^0\| \geqslant C\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

where C is large enough, with also $c < C$ smal enough.

8. Now, by immediate hyperbolic maximality for periodic orbits, the union of all these "local annuli" is an annulus A^{ext}, which is a graph of the previous form with

$$(\theta_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{T} \times \,]c''\sqrt{\varepsilon}, c'''\varepsilon^\nu[$$

for suitable constants $c'', c''' > 0$. Moreover, for any prescribed constant $\mu > 0$, one can choose c'' large enough and c''' small enough so that

$$\big|\Theta_3(\theta_2, r_2) - \theta_3^{**}\big(r_1, r_2, r_3^{**}(r_1, r_2)\big)\big| \leqslant \mu, \quad |R_3(\theta_2, r_2) - r_3^{**}(r_1, r_2)| \leqslant \mu\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \tag{129}$$

(recall that the value of r_1 was fixed at the beginning of this part).

9. Going back to the total truncated normal form N_ε^0 in (123) and varying the variable r_1, the previous family of annuli give rise to a 4–dimensional invariant hyperbolic annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^0$, which is now a graph of the form

$$\theta_3 = \Theta_3(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r}), \quad r_3 = R_3(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{r}), \qquad (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2,\ r_1 \in \,]-\widehat{c}\varepsilon^\nu, \widehat{c}\varepsilon^\nu[,\ r_2 \in \,]\widehat{c}\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \widehat{c}\varepsilon^\nu[,$$

for a suitable $\widehat{c} > 0$ and for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ small enough. Note that the restriction to $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^0$ of the Hamiltonian flow generated by N_ε^0 is completely integrable, since $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^0$ is foliated by the invariant 2–tori obtained by taking the product of the circle of θ_1 with the periodic orbits foliating the annulus A^{ext}.

10. We now follow the same process as for the d–cylinders (Lemma 4.2). The previous integrability property yields symplectic angle-action coordinates on $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. This enable us to use the smallness of the complementary term R in (123) and get a perturbed 4–dimensional pseudo invariant annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ and 3–dimensional pseudo invariant cylinder $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^* = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \cap N_\varepsilon^{-1}(0)$ at energy 0 equipped with a global section Σ with coordinates (θ_1, r_1). Relatively to these coordinates, the Poincaré return maps takes the form described in Lemma 4.3, and we deduce as in Section 4.1.4 the existence of Birkhoff-Herman tori arbitrarily close to the boundary of the cylinder.

11. It remains now to prove that the pullback $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^* = \Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon^*)$ of the previous cylinder "continues" the cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ attached with the annulus A_ℓ of the averaged system C. This will be

done by proving that one (well-chosen) end of the cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^*$ is contained in $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$. Recall that the cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ is maximal in some neighborhood of the form

$$\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon): \quad |\theta_3 - \theta_3^*| \leqslant a, \quad |r_3 - r_3^*| \leqslant a\sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

where a is an arbitrary constant. It is therefore enough to prove that at least two Birkhoff-Herman tori contained in the end of $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^*$ are contained in $\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon)$. We will state the problem in the variables of N_ε. Just as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 the rescaling $r = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\mathsf{r}$, $t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\,\mathsf{t}$ yields the new Hamiltonian

$$\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} N_\varepsilon(\theta, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\mathsf{r}). \tag{130}$$

Then, performing a Taylor expansion of h and $[f]$ at $r^0 = 0$ in N_ε, one gets the normal form:

$$\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} N_\varepsilon(\theta, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathsf{r}) = \frac{\widehat{\omega}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\,\mathsf{r}_1 + \tfrac{1}{2} D^2 h(0)\,\mathsf{r}^2 + U(\overline{\theta}) + \mathsf{R}_\varepsilon^0(\overline{\theta}, \mathsf{r}) + \mathsf{R}_\varepsilon(\theta, \mathsf{r}) \tag{131}$$

where

$$\|\mathsf{R}_\varepsilon^0\|_{C^p(\mathbb{T}^2 \times B^3(0,d^*))} \leqslant a\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \qquad \|\mathsf{R}_\varepsilon\|_{C^p(\mathbb{T}^n \times B(0,d^*))} \leqslant \varepsilon^\ell.$$

Our statement will be an immediate consequence of (126) and (129), taking into account the following expansion:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathsf{N}(\theta, \mathsf{r}) & = & \frac{1}{2} T(\mathsf{r}) + U(\overline{\theta}, 0) + \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, r) - U(\overline{\theta}, 0)\right] + \left[U(\overline{\theta}, 0) - U(\overline{\theta}, 0)\right] + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\widehat{h}(\mathsf{r}) \\ & = & C(\overline{\theta}, \mathsf{r}) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\widehat{C}(\overline{\theta}, \mathsf{r}), \end{array} \tag{132}$$

where $\widehat{h}$ stands for the third order term in the Taylor expansion of h at 0.

12. Finally, as for the other boundary torus of $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{ext}$, one only has to apply the localization statement on the periodic orbits of the extremal annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^0$ together with the results of Lemma 4.3 and Section 4.1.4. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

4.4.1 Bifurcation points

In this part we examine the case where bifurcation points may exist between the resonant points m^0 and m^1. Three types of intervals of Γ have to be considered: $[m^0, b]$, $[b^0, b^1]$ and $[b, m^1]$. We will limit ourselves to the first one, the other two being essentially equivalent (and simpler for the second one).

Lemma 4.9. *Assume that the interval $[m^0, b]$ contains no other bifurcation points than b. Then for $\varepsilon_0 >$ small enough there exists a family $(\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon)_{0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_0}$ of (invariant and normally hyperbolic) s-cylinders along $[m^0, b]$, in the sense that:*

- *$\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ contains the extremal cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{ext}(m^0)$ of* Lemma 3.3*,*
- *the projection in action of the other boundary of $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ is located in a ball $B(b_+, \sqrt{\varepsilon})$, where b_+ is such that $[m^0, b] \subset [m^0, b_+[$,*
- *the projection in action of $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ is located in an $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ tubular neighborhood of Γ.*

Proof. The global normal form used in the proof of Lemma 4.4 is still valid here and results in the existence of a pseudo invariant cylinder whose "left extremity" contains the extremal cylinder $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon^{ext}(m^0)$, and which moreover admits a twist section. It remains to study the behavior of the cylinder in the neihborhood of the point b.

We will use the ε-dependent normal form of Appendix C. For this, we fix two actions b_0, b_1 on the resonant circle Γ, very close to one another, such that the bifurcation point in the small interval they delimit. We moreover assume that the frequency vectors $\omega(b_1)$, $\omega(b_2)$ are 2-Diophantine and that the s-averaged potential staill admits a nondegenerate maximum in a neighborhood of b_1 and b_2. We will prove the statement for the first cyllinder, the other one being similar. We set

$$[f](\theta_3, r) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f\big((\widehat{\theta}, \theta_3), r\big)\, d\widehat{\theta}.$$

Given two integers $p, \ell \geqslant 2$ and two constants $d > 0$ and $\delta < 1$ with $1 - \delta > d$, then, if κ is large enough, there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there exists an analytic symplectic embedding

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}^3 \times B(b_1, \varepsilon^d) \to \mathbb{T}^3 \times B(b_1, 2\varepsilon^d)$$

such that

$$N_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = H_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = h(r) + g_\varepsilon(\theta_3, r) + R_\varepsilon(\theta, r),$$

where g_ε and R_ε are C^p functions such that

$$\|g_\varepsilon - \varepsilon[f]\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}\times B(b_1,\varepsilon^d)\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^{2-\delta}, \qquad \|R_\varepsilon\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}^n\times B(b_1\varepsilon^d)\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^{\ell}. \tag{133}$$

Moreover, Φ_ε is close to the identity, in the sense that

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}^3\times B(b_1,\varepsilon^d)\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^{1-\delta}. \tag{134}$$

One proves as in the previous section that the function $g_\varepsilon(\cdot, r) : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ admits for r close to b_1 a unique and nondegenerate maximum at some $\theta_3(r)$. Now the differential system generated by the truncated system $N^0_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = h(r) + g_\varepsilon(\theta_3, r)$ reads

$$\left|\begin{array}{lll} \widehat{\theta}' = \widehat{\omega}(r) & + \varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}} g_\varepsilon(\theta_3, r) \\ \widehat{r}' = 0 & \\ \theta_3' = \omega_3(r) & + \varepsilon\partial_{r_3} g_\varepsilon(\theta_3, r) \\ r_3' = & - \varepsilon\partial_{\theta_3} g_\varepsilon(\theta_3, r). \end{array}\right.$$

One proves exactly as in the same way as in the previous sections the existence of a pseudo invariant normally hyperbolic 4 annulus of the form

$$\widehat{\theta} \in \mathbb{T}^2, \quad \|\widehat{r} - \widehat{b}_1\| \leqslant d\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad |\theta_3 - \theta_3(r)| \leqslant \delta, \quad |\theta_3 - \theta_3(r)| \leqslant \delta\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Moreover, this annulus carries a completely integrable Hamiltonian flow and is foliated by the invariant tori $\widehat{r} = cte$. Then we proceed as in the case of the d-cylinders to prove the existence of a section Σ for this flow, whose attached return map admits nondegenerate torsion. Finally the existence of invariant circles for this return map is proved by the Herman theorem. □

5 Intersection conditions and chains

This section is devoted to the precise description of homoclinic and heteroclinic properties of the cylinders we got in the previous one. These properties will be of crucial use in [Mar] in order to prove the generic existence of orbits shadowing the chains of cylinders.

5.1 Intersection conditions, gluing condition, and admissible chains

Let H be a proper C^2 Hamiltonian function on $\mathbb{A}^3$ and fix a regular value $\mathbf{e}$.

1. Oriented cylinders. We say that a cylinder $\mathscr{C}$ is *oriented* when an order is prescribed on the two components of its boundary. We denote the first one by $\partial_\bullet\mathscr{C}$ and the second one by $\partial^\bullet\mathscr{C}$.

2. The homoclinic condition (FS1)**.** A compact invariant cylinder $\mathscr{C} \subset H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ with twist section Σ and associated invariant symplectic 4-annulus $\mathscr{A}$ satisfies condition (FS1) when there exists a 5-dimensional submanifold $\Delta \subset \mathbb{A}^3$, transverse to X_H such that,

- there exist 4-dimensional submanifolds $\mathscr{A}^\pm \subset W^\pm(\mathscr{A}) \cap \Delta$ such that the restrictions to $\mathscr{A}^\pm$ of the characteristic projections $\Pi^\pm : W^\pm(\mathscr{A}) \to \mathscr{A}$ are diffeomorphisms on $\mathscr{A}$, whose inverses we denote by $j^\pm : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}^\pm$;
- there exists a continuation $\mathscr{C}_*$ of $\mathscr{C}$ such that the 3-dimensional manifolds $\mathscr{C}_*^\pm = j^\pm(\mathscr{C}_*)$ have a nonempty intersection, transverse in the 4-dimensional manifold $\Delta_\mathbf{e} := \Delta \cap H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$,;
- the projections $\Pi^\pm(\mathscr{I}) \subset \mathscr{C}$ are 2-dimensional transverse sections of the vector field X_H restricted to $\mathscr{C}$, and the associated Poincaré maps $P^\pm : \Pi^\pm(\mathscr{I}) \to \Sigma_k$ are diffeomorphisms.

Note that $\mathscr{C}_*^+ \cap \mathscr{C}_*^-$ is a 2-dimensional submanifold of $\Delta_\mathbf{e}$, whose role will be crucial in [Mar].

3. The heteroclinic condition (FS2)**.** A pair $(\mathscr{C}_0, \mathscr{C}_1)$ of compact invariant oriented cylinders with twist sections Σ_0, Σ_1 and associated invariant symplectic 4-annuli $(\mathscr{A}_0, \mathscr{A}_1)$ satisfies condition (FS2) when there exists a 5-dimensional submanifold $\Delta \subset \mathbb{A}^3$, transverse to X_H such that:

- there exist 4-dimensional submanifolds $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_0^-} \subset W^-(\mathscr{A}_0) \cap \Delta$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_1^+} \subset W^+(\mathscr{A}_1) \cap \Delta$ such that $\Pi_0^-|\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_0^-}$ and $\Pi_1^+|\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_1^+}$ are diffeomorphisms on their images $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_0}$, $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_1}$, which we require to be neighbohoods of the boundaries $\partial^\bullet\mathscr{C}_0$ and $\partial_\bullet\mathscr{C}_1$ in $\mathscr{A}_0$ and $\mathscr{A}_1$ respectively, we denote their inverses by j_0^- and j_1^+;
- there exist neighborhoods $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_0}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_1}$ of $\partial^\bullet\mathscr{C}^0$ and $\partial_\bullet\mathscr{C}^1$ in continuations of the initial cylinders, such that $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_0^-} = j_0^-(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_0})$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_1^+} = j_1^+(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_0})$ intersect transversely in the 4-dimensional manifold $\Delta_\mathbf{e} := \Delta \cap H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$,, let $\mathscr{I}_*$ be this intersection;
- the projections $\Pi_0^-(\mathscr{I}_*) \subset \mathscr{C}$ and $\Pi_1^+(\mathscr{I}_*) \subset \mathscr{C}$ are 2-dimensional transverse sections of the vector field X_H restricted to $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_0}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_1}$, and the Poincaré maps $P_0 : \Pi_0^-(\mathscr{I}_*) \to \Sigma_0$ and $P_1 : \Pi_1^-(\mathscr{I}_*) \to \Sigma_1$ are diffeomorphisms (where Σ_I stands for Poincaré sections in the neighborhoods $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_i}$).

4. The homoclinic condition (PS1)**.** Consider an invariant cylinder $\mathscr{C} \subset H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$ with twist section Σ and attached Poincaré return map φ, so that $\Sigma = j_\Sigma(\mathbb{T} \times [a, b])$, where j_Σ is exact-symplectic. Define Tess($\mathscr{C}$) as the set of all invariant tori generated by the previous circles under the action on the Hamiltonian flow (so each element of Tess($\mathscr{C}$) is a Lispchitzian Lagrangian torus contained in $\mathscr{C}$). The elements of Tess($\mathscr{C}$) are said to be *essential tori*.

We say that an invariant cylinder $\mathscr{C}$ with associated invariant symplectic 4-annulus $\mathscr{A}$ satisfies the *partial section property* (PS) when there exists a 5-dimensional submanifold $\Delta \subset \mathbb{A}^3$, transverse to X_H such that:

- there exist 4-dimensional submanifolds $\mathscr{A}^\pm \subset W^\pm(\mathscr{A}) \cap \Delta$ such that the restrictions to $\mathscr{A}^\pm$ of the characteristic projections $\Pi^\pm : W^\pm(\mathscr{A}) \to \mathscr{A}$ are diffeomorphisms, whose inverses we denote by $j^\pm : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A}^\pm$;
- there exist conformal exact-symplectic diffeomorphisms

$$\Psi^{\rm ann} : \mathscr{O}^{\rm ann} \to \mathscr{A}, \qquad \Psi^{\rm sec} : \mathscr{O}^{\rm sec} \to \Delta_{\mathbf{e}} := \Delta \cap H^{-1}(\mathbf{e}) \tag{135}$$

  where $\mathscr{O}^{\rm ann}$ and $\mathscr{O}^{\rm sec}$ are neighborhoods of the zero section in $T^*\mathbb{T}^2$ endowed with the conformal Liouville form $a\lambda$ for a suitable $a > 0$;
- each torus $\mathscr{T} \in {\rm Tess}(\mathscr{C})$ is contained in some $\mathscr{C}$ and the image $\Psi^{\rm ann}(\mathscr{T})$ is a Lipschitz graph over the base $\mathbb{T}^2$;
- for each such torus $\mathscr{T}$, setting $\mathscr{T}^\pm := j^\pm(\mathscr{T}) \subset \Delta_{\mathbf{e}}$, the images $\Psi^{\rm sec}(\mathscr{T}^\pm)$ are Lipschitz graphs over the base $\mathbb{T}^2$.

5. Bifurcation condition. For bifurcations points we just rephrase our nondegeneracy condition **($\mathbf{S_2}$)**: for any $r^0 \in B$, the derivative $\frac{d}{dr}\big(m^*(r) - m^{**}(r)\big)$ does not vanish. This will immediately yield transverse heteroclinic intersection properties for the intersections of the corresponding cylinders.

6. The gluing condition (G)**.** A pair $(\mathscr{C}_0, \mathscr{C}_1)$ of compact invariant oriented cylinders satisfies condition (G) when they are contained in a invariant cylinder and satisfy

- $\partial^\bullet \mathscr{C}_0 = \partial_\bullet \mathscr{C}_1$ is a dynamically minimal invariant torus that we denote by $\mathscr{T}$,
- $W^-(\mathscr{T})$ and $W^+(\mathscr{T})$ intersect transversely in $H^{-1}(\mathbf{e})$.

7. Admissible chains. A finite family of compact invariant oriented cylinders $(\mathscr{C}_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant k_*}$ is an *admissible chain* when each cylinder satisfies either (FS1) or (PS1) and, for $k \in \{1, \dots, k_*-1\}$, the pair $(\mathscr{C}_k, \mathscr{C}_{k+1})$ satisfies either (FS2) or (G), or correspond to a bifurcation point.

8. The main result of this section is the following. Recall that $\Pi : \mathbb{A}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is the second projection and $\mathbf{d}$ the Hausdorff distance between compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Proposition 5.1. *Fix a C^κ Tonelli Hamiltonian h, let $f \in C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and set $H_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon f$. Assume that H satisfies* **(S)** *along Γ. Then there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0(\delta)$, there exists an admissible chain $\big(\mathscr{C}_k(\varepsilon)\big)_{0\leqslant k\leqslant k_*}$ of cylinders and singular cylinders at energy $\mathbf{e}$ for H_ε, whose projection by Π satisfies*

$$\mathcal{D}\Big(\bigcup_{1\leqslant k\leqslant k^*} ,\Gamma\Big) = O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}).$$

Note that k^* is independent of ε. The rest of this section is dedicated to the proof of the previous proposition

5.2 Proof of Proposition 5.1

5.2.1 Conditions (FS1) and (FS2) for d-cylinders

In this section we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let r^0 be a double resonance point of D, with d-averaged system C.*

- *Fix a compact annulus* A *of* C*, defined over* I*, with continuation* A^* *defined over* I^**. We assume that for each energy* $e \in I^*$*, there exists a homoclinic solution* ζ_e *for* γ_e*, continuously depending on* e*, such that the stable and unstable manifolds of* γ_e *transversely intersect in* $C^{-1}(e)$*. Then there is an* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *such that for* $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ *the cylinder* $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ *satisfies the homoclinic condition* (FS1).

- *Fix two annuli* A *and* A' *of* C *defined over adjacent intervals* I *and* I' *and such that the boundary orbits at* e *admit transverse heteroclinic connections. Then there is an* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *such that for* $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$ *the associated cylinders* $\mathscr{C}_\varepsilon$ *and* $\mathscr{C}'_\varepsilon$ *satisfies the hetroclinic condition* (FS2).

Proof. We begin with the case of a single annulus A, with continuation A^*.

1. Let $\pi^\pm : W^\pm(\mathsf{A}^*) \to \mathsf{A}^*$ be the characteristic projections. Fix a C^κ arc $w : I^* \to \mathbb{A}^2$ such that $w(e) \in \zeta_e \backslash \gamma_e$ for $e \in I^*$. Since the manifolds $W^+(\gamma_e) \pitchfork W^-(\gamma_e)$ in $C^{-1}(e)$ and since the vector field X^C is transverse to the stable and unstable foliations of $W^\pm(\gamma_e)$ inside these manifolds, the tangent vectors to the stable and unstable leaves at $w(e)$ together with $X^C(\nu(e))$ form a basis of $T_{w(e)}C^{-1}(e)$. The $C^{\kappa-1}$ curves

$$\sigma^\pm = \pi^\pm\big(w(I^*)\big) \subset \mathsf{A}^*. \tag{136}$$

are clearly global sections of the Hamiltonian flow of X^C on the annulus A. Finally, since $w(I^*)$ is contractible, one can find a 3–dimensional C^κ submanifold $S \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ containing $w(I^*)$ and transverse to X^C.

2. Consider now the Hamiltonian N_ε on $\mathbb{A}^3$. To ged rid of the artificial singular term $\widehat{\omega}\,\widehat{\mathsf{r}}/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, we perform the symplectic change $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \chi \times \mathrm{Id} : \mathbb{A}_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^3$, where $\chi(\xi, \eta) = (\widehat{\theta} = \xi/\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \widehat{\mathsf{r}} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\eta)$. Hence $\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon \circ \boldsymbol{\chi}$ is now defined on $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times\,]-1, 1[\,\times \mathbb{T}^2 \times B^2(0, d^*)$, with explicit expression

$$\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon \circ \boldsymbol{\chi}(\xi, \eta, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}}) = \widehat{\omega}\,\eta + Q(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\eta, \widehat{\mathsf{r}}) + C(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}}) + \mathsf{R}^0_\varepsilon(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\eta, \widehat{\theta}, \widehat{\mathsf{r}}) + \mathsf{R}_\varepsilon(\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\xi, \sqrt{\varepsilon}\eta, \widehat{\theta}, \widehat{\mathsf{r}}). \tag{137}$$

3. The system (137) is an $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$–perturbation in the C^p topology of the truncated form

$$\mathrm{Av}(\xi, \eta, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}}) = \widehat{\omega}\,\eta + C(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}}),$$

which admits the product $\Sigma := \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times\,]-1, 1[\,\times \mathsf{A}^*$ as an invariant annulus, with stable and unstable manifolds $W^\pm(\Sigma) = \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times\,]-1, 1[\,\times W^\pm(\mathsf{A}^*)$. The characteristic projections also inherits the same product structure: for any $(\xi, \eta, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}}) \in W^\pm(\Sigma)$

$$\Pi^\pm(\xi, \eta, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}}) = \big(\xi, \eta, \pi^\pm(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}})\big).$$

Moreover, $W^\pm(\Sigma)$ transversely intersect one another along $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times\,]-1, 1[\,\times \big(W^+(\mathsf{A}^*) \cap W^-(\mathsf{A}^*)\big)$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$, and both manifolds transversely intersect the section $\mathcal{S} := \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times\,]-1, 1[\,\times S$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$. Let

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon := \mathcal{S} \cap W^+_{loc}(\Sigma) \cap W^-_{loc}(\Sigma),$$

then $\Pi^\pm(\Lambda) = \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times\,]-1, 1[\,\times \sigma^\pm$ are global transverse sections for the unperturbed flow on Σ.

4. We proved in the previous section that the annulus Σ persists in the system $\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon \circ \boldsymbol{\chi}$ and gives rise to an annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ which is $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ close to Σ in the C^p topology. By the nomally hyperbolic persistence theorem, the local parts of the stable and unstable manifolds limited by Σ and $\mathcal{S}$ also persist in the perturbed system. The corresponding parts $W^\pm_{loc}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ are $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ close to the

unperturbed ones in the C^p topology. As a consequence, for ε small enough, these manifolds transversely intersect in $\mathbb{A}^3$ and they both transversely intersect $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$. We set

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon := \mathcal{S} \cap W^+_{loc}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \cap W^-_{loc}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon).$$

The characteristic foliations on $W^\pm_{loc}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ are $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$–perturbations of those of $W^\pm_{loc}(\Sigma)$ in the C^{p-1} topology. As a consequence the characteristic projections $\Pi^\pm_\varepsilon$ are also $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$–perturbations of $\Pi^\pm$ and their restriction to Λ_ε are embeddings into $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. Finally their images $\Sigma^\pm_\varepsilon$ are clearly transverse sections for the restriction to $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ of Hamiltonian vector field generated by $\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon \circ \boldsymbol{\chi}$ for ε small enough. This concludes the proof of the first part of the lemma.

We now fix two compact annuli A and A' of C, defined over I, I' such that $I \cap I' \neq \varnothing$ and such there exists $e_0 \in I \cap I'$ with $W^-(\gamma_{e_0}) \pitchfork W^+(\gamma'_{e_0})$ inside $C^{-1}(e)$. We fix an interval $I_\circ \subset I \cap I'$ over which the periodic solutions γ_e and γ'_e admit a heteroclinic solution ζ_e continuously depending on e. We let $\mathsf{A}_\circ$ and $\mathsf{A}'_\circ$ be the corresponding annuli for C We finally fix coherent families ($\mathscr{C}_{\circ\varepsilon}$, $\mathscr{A}_{\circ\varepsilon}$), ($\mathscr{C}'_{\circ\varepsilon}$, $\mathscr{A}'_{\circ\varepsilon}$) attached to $\mathsf{A}_\circ$ and $\mathsf{A}'_\circ$. The proof essentially follows the same lines as the previous one.

1. Let $\pi^- : W^\pm(\mathsf{A}) \to \mathsf{A}$ and $(\pi')^- : W^\pm(\mathsf{A}') \to \mathsf{A}'$ be the characteristic projections. Fix a C^κ arc $w : I \to \mathbb{A}^2$ such that $w(e) \in \zeta_e \backslash \gamma_e \cap \gamma'_e$ for $e \in I$. The tangent vectors to the stable and unstable leaves at $w(e)$ together with $X^C(\nu(e))$ form a basis of $T_{w(e)}C^{-1}(e)$. The $C^{\kappa-1}$ curves

$$\sigma^- = \pi^\pm\big(w(I^*)\big), \quad (\sigma')^+ = (\pi')^+\big(w(I^*)\big). \tag{138}$$

are global sections of the Hamiltonian flow of X^C on the annulus A. Let $S \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ be a transverse section containing $w(I^*)$. We keep the same convention as above for N_ε and $\mathsf{N}_\varepsilon \circ \boldsymbol{\chi}$.

2. The system

$$\mathrm{Av}(\xi, \eta, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}}) = \widehat{\omega}\, \eta + C(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\mathsf{r}}),$$

admits the products $\Sigma := \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times]-1, 1[\times \mathsf{A}$ and $\Sigma' := \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times]-1, 1[\times \mathsf{A}'$ as invariant annuli, whose stable and unstable manifolds and characteristic projections have same product structure as in the previous section. Now, $W^\pm(\Sigma)$ transversely intersect one another along $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times]-1, 1[\times \big(W^-(\mathsf{A}) \cap W^+(\mathsf{A}')\big)$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$ and both manifolds transversely intersect the section $\mathcal{S} := \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon \times]-1, 1[\times S$ in $\mathbb{A}^3$. We set

$$\Lambda := \mathcal{S} \cap W^-_{loc}(\Sigma) \cap W^+_{loc}(\Sigma'),$$

then $\Pi^-(\Lambda)$ and $(\Pi')^+(\Lambda)$ are global transverse sections for the unperturbed flows on Σ and Σ'.

3. By the same perturbative argument as above,

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon := \mathcal{S} \cap W^+_{loc}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon) \cap W^-_{loc}(\mathcal{A}'_\varepsilon).$$

satsifies the conditions of our claim. This concludes the proof of Lemma 5.1. □

5.2.2 Condition (PS1) for s-cylinders

We end the proof of the existence of a covering of an s-cylinders with subcylinders which admits a twist section, and by the same token we prove the graph properties of Condition (PS1).

1. The section Δ and the transition diffeomorphisms. We want now to go back to the normal forms of Lemma 4.4 which we localize over domains of diameter $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ in the r variable, which will enable us to get a covering of a neighborhood of the annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ with domains in which

we can control the behavior of its center-stable and center-unstable foliations (when properly defined). The main preliminary observation is the following well-known one, whose proof can be easily deduced from [Pös93].

Lemma 5.2. *With the assumptions of* Lemma 4.4*, there exists a constant $a > 0$ such that the annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$ admits a covering by* invariant *subannuli $(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^m)_{1\leqslant m\leqslant m_*(\varepsilon)}$, with diameter $\leqslant a\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.*

The main result of this part is the following.

Lemma 5.3. *With the assumptions of* Lemma 4.4*, assume moreover that $|\theta_3^*(\widehat{r})| \leqslant 1/4$. Fix $\delta > 0$. Then the section*

$$\Delta = \Big\{(\theta, r) \in \mathbb{A}^3 \mid \theta_3 = \frac{1}{2}\Big\} \tag{139}$$

is transverse to the Hamiltonian vector field and intersects the manifolds $W^\pm(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ transversely in $\mathbb{A}^3$. Moreover, there exist conformal exact-symplectic diffeomorphisms

$$\Psi^{\rm ann} : \mathscr{O}^{\rm ann} \to \mathscr{A}, \qquad \Psi^{\rm sec} : \mathscr{O}^{\rm sec} \to \Delta_{\mathbf{e}} := \Delta \cap H^{-1}(\mathbf{e}) \tag{140}$$

where $\mathscr{O}^{\rm ann}$ and $\mathscr{O}^{\rm sec}$ are neighborhoods of the zero section in $T^*\mathbb{T}^2$ endowed with the conformal structure $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sum r_i d\theta_i$ in suitable coordinates. Relatively to the induced coordinates, the characteristic transition diffeomorphisms $j^\pm$ are δ-Lipschitz when ε is small enough.

Proof. The differential system associated with X^{N_ε} reads

$$\left|\begin{array}{llllll}
\widehat{\theta}' = \widehat{\omega}(r) & + \varepsilon\partial_{\widehat{r}} V(\theta_3, r) & + \varepsilon\,\partial_{\widehat{r}} W_0(\theta, r) & + \varepsilon\,\partial_{\widehat{r}} W_1(\theta, r) & + \varepsilon^2\,\partial_{\widehat{r}} W_2(\theta, r) \\
\widehat{r}' = & & - \varepsilon\,\partial_{\widehat{\theta}} W_0(\theta, r) & - \varepsilon\,\partial_{\widehat{\theta}} W_1(\theta, r) & - \varepsilon^2\,\partial_{\widehat{\theta}} W_2(\theta, r) \\
\theta_3' = \omega_3(r) & + \varepsilon\partial_{r_3} V(\theta_3, r) & + \varepsilon\,\partial_{r_3} W_0(\theta, r) & + \varepsilon\,\partial_{r_3} W_1(\theta, r) & + \varepsilon^2\,\partial_{r_3} W_2(\theta, r) \\
r_3' = & - \varepsilon\partial_{\theta_3} V(\theta_3, r) & - \varepsilon\,\partial_{\theta_3} W_0(\theta, r) & - \varepsilon\,\partial_{\theta_3} W_1(\theta, r) & - \varepsilon^2\,\partial_{\theta_3} W_2(\theta, r).
\end{array}\right. \tag{141}$$

We fix $r^0 \in \Gamma^*$ and we localize the study to the domain

$$\mathsf{D}_\varepsilon = \Big\{(\theta, r) \in \mathbb{A}^3 \mid \|r - r^0\| \leqslant \alpha\sqrt{\varepsilon},\ \big|\theta_3 - \theta_3^*(\widehat{r}^0)\big| \leqslant \sqrt{\delta}\Big\} \tag{142}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a fixed constant. We perform the conformally symplectic change of variables

$$\varphi = \gamma\theta, \qquad r - r^0 = \gamma^{-1}\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathbf{r}, \tag{143}$$

and we set

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_3 = \varphi_3 - \varphi_3^*(\widehat{I}). \tag{144}$$

This yields the new system

$$\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\dot{\widehat{\varphi}} = \gamma\dfrac{\widehat{\omega}(r^0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + \gamma D\widehat{\omega}(r^0)(\mathbf{r}) & + & \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, G_{\widehat{\theta}}(\varphi, \mathbf{r}) \\
\dot{\widehat{\mathbf{r}}} = 0 & + & \delta\, G_{\widehat{r}}(\varphi, \mathbf{r}) \\
\dot{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_3 = a(\widehat{r})\mathbf{r}_3 & + & \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, G_{\widehat{\theta}}(\varphi, \mathbf{r}) \\
\dot{\mathbf{r}}_3 = b(\widehat{r})\boldsymbol{\varphi}_3 & + & \delta\, G_{\widehat{r}}(\varphi, \mathbf{r}).
\end{array}\right. \tag{145}$$

with

$$a(\widehat{r}) = \gamma\partial_{r_3}\omega_3\big(\widehat{r}, r_3^*(\widehat{r})\big), \qquad b(\widehat{r}) = -\gamma^{-1}\partial^2_{\theta_3^2} V(\theta_3, \ell(\widehat{r})). \tag{146}$$

To diagonalize the hyperbolic part, we set

$$u = \zeta(\widehat{r})\mathbf{r}_3 + \zeta(\widehat{r})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\theta}_3, \qquad s = \zeta(\widehat{r})\mathbf{r}_3 + \zeta(\widehat{r})^{-1}\boldsymbol{\theta}_3, \qquad \zeta = a(\widehat{r})^{1/4}b(\widehat{r})^{1/4}, \tag{147}$$

This in turn yields the system

$$\left|\begin{array}{lcl} \dot{\widehat{\varphi}} = \gamma\dfrac{\widehat{\omega}(r^0)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + \gamma D\widehat{\omega}(r^0)(\mathbf{r}) & + & F_{\widehat{\varphi}}(\varphi,\mathbf{r}) \\ \dot{\widehat{\mathbf{r}}} = 0 & + & F_{\widehat{r}}(\varphi,\mathbf{r}) \\ \dot{u} = \lambda(\mathbf{r})u & + & F_u(\varphi,\mathbf{r}) \\ \dot{s} = -\lambda(\mathbf{r})s & + & F_s(\varphi,\mathbf{r}). \end{array}\right. \tag{148}$$

where $\lambda(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{a(\mathbf{r})b(\mathbf{r})}$ and

$$\|\big(F_{\widehat{\varphi}}, F_{\widehat{\mathbf{r}}}, F_u, F_s\big)\|_{C^2} \leqslant \delta. \tag{149}$$

The main interest of the previous change is that now the time-one map of the unperturbed flow is C^0 and C^1 bounded by a constant which is *independent of* ε. By the persistence theorem and the covering argument, this proves that the stable and unstable manifolds of the annulus $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \cap \mathsf{D}_\varepsilon$ admit the equations

$$\mathbf{r}_3 = R_3^{\pm}(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\mathbf{r}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_3), \qquad \|R_3^{\pm}\|_{C^2} \leqslant M\delta. \tag{150}$$

Their characteristic vector fields are therefore δ-small in the C^1 topology, which proves the existence of a small constant ℓ such that the section

$$\overline{\Delta} = \{|\theta_3 - \theta_3^*(\widehat{r})| = \ell\} \tag{151}$$

which intersects $W^{\pm}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$ transversely, and such that the transition diffeomorphisms induced by the characteristic flow are δ-close to the identity in the C^1 topology.

Setting finally

$$\overline{\Delta} = \{\theta_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}\} \tag{152}$$

one immediately sees from the system (141) that the transition diffeomorphisms induced by the characteristic flows on $W^{\pm}(\mathscr{A}_\varepsilon)$ between $\mathscr{A}$ and the section $\overline{\Delta}$ are also δ-close to the identity in the C^1 topology, relatively to the coordinates $(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{\mathbf{r}})$, when ε is small enough.

This proves in particular that the image by the transition diffeomorphisms of an essential torus contained in $\mathscr{A}_\varepsilon$, which is a graph in the coordinates $(\widehat{\theta}, \widehat{\mathbf{r}})$, remains a graph over the base $\mathbb{T}^2$ in the previous coordinates, provided that its Lipschitz constant is small enough. But one can choose this constant arbitrarily small by assuming ε small enough, by usual theorems on twist maps, since the return map on the section Σ is a perturbation of the integrable twist. □

6 From nondegeneracy to cusp-genericity

1. We keep the assumptions and notation of Theorem I. We select a finite set of simple resonance circles $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_\ell$ at energy $\mathbf{e}$, whose union contains a broken line of resonance arcs $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ with intersections in the open sets O_i, as depicted in Section 2 of the Introduction. We first prove that Conditions **S** hold for each resonance Γ_i for a residual set of functions f in $\mathscr{S}^\kappa$.

2. We introduce the averaging operator $\mathscr{I}$ along the resonance curve Γ_k. We arbitrarily choose a C^∞ parametrization $\tau : \mathbb{T} \to \Gamma_k$ and we fix adapted coordinates (θ, r) to Γ_k. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{I}_k : C^\kappa_b(\mathbb{A}^3, \mathbb{R}) &\longrightarrow C^\kappa(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \\ f &\longmapsto g(\varphi, s) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f\big((\widehat{\theta}, \varphi), \tau(s)\big)\, d\widehat{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathscr{I}_k$ is clearly linear, continuous and surjective, so it is an open mapping.

3. By classical Morse theory, the subset $\mathscr{V} \subset C^\kappa(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ of all functions $V(\varphi, s)$ such that $V(\cdot, s)$ admits a single and nondegenerate global maximum for $\varphi \in \mathbb{T} \subset B$, where B is a finite subset of $\mathbb{T}$, and exactly two nondegenerate global maximums with "transverse crossing" at the points of B, is open dense in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

4. The inverse image of $\mathscr{V}$ by $\mathscr{I}_k$ is therefore open dense in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and so is its intersection with the unit sphere $\mathscr{S}^\kappa$, by linearity. This is precisely the set of functions $f \in \mathscr{S}^\kappa$ which satisfy the nondegeneracy assumptions $(\mathbf{S_1})$ and $(\mathbf{S_2})$ for Γ_k. One gets the opennes and density of the same conditions for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \ell$ by finite intersection.

5. As for condition $(\mathbf{S_3})$, we follow a similar method and introduce the averaging operator attached to a given double resonance point $r^0 \in \Gamma_k$, that is, the function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{J} : C^k(\mathbb{A}^3, \mathbb{R}) &\longrightarrow C^k(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{R}) \\ f &\longmapsto g(\overline{\theta}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f\big((\theta_1, \overline{\theta}), r^0\big)\, d\theta_1. \end{aligned}$$

As above, this is a linear, surjective and open mapping. So, if T is the quadratic part of the d–averaged system at r^0, the set of functions f such that $\mathscr{J}(f)$ is in the open dense set $\mathscr{U}(\mathbb{T})$ of Theorem II is residual in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3, \mathbb{R})$, and so also in $\mathscr{S}^\kappa$. Since the set of double resonant points in the broken line which have to be taken into account is finite, Condition $(\mathbf{S_3})$ for Γ_k, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \ell$ is open and dense in $\mathscr{S}^\kappa$.

6. We denote by $\mathscr{O}$ the open dense subset of $\mathscr{S}^\kappa$ for which Conditions $(\mathbf{S})$ are satisfied for Γ_k, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant \ell$. Given $f \in \mathscr{O}$, then there exists a largest $\varepsilon_0(f)$ which satisfies all the threshold conditions involved in all the constructions of the proof of existence of admissible chains. For $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(f)$, the system $h + \varepsilon f$ admits an admissible chain located in the $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbf{\Gamma}$. Given $\delta > 0$ small enough (independent of f), there exists a largest $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0(f) < \varepsilon_0(f)$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0(f)$ each O_i contains the δ-neighborhood of some essential torus in the chain.

7. Given f in the previous open dense subset of $\mathscr{S}^\kappa$, we define $\varepsilon_0(f)$ as the largest positive real number which satisfies all the threshold conditions involved in all the constructions of the proof of existence of admissible chains. Since all the threshold can be chosen lower semicontinuous by construction, ε_0 is itself lower-semicontinuous.

B. Hyperbolic properties of classical systems on $\mathbb{A}^2$

The proof of Theorem II will necessitate the introduction of a set of explicit nondegeneracy conditions (Conditions (D)), under which our result holds true. We will gather these conditions in Section 1, together with some basic definitions and results. The rest of the paper is organized as follows.

- In Section 2 we first recall basic facts on the Jacobi-Maupertuis correspondence between classical systems and geodesic flows, and then very classical results on the dynamics of the geodesic flows on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ (mainly those of Morse and Hedlund), as well as the hyperbolicity theorem of Poincaré on globally minimizing orbits on surfaces. Together with Conditions (D), this enables us to prove the existence of (possibly infinite) chains of annuli, starting from the critical energy $e = \overline{e}$ and asymptotic to $e = +\infty$.
- In Section 3 we prove that under Conditions (D) the previous chains admit a *single* annulus asymptotic to $+\infty$.
- In Section 4 we analyze the behavior of the system in the neighborhood of the critical energy $\overline{e}$, assuming the existence of suitable homoclinic orbits for the hyperbolic fixed point at the critical energy. A Hamiltonian version of the Birkhoff-Smale theorem proves that the previous chains are indeed *finite*, with a single annulus asymptotic to the critical energy. Moreover, the same theorem proves the existence of (at least) one singular annulus, together with the existence of the family of heteroclinic orbits between the various objects. At this point, Theorem II will be proved except for the existence of homoclinic orbits for the hyperbolic fixed point and the genericity of the constraints induced by Conditions (D).
- Section 5 is devoted to the proof of the genericity of Conditions (D) and its consequences.
- In Appendix E we prove the existence of the homoclinic orbits for the hyperbolic fixed point of (generic) classical systems.
- In Appendix F, we give an extensive proof of the Hamiltonian Birkhoff-Smale theorem.
- Finally we recall in Appendix G some results on horseshoes in the plane, in a simple and well adapted form due to Moser.

We tried to make all proofs basic and self-contained, so we certainly do not give the shortest possible (neither the most elegant) ones. Some results are rather well-known, in particular the existence of homoclinic orbits, since the works of Bolotin [Bol78, Bol83, 1, BR02] (see also [Ber00, Mat10] and the results of weak KAM theory). However we need here to make them more precise and, in particular, to analyze the convergence of periodic orbits to the (poly)homoclinic orbits. This is also the case for the Hamiltonian Birkhoff-Smale theorem, for which we need a very accurate formulation. We could have more fully exploited the genericity results on the boundary of the unit ball of the stable norms for classical systems (see [C]) but we chosed to limit ourselves to what is strictly necessary in view of giving a complete geometrical description of the diffusion mechanism.

1 Basic definitions and the nondegeneracy conditions

1.1 Basic definitions

In this section we fix a classical system C of the form (10).

1. We denote by $\rho : \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^2$ the involution defined by

$$\rho(\theta, r) = (\theta, -r), \tag{153}$$

which reverses the symplectic form Ω. Since C is invariant under ρ, its solutions arise in opposite pairs γ^* and γ^{**}, which satisfy $\gamma^*(t) = \rho \circ \gamma^{**}(-t)$.

2. We set $\overline{e} = \text{Max } U$ and for $e > \overline{e}$ we introduce the so-called Jacobi metric induced by C at energy e, defined for $v \in T_\theta \mathbb{A}^2$ by

$$|v|_e = \big(2(e - U(\theta))\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|, \tag{154}$$

where $\| \ \|$ stands for the norm on $\mathbb{R}^2$ associated with the dual of T.

3. Given a τ–periodic solution of a vector field X on a manifold, the associated characteristic exponents are the eigenvalues of the derivative of the time-τ flow $\Phi^{\tau X}$ at any point m of the orbit. In the case where X is Hamiltonian and the periodic solution is nontrivial, $D_m \Phi^{\tau X}$ always admits 1 as an eigenvalue, with multiplicity at least 2 (due to the invariance by time shifts and the preservation of energy). We will say that a nontrivial periodic solution of a Hamiltonian system is *nondegenerate* when the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 is exactly 2. This amounts to saying that the Poincaré map associated with any section does not admit 1 as an eigenvalue. A periodic solution of a Hamiltonian vector field X is said to be hyperbolic when it is nondegenerate and when moreover the eigenvalues of $D_m \Phi^{\tau X}$ which are different from 1 are not located on the unit circle (which is equivalent to the usual hyperbolicity of the Poincaré return map).

4. Let $|\ |$ be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{T}^2$. The length of a piecewise C^1 arc $\zeta : [a, b] \to \mathbb{T}^2$ is defined as the integral $\ell(\zeta) = \int_a^b |\zeta'(t))| \ dt$. If an arc ζ satisfies $\zeta'(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [a, b]$, one defines a new arc ξ (its arc-length parametrization), defined by $\xi = \zeta \circ \sigma^{-1}$, where $\sigma(t) = \int_a^t |\zeta'(\tau)| \ d\tau$. Consequently, the domain of ξ is $[0, \ell(\zeta)]$, where $\ell(\zeta)$ is the Riemannian length of the curve ζ, and $|\xi'(s)| = 1$ for $s \in [0, \ell(\zeta)]$.

5. Let us recall the so-called Jacobi-Maupertuis principle in the setting of our classical system C. We denote by $\mathscr{L}_C : T\mathbb{T}^2 \to T^*\mathbb{T}^2$ the Legendre diffeomorphism associated with C. We say that a trajectory has energy e when the corresponding orbit is contained in $C^{-1}(e)$.

Lemma (Jacobi-Maupertuis). *Let $e > \overline{e}$ be fixed. Fix a C^1 curve ζ on $\mathbb{T}^2$ such that $\mathscr{L}_C(\zeta, \zeta')$ takes its values in $C^{-1}(e)$. Then ζ is a trajectory with energy e of C if and only if the arc-length parametrization of ζ relative to the Jacobi metric $|\ |_e$ is a geodesic of this metric.*

So, up to reparametrization, the solutions of the vector field X^C in $C^{-1}(e)$ and those of the geodesic vector field X_e induced by $|\ |_e$ in the unit tangent bundle are in one-to-one correspondence. In particular, the reparametrization of a periodic solution of X^C is a periodic solution of X_e and the characteristic exponents of both solutions are related by the reparametrization. In particular, both are simultaneously nondegenerate or hyperbolic.

6. Let $|\ |$ be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{T}^2$. We say that a closed curve on $\mathbb{T}^2$ is length-minimizing in some class $c \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ when it belongs to this class and when moreover its length is minimal among the lengths of all closed piecewise C^1 curves belonging to c. Turning back to our classical system C, we say that a periodic solution of X^C with energy $e > \overline{e}$ is minimizing when its projection on $\mathbb{T}^2$ is length-minimizing in its homology class for the Jacobi metric $|\ |_e$. These definitions can be related to the minimization of the Lagrangian action, see the next section and [Car95, Mat10].

7. We canonically identify $H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}^2$, so that a primary class c is an indivisible pair of integers (that is, $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $c_1 \wedge c_2 = 1$). We define the c–averaged potential associated with U as the function

$$U_c(\varphi) = \int_0^1 U\big(\varphi + s\,(c_1, c_2)\big)\, ds \tag{155}$$

where φ belongs to the circle $\mathbb{T}^2/T_c$, where $T_c = \{\lambda(c_1, c_2)\ [\mathbb{Z}^2] \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (note that T_c is also a circle).

8. One checks that if θ^0 is a nondegenerate maximum of U, then its lift $O = (\theta^0, 0)$ to the zero section of $\mathbb{A}^2$ is a hyperbolic fixed point for X^C, with real eigenvalues. We say that O admits a *proper conjugacy neighborhood* when exists there exists a symplectic coordinate system (u_1, u_2, s_1, s_2) in a neighbohood of O, relatively to which $O = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ and the Hamiltonian C takes the normal form

$$\lambda_1 u_1 s_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 s_2 + R(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2), \tag{156}$$

with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, $R(0, 0) = 0$ and $D_{(0,0)}R = 0$. We moreover require the coordinates to satisfy the equivariance condition

$$\rho(u, s) = (-s, u) \tag{157}$$

where ρ was defined in (153). We denote by $W^s_\ell = \{u = 0\}$ and $W^u_\ell = \{s = 0\}$ the local stable and unstable manifolds of O. The strongly stable and unstable manifolds of O read, in the previous coordinates $W^{ss} = \{u = 0,\ s_2 = 0\}$, $W^{uu} = \{s = 0,\ u_2 = 0\}$. We also introduce the subsets $W^{sc} = \{u = 0,\ s_1 = 0\}$, $W^{uc} = \{s = 0,\ u_1 = 0\}$. We will see that these subsets may be intrinsically defined. We define the *exceptional set* as the union

$$\mathscr{E} = W^{ss} \cup W^{sc} \cup W^{uu} \cup W^{uc}. \tag{158}$$

9. We introduce the amended Lagrangian $\widetilde{L}$ associated with C:

$$\widetilde{L}(\theta, v) = \tfrac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 - (U - \operatorname{Max} U), \qquad \forall (\theta, v) \in T\mathbb{T}^2. \tag{159}$$

Given a solution ω of C homoclinic to the fixed point O, we define its amended action as the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \widetilde{L}(\zeta(t), \zeta'(t))\, dt \tag{160}$$

where $\zeta = \pi \circ \omega$. This integral is immediately proved to be convergent, due to vanishing of $\widetilde{L}$ at O and the exponential convergence rate in the neighborhood of O.

1.2 The nondegeneracy conditions

In this section we fix a positive definite quadratic form T and, for $U \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$, we denote the associated classical system by $C_U(\theta, r) = \frac{1}{2}T(r) + U(\theta)$. We now introduce our main nondegeneracy conditions, *which are to be understood as conditions on U only, even if the dynamical behavior of the system C_U is involved.*

1. Conditions on the fixed point and its homoclinic orbits.

- (D_1) *The potential function U admits a single global maximum at some $\theta^0 \in \mathbb{T}^2$, which is nondegenerate.*

- (D_2) *The fixed point $O = (\theta^0, 0)$ of C_U admits a proper conjugacy neighborood.*
- (D_3) *No orbit homoclinic to O intersects the exceptional set $\mathscr{E}$.*
- (D_4) *There exists a pair of opposite solutions homoclinic to O whose amended action is smaller than the amended action of the other homoclinic solutions.*

2. Conditions on the periodic solutions. Here e fix $c \in \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$.

- ($D_5(c)$) *Each periodic solution of X^{C_U} with energy $> \overline{e}$ is nondegenerate.*
- ($D_6(c)$) *There exists a subset $B(c)$ of $]\bar{e}, +\infty[$ such that*
  - *for $e \in]\bar{e}, +\infty[\setminus B(c)$, there exists exactly one length-minimizing periodic trajectory in the class c for the Jacobi metric $|\ |_e$,*
  - *for $e_0 \in B(c)$, there exist exactly two length-minimizing periodic trajectories in c for $|\ |_{e_0}$.*
- ($D_7(c)$) *Given $e_0 \in B(c)$, the lengths $\ell^*(e)$ and $\ell^{**}(e)$ of the continuations of the two length-minimizing periodic orbits at e_0 satisfy $(\ell^* - \ell^{**})'(e_0) \neq 0$.*
- ($D_8(c)$) *The stable and unstable manifolds of two minimizing periodic solutions of X^{C_U} with the same energy transversely intersect inside their energy level.*

3. Conditions on the averaged potential.

- ($D_9(c)$) *The averaged potential U_c admits a single maximum, which is nondegenerate.*

In the rest of the paper we say for short that U satisfies Conditions $(D_5) - (D_9)$ when U satisfies $(D_5(c)) - (D_9(c))$ for each $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$.

2 Conditions $(D_5) - (D_8)$ and the chains of annuli

In this section we fix a classical system C of class C^2 of the form (10), and we prove the existence of *possibly infinite* chains of annuli realizing each primary homology class as soon as Conditions $(D_5) - (D_8)$ are satisfied. Only minimizing periodic orbits will be involved in our construction.

1. Given a discrete subset B of $]\overline{e}, +\infty[$, the subset $]\overline{e}, +\infty[\setminus B$ admits a finite or countable familly of connected components $(I_k)_{k \in Z}$, where Z is an interval of $\mathbb{Z}$, which can be ordered so that $\mathrm{Sup}\ I_k = \mathrm{Inf}\ I_{k+1}$ when $k, k+1 \in Z$. Since Z is obviously unique up to translation, we call $(I_k)_{k \in Z}$ *the* family of connected components of $]\overline{e}, +\infty[\setminus B$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ be fixed and assume that U satisfies Conditions $(D_5(c)) - (D_8(c))$. Then the set $B(c)$ is discrete and the system C possesses a chain $\mathscr{A}(c)$ of annuli realizing c, defined over the ordered family $(\overline{I}_k)_{k \in Z}$, where $(I_k)_{k \in Z}$ is the ordered family of connected components of $]\overline{e}, +\infty[\setminus B(c)$ and where $B(c)$ was introduced in Condition $(D_6(c))$. Moreover, each annulus satisfies the twist property and each periodic orbit in it admits homoclinic orbits.*

The closure $\overline{I}_k$ is to be understood relatively to the space $]\overline{e}, +\infty[$.

2. The proof relies on some classical results that we now recall. Let $|\ |$ be a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{T}^2$ and let us keep the same notation for its lift to $\mathbb{R}^2$. We say that a piecewise C^1 curve

$\zeta : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is *length-minimizing* between a and b if its length is minimal among those of all piecewise C^1 curves defined on $[a,b]$ and taking the same values as ζ at a and b. We then say that a piecewise C^1 curve $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is *fully length-minimizing* when, for any compact interval $[a,b]$, the restriction $\zeta_{|[a,b]}$ is length-minimizing in the previous sense. Of course length-minimizing curves are geodesics of the metric.

We say that $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is $\mathbb{Z}^2$–periodic with period $T > 0$ when there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that

$$\zeta(t+T) = \tau_m \circ \zeta(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where τ_m is the translation of the vector m in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In this case we say that m is a rotation vector for ζ. The period and rotation vector are not unique, but one obviously has "minimal" ones.

A classical theorem of Morse proves the existence of fully minimizing $\mathbb{Z}^2$–periodic geodesics for any (minimal) rotation vector $m \neq 0$. It is not difficult to prove that such a geodesic is a graph over the line $\mathbb{R}.m$ (relatively to a suitable coordinate system). Moreover, one proves that two fully minimizing $\mathbb{Z}^2$–periodic geodesics with the same rotation vector are either disjoint or equal. Therefore, two such disjoint geodesics form the boundary of a well-defined *strip* in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The following result will be crucial in our construction.

Theorem (Hedlund). *Assume that the strip defined by two fully minimizing $\mathbb{Z}^2$–periodic geodesics ζ_1 and ζ_2 with the same minimal rotation vector does not contain any other $\mathbb{Z}^2$–periodic fully minimizing geodesic. Then there exist a fully minimizing geodesic which is α–asymptotic to ζ_1 and ω–asymptotic to ζ_2, and a fully minimizing geodesic which is α–asymptotic to ζ_2 and ω–asymptotic to ζ_1.*

See for instance [Ban88] for a complete proof. The following result for geodesic systems on $\mathbb{T}^2$ is also classical and easily deduced from [Ban88].

Lemma. *Let $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a piecewise C^1 $\mathbb{Z}^2$–periodic curve with period T and let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ be the canonical projection. Then the following three properties are equivalent.*

- *The restriction $\zeta_{|[0,T]}$ is length-minimizing between 0 and T.*
- *The curve ζ is fully minimizing.*
- *The projection $\pi \circ \zeta$ is length-minimizing in its homology class (see the previous section).*

Let us finally state in our setting the hyperbolicity theorem of Poincaré, whose proof relies on the previous statement (see [Poi87] (vol. 3) for the original one). Recall that we say that a periodic solution of X^C with energy $e > \overline{e}$ is minimizing when its projection on $\mathbb{T}^2$ is length-minimizing in its homology class.

Theorem (Poincaré). *Let C be a classical system of the form (10). A minimizing periodic solution with energy $e > \overline{e}$ which is nondegenerate is hyperbolic.*

3. Proof of Proposition 2.1. We first prove the existence of the chain, and we then study the twist property of the annuli. The fact that $B(c)$ is discrete is an immediate consequence of $(D_6(c))$ and $(D_7(c))$. Let $I =]e_0, e_1[$ be a component of $]\overline{e}, +\infty[\setminus B(c)$, so $e_0, e_1 \in B(c)$.

Assume first that $e_0 > \overline{e}$. For each energy $e \in I$, the Jacobi metric $|\ |_e$ admits a single length-minimizing periodic geodesic ξ_e in the class c. The Jacobi-Maupertuis lemma associates with this geodesic a unique reparametrized periodic solution γ_e of X^C, with energy e, which is

minimizing by definition. Since it is nondegenerate by Condition $(D_5(c))$, it is hyperbolic by the Poincaré theorem. This and the uniqueness of ξ_e prove that $(\gamma_e)_{e\in I}$ is a differentiable family.

The Poincaré theorem still applies to each of the two minimizing solutions which exist at the limit point e_1. The same is true for e_0 since $e_0 \neq \overline{e}$. By uniqueness and hyperbolicity, this proves that the previous family $(\gamma_e)_{e\in I}$ can be differentiably continued over a slightly larger interval $\widehat{I} \supset \overline{I} = [e_0, e_1]$. This proves the union A of the orbits of the solutions γ_e, $e \in \overline{I}$, is an annulus defined over I and realizing c.

In the case where $e_0 = \overline{e}$, the proof is even simpler since e_0 no longer belongs to the interval over which the annulus is defined. So the above reasoning proves that A is an annulus over $]\overline{e}, e_1]$.

This way one gets a family $(\mathsf{A}_k)_{k\in Z}$ of annuli defined over the ordered intervals $(\overline{I}_k)_{k\in Z}$. Consider two intervals I_k and I_{k+1} of $]\overline{e}, +\infty[\setminus B(c)$, with $e_0 = \text{Max } I_k = \text{Min } I_{k+1}$, so $e_0 \in B(c)$. Let $\Gamma^k_{e_0}$ and $\Gamma^{k+1}_{e_0}$ be the minimizing periodic orbits at e_0. By the Hedlund theorem applied to the associated geodesic solutions as above, there exist in the level $C^{-1}(e_0)$ a heteroclinic orbit connecting $\Gamma^k_{e_0}$ and $\Gamma^{k+1}_{e_0}$ and another one connecting $\Gamma^{k+1}_{e_0}$ and $\Gamma^k_{e_0}$. By Condition $(D_8(c))$ the invariant manifolds of $\Gamma^k_{e_0}$ and $\Gamma^{k+1}_{e_0}$ transversely intersect along these solutions. This proves that the family $\mathscr{A}(c) := (\mathsf{A}_k)_{k\in Z}$ is a chain of annuli.

Hedlund's theorem applied at energies in the interior of I_k also proves that the corresponding periodic solutions admit at least two homoclinic solutions.

It only remains to prove that each A_k satisfies the twist property, and for this we will use some basic facts from Mather's variational theory. By Mather's graph property, one easily sees that when $e \in I_k^\circ$, the unique minimizing periodic orbit $\Gamma(e)$ realizing c coincides with the Mather set $\mathscr{M}_\omega$, where $\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ belongs to the subderivative of the Mather β function at $\rho = c/T(e)$, where $T(e)$ is the period of $\Gamma(e)$. Moreover, $e = \alpha(\omega)$, where α stands for the Mather α function (see [Car95, Fa0, Mat10]).

For each $T \in \{T(e) \mid e \in I_k^\circ\}$, fix $\omega(T)$ in the subderivative of β at $\rho = c/T$. Then

$$\alpha(\omega(T(e)) = e,$$

which shows that $e \mapsto T(e)$ is injective. Since we already know that T is continuous, this proves that T is monotone, which concludes the proof. ▫

Note that the Hedlund theorem in fact provides us with more homoclinic or heteroclinic connections than what is required in our definitions.

3 Condition (D_9) and the high energy annuli

In this section we prove that, under the additional condition $(D_9(c))$, the set $B(c)$ of Condition $(D_6(c))$ is bounded above.

Proposition 3.1. *Let C be a classical system of the form (1), with $U \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Fix $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Assume that Condition $(D_9(c))$ is satisfied. Then there is $e(c) \geqslant \overline{e}$ such that for $e > e(c)$, there exists a unique length-minimizing geodesic in the class c for the Jacobi metric $|\ |_e$. Moreover, the corresponding solution of X^C is hyperbolic in $C^{-1}(e)$.*

Note that we do not assume that Conditions $(D_4(c) - D_8(c))$ are satisfied in the previous proposition. Our proof here is perturbative rather than based on minimizing arguments. Indeed, we will crucially use the fact that when the energy e is large enough, the system C can be viewed as a perturbation of the integrable system $\frac{1}{2}T(r)$. We in fact essentially reprove the Poincaré

theorem on the destruction of resonant tori and the birth of hyperbolic periodic orbits, paying moreover attention to their minimizing properties.

Proof. We canonically identify $H_1(\mathbb{T}^2,\mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Using a standard linear symplectic change of variable, one can assume that $c=(1,0)$.

• Let $M=(m_{ij})$ be the matrix of T, so that the frequency map associated with $\frac{1}{2}T(r)$ is $\varpi(r)=Mr$. We will examine the properties of C in the neighborhood of the resonance $\mathscr{R}$ of equation $\varpi_2(r)=0$, that is, the line

$$m_{12}r_1+m_{22}r_2=0$$

in the action space. Note that $m_{22}\neq 0$, so that r_1 is a natural parameter on $\mathscr{R}$ and

$$C(\theta,r)\sim_{|r_1|\to\infty}\alpha r_1^2,\qquad \alpha>0, \tag{161}$$

in a small enough neighborhood of $\mathscr{R}$. The averaged potential at a point $r^0\in\mathscr{R}$ is the function

$$U_c(\theta_2)=\int_{\mathbb{T}}U(\theta_1,\theta_2)\,d\theta_1. \tag{162}$$

Note that U_c is independent of r^0. By $(D_9(c))$, U_c admits a nondegenerate maximum at some point $\theta_2^*\in\mathbb{T}$.

• We fix $r^0=(r_1^0,r_2^0)\in\mathscr{R}$ and introduce the homological equation

$$\varpi(r^0)\,\partial_\theta S(\theta)=\big(U(\theta)-U_c(\theta_2)\big),$$

whose solution is immediate: up to constants

$$S(\theta)=\frac{1}{\varpi_1(r^0)}\int_0^{\theta_1}\big(U(s,\theta_2)-U_c(\theta_2)\big)\,ds.$$

Therefore

$$\|S\|_{C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)}\leqslant\frac{2\|U\|_{C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)}}{|\varpi_1(r^0)|}\leqslant\frac{\mu_0}{|r_1^0|},$$

for a suitable constant $\mu_0>0$.

• We perform the symplectic change

$$\Phi(\theta,r)=\big(\theta,\ r^0+r-\partial_\theta S(\theta)\big),$$

so that:

$$C\circ\Phi(\theta,r)=e^0+\varpi_1(r^0)\,r_1+\tfrac{1}{2}T(r)+U_c(\theta_2)+R(\theta,r),$$

with $e^0=\frac{1}{2}T(r^0)$ and

$$R(\theta,r)=\tfrac{1}{2}T\big(\partial_\theta S(\theta)\big)-\varpi(r)\partial_\theta S(\theta).$$

Given $\rho>0$ large enough, to be chosen below, one therefore gets

$$\|R\|_{C^1(\mathbb{T}^2\times\overline{B}(0,\rho))}\leqslant\frac{\mu_1}{|r_1^0|}, \tag{163}$$

for a suitable $\mu_1>0$ (which depends on ρ but not on r^0).

• The Hamiltonian vector field generated by $C \circ \Phi$ reads

$$\left| \begin{array}{lllll} \dot\theta_1 = \varpi_1(r^0) & + & [m_{11}r_1 + m_{12}r_2] & + & \partial_{r_1} R(\theta, r) \\ \dot\theta_2 = & & [m_{12}r_1 + m_{22}r_2] & + & \partial_{r_2} R(\theta, r) \\ \dot r_1 = & & & - & \partial_{\theta_1} R(\theta, r) \\ \dot r_2 = -U_c'(\theta_2) & & & - & \partial_{\theta_2} R(\theta, r). \end{array} \right. \tag{164}$$

Let ℓ be the integer part of $\varpi_1(r^0)$. To avoid the classical degeneracy problem when $|r_1| \to \infty$, we will consider this system as defined on the covering $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2$ of $\mathbb{A}^2$ induced by the covering $\mathbb{R}/(\ell\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ for the first factor, so that we consider the various functions as being ℓ-periodic with respect to θ_1. We denote by $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}^2$ the coresponding covering of $\mathbb{T}^2$.

• Let Φ_0 denote the flow of the unperturbed vector field obtained by setting $R \equiv 0$. Setting $r_2^* = \frac{m_{12}}{m_{22}} r_1 + r_2$, the unperturbed vector field reads

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \dot\theta_1 = \varpi_1(r^0) + [m_{11}^* r_1 + m_{12} r_2^*] \\ \dot r_1 = 0 \\ \dot\theta_2 = m_{22} r_2^* \\ \dot r_2^* = -U_c'(\theta_2), \end{array} \right. \tag{165}$$

with $m_{11}^* = m_{11} - \frac{m_{12}^2}{m_{22}}$. The last two equations are induced by the "pendulum-like" Hamiltonian

$$H(\theta_2, r_2^*) = \tfrac{1}{2} m_{22} (r_2^*)^2 + U_c(\theta_2)$$

for the usual symplectic structure, and therefore immediately integrated. The complete integration easily follows. In particular, the hyperbolic fixed point $(\theta_2^*, 0)$ for X^H gives rise to an family of periodic hyperbolic solution of (165), parametrized by the energy.

• On the compact set $[0,2] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \overline{B}(0,\rho)$, by (163), the flow Φ of the system (164) clearly satisfies

$$\|\Phi - \Phi_0\|_{C^1} \leqslant \frac{\mu_2}{|r_1^0|} \tag{166}$$

for a suitable $\mu_2 > 0$. We assume that ρ is small enough so that the derivative $\dot\theta_1$ in (164) satisfies $|\dot\theta_1| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} |\varpi_1(r^0)| > 0$ on the set $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}^2 \times \overline{B}(0,\rho)$. Therefore the 2-dimensional submanifold

$$\Sigma = \{\theta_1 = 0\} \cap (C \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\{e^0\})$$

is a symplectic section for the flow of (164), on which the coordinates (θ_2, r_2^*) define a chart. By (166), it is easy to check that the associated return map to Σ takes the form

$$P(\theta_2, r_2^*) = P_0(\theta_2, r_2^*) + \overline{P}(\theta_2, r_2^*)$$

where P_0 is the time–$(\ell / |\varpi_1(r^0)|)$ flow of the system H, and where the remainder term $\overline{P}$ tends to 0 in the C^1 topology when $|r_1^0| \to \infty$. Now

$$1 - \frac{1}{|\varpi_1(r^0)|} \leqslant \frac{\ell}{|\varpi_1(r^0)|} \leqslant 1$$

so for r_1^0 large enough P has a hyperbolic fixed point arbitrarily close to $(\theta_2^*, 0)$. Moreover, this point admits stable and unstable manifolds that are graphs over a subset of the form $|\theta_2 - \theta_2^*| < 1$ in the covering $\mathbb{R}^2$ of $\mathbb{A}$.

• Now, as a consequence of the $\mathbb{Z}^2$–periodicity of (164) and by local uniqueness of the hyperbolic solutions, the periodic orbit $\widehat{\Gamma}$ of (164) on $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}^2$ is an ℓ–covering of a unique periodic orbit Γ for the system on $\mathbb{A}^2$, and this is also the case for the previous stable and unstable manifolds. From their graph property, we deduce that suitable parts of them are graphs of weak KAM solutions of the system C and, as a consequence, that Γ is the corresponding Mather set. So the evenly distributed measure on Γ minimizes the Lagrangian action and by [Car95], its time reparametrization minimizes the action of the Jacobi metric at energy e^0. This proves that the projection of Γ on $\mathbb{T}^2$ minimizes the $|\ |_{e^0}$ length. The definition of a Mather set also proves the uniqueness of the minimizing solution.

• The previous results hold true as soon as $\left|r^0\right|$ is large enough. Our claim then easily follows from (161). □

Corollary 3.1. *With the same assumptions as in Proposition 3.1, suppose now that Conditions $(D_4(c)) - (D_8(c))$ are satisfied. Then $B(c)$ is bounded above.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1, since $e(c)$ is an upper bound for $B(c)$. □

Note that in general $e(c) > \text{Sup } B(c)$.

4 The low-energy annuli

In this section we admit two technical results on the existence of polyhomoclinic orbits and horseshoes, to be proved in Appendix E and Appendix F. We fix a potential U satisfying Conditions (D).

Without loss of generality, we assume $\overline{e} = \text{Max } U = 0$.

4.1 The horseshoes in the neighborhood of the critical energy

In this section we state two results to be proved in Appendix F, which constitute our version of the Hamiltonian Birkhoff-Smale theorem. By Condition (D_2), there exists a symplectic coordinate system (u_1, u_2, s_1, s_2) in a neighbohood of O, in which the Hamiltonian C takes the normal form

$$\lambda_1 u_1 s_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 s_2 + R(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2), \tag{167}$$

where the remainder R is flat at order 1 at 0. The coordinates moreover satisfy the equivariance condition (243). We still denote by C the classical system in the normalizing coordinates.

1. We first have to introduce particular sections in the neighborhood of O. We refer to Appendix F for more information on their definition and construction. We denote by $B(\varepsilon)$ the ball of $\mathbb{R}^4$ centered at 0 with radius ε for the Max norm. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and for $\sigma \in \{-1, +1\}$, we introduce the (pieces of) hyperplanes

$$\Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon] = \{(u,s) \in \overline{B}(\varepsilon) \mid u_2 = \sigma\varepsilon\}, \qquad \Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon] = \{(u,s) \in \overline{B}(\varepsilon) \mid s_2 = \sigma\varepsilon\}, \tag{168}$$

which obviously are transverse sections for the flow, due to (167). We say that σ is the *sign* of the section $\Sigma^{u,s}_\sigma[\varepsilon]$. Moreover, the subsets

$$\Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon, e] = \Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon] \cap C^{-1}(e), \qquad \Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon, e] = \Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon] \cap C^{-1}_{|B}(e) \tag{169}$$

are (for $|e|$ small enough) two–dimensional submanifolds of $C^{-1}(e)$ which are transverse to the flow inside $C^{-1}(e)$.

2. A *polyhomoclinic orbit* for O is a finite ordered family $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell)$ of orbits Ω_i of X^C which are homoclinic to O. Polyhomoclinic solutions are defined in the same way. The order of a polyhomoclinic orbit is to be understood as a cyclic order, so we consider any shifted sequence $(\Omega_k, \dots, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{k-1})$ as defining the same polyhomoclinic orbit asΩ, the context being always clear in the following. Note finally that the energy of each homoclinic orbit is 0.

Given a polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell)$, we will see in Section F that the (first and last) intersections a_i and b_i of each Ω_i with the sections $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ and $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$ respectively are well defined when ε is small enough. Consequently, with each Ω_i are associated the entrance sign $\sigma_{ent}(\Omega_i)$ (that is, the sign σ such that $a_i \in \Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon]$) and the exit sign $\sigma_{ex}(\Omega_i)$ (that is, the sign σ such that $b_i \in \Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon]$). Such an ε will be said to be *adapted* to Ω. Let us now introduce a central definition.

Definition 9. *We say that a polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell)$ is* compatible *when, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$:*

$$\sigma_{ent}(\Omega_i) = \sigma_{ex}(\Omega_{i+1}),$$

with the usual convention $\ell + 1 = 1$.

Given such a compatible Ω, the ordered associated sequence of signs $(\sigma_{ex}(\Omega_i))_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell}$ therefore completely characterizes the entrance and exit data of the sequence (Ω_i).

3. Given a polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell)$, the Birkhoff-Smale theorem states the existence of a family of horseshoes for the Poincaré map induced by the flow. The basic rectangles of the horsehoes are contained in the sections $\Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon, e]$ (and so are two-dimensional) and the family is therefore parametrized by the energy. The rectangles are located around the exit points of the homoclinic orbits, so that each homoclinic orbit gives rise to such a rectangle. In turns out that the behavior of the horseshoes crucially depends on the sign of the energy; we will therefore state two different results, for which we refer to the Appendix for the basic notions.

Theorem 4.1. *Fix a compatible polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell)$, a* small enough *adapted $\varepsilon > 0$, and denote by a_i the exit point of Ω_i relatively to the section $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$. Then, the following properties hold true.*

1. *There exists $e_0 > 0$ and, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$, there exist neighborhoods $\mathcal{R}_i$ in $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ of the exit points a_i, such that for $|e| \leqslant e_0$, the intersections*

$$R_i(e) = \mathcal{R}_i \cap C^{-1}(e)$$

are rectangles in the section $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e]$ (relatively to suitable coordinates).

2. *For $e \in]0, e_0[$, the family $\big(R_i(e)\big)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell}$ is a horseshoe for the Poincaré map Φ associated with the section $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e]$ in $C^{-1}(e)$, whose transition matrix $A = \big(\alpha(i,j)\big)$ satisfies*

$$\alpha(i,j) = 1 \quad \textit{when} \quad \sigma_{ent}(\Omega_i) = \sigma_{ex}(\Omega_j). \tag{170}$$

In particular, since Ω is compatible:

$$\alpha(i, i+1) = 1 \quad \textit{for} \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell. \tag{171}$$

3. As a consequence, for $e \in]0, e_0[$, the periodic coding sequence

$$\cdots (1, 2, \ldots, \ell) \cdots$$

is admissible and yields a hyperbolic periodic point $m(e)$ in $R^0(e)$ for the Poincaré return map. Let Φ_{out} be the Poincaré map between Σ^u and Σ^s along the homoclinic orbit Ω_1^0. Then, when $e \to 0$:

- *the unstable manifold of $m(e)$ converges to $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^u(O)$,*
- *the stable manifold of $m(e)$ converges to $\Phi_{out}^{-1}\big(\Sigma^s[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^s(O)\big)$,*

in the C^1 compact-open topology.

Again, we used the convention $\ell + 1 = 1$ in the previous statement on the transition matrix. Our second result focuses on the case where the polyhomoclinic orbit is the concatenation of two compatible homoclinic orbits with different exit signs, so that it is no longer compatible.

Theorem 4.2. *Fix two compatible homoclinic orbits Ω_0 and Ω_1, fix a* small enough *adapted ε and assume that*

$$\sigma_{ex}(\Omega_0) \neq \sigma_{ex}(\Omega_1). \tag{172}$$

Then, denoting by a_ν the exit point of the homoclinic orbit Ω_ν there exists $e_0 < 0$ and two neighborhoods $\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_1$ in $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ of a_0 and a_1 respectively, such that for $e_0 \leqslant e < 0$, the intersections

$$R_0(e) = \mathcal{R}_0 \cap C^{-1}(e) \quad \text{and} \quad R_1(e) = \mathcal{R}_1 \cap C^{-1}(e)$$

are rectangles in the section $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e]$ (relatively to suitable coordinates). Moreover, the pair $\big(R_0(e), R_1(e)\big)$ is a horseshoe for the Poincaré map Φ associated with the section $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e]$ in $C^{-1}(e)$, with transition matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The periodic coding sequence

$$\cdots (0, 1) \cdots$$

defines a unique hyperbolic periodic point $m(e)$ in the rectangle $R_0(e)$ for $e_0 < e < 0$. Let Φ_{out} be the Poincaré map induced by the flow between Σ^u and Σ^s along the homoclinic orbit Ω_0. Then, when $e \to 0$:

- *the unstable manifold of $m(e)$ converges to $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^u(O)$*
- *the stable manifold of $m(e)$ converges to $\Phi_{out}^{-1}\big(\Sigma^s[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^s(O)\big)$*

in the C^1 topology.

The proofs of these theorems are postponed to Section F, to which we refer for the coordinates on the sections Σ^u and Σ^s. The constraints on the size of ε will be made explicit in Section F.

4.2 Convergence of periodic orbit to polyhomoclinic orbits

We now introduce a specific definition for the convergence of periodic orbits.

Definition 10. *Let $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_p)$ be a polyhomoclinic orbit and we fix $\varepsilon > 0$ as above. We say that a sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}*}$ of periodic orbits of X^C* converges to Ω *when*

- *for $n \geqslant n_0$, $\Gamma_n \cap \Sigma^u[\varepsilon] = \{a_1^n, \dots, a_p^n\}$ and $\Gamma_n \cap \Sigma^s[\varepsilon] = \{b_1^n, \dots, b_p^n\}$, with the following cyclic order*
$$a_1^n < b_1^n < a_2^n < b_2^n < \cdots < a_p^n < b_p^n,$$
according to the orientation on Γ_n induced by the flow;
- *$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_i^n = a_i$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_i^n = b_i$, where a_i and b_i are the exit and entrance points of Ω_i.*

One easily sees that this definition makes sense since the convergence property is clearly independent of the choice of ε (small enough).

Definition 11. *We say that a polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell)$ is* positive *when there exists a sequence of* minimizing *periodic orbits with* positive energy *of the system C which converges to Ω.*

One of the main interest of the notion comes from the following result, which will be proved in Section F.

Lemma 4.1. *A positive polyhomoclinic orbit is compatible.*

We will also need the following result for opposite polyhomoclinic orbits. Recall that given a solution γ of C, the function $\widehat{\gamma} : t \mapsto \rho \circ \gamma(-t)$, where $\rho(\theta, r) = (\theta, -r)$, is another solution of C, which we call opposite to γ. We adopt the same terminology an notation for the orbits.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell)$ be a positive polyhomoclinic orbit of the system C. Then $\widehat{\Omega} = (\widehat{\Omega}_1, \dots, \widehat{\Omega}_\ell)$ is also a positive polyhomoclinic orbit of C. Moreover, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$*
$$\sigma_{ex}(\Omega_i) = -\sigma_{ex}(\widehat{\Omega}_i).$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the definition of the sections, the invariance of C and the equivariance property (157). □

The whole construction of the initial annuli in the next section will be based on the previous two theorems and the following results, which will be proved in Section E.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then there exists a* positive *polyhomoclinic solution $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\ell)$ such that the concatenation*
$$(\pi \circ \omega_\ell) * \cdots * (\pi \circ \omega_1)$$
realizes the class c, where $\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ is the canonical projection. For each primitive class c we choose once and for all such a polyhomoclinic solution, which we denote by $\omega(c)$, and we write $\Omega(c)$ for the corresponding polyhomoclinic orbit.

We conclude this part with a last lemma, whose proof is postponed to Section E and which will be crucial for proving the existence of singular cylinders.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that Condition (D_4) is satisfied. Then there exists a simple homoclinic orbit to O which is positive.*

Of course, by simple homoclinic orbit we mean here a polyhomoclinic orbit containing a single element.

4.3 Existence of annuli asymptotic to polyhomoclinic orbits

In this part, we prove the existence of "Birkhoff-Smale" annuli, which are asymptotic to the polyhomoclinic orbits $\Omega(c)$.

Lemma 4.4. *Fix a classical system of the form (10) and assume that Conditions (D) are satisfied. Fix a primitive homology class $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then there exists an annulus $\mathsf{A}_{BS}(c)$ defined over an interval of the form $]0, e_1(c)]$ (recall that $\overline{e} = 0$), which is "asymptotic" to the polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega(c)$ when $e \to 0$, in the sense that $\Omega(c) \in \overline{\mathsf{A}_{BS}(c)}$. Moreover, $\mathsf{A}_{BS}(c)$ satisfies the transverse homoclinic property and the twist property, and the period of the orbit at energy e on $\mathsf{A}_{BS}(c)$ tend to $+\infty$ when $e \to 0$.*

Note that the periodic orbits in $\mathsf{A}_{BS}(c)$ need *not* be minimizing.

Proof. We will of course apply Theorem 4.1 to $\Omega(c) := \big(\Omega_1(c), \dots, \Omega_{\ell^0}(c)\big)$, but, in order to prove that this annulus admits the transverse homoclinic property, we will need to introduce another polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega(\widehat{c}) := \big(\Omega_1(\widehat{c}), \dots, \Omega_{\ell^1}(\widehat{c})\big)$, with $\widehat{c} \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$, $\widehat{c} \neq c$, and to apply Theorem 4.1 to the concatenation $\Omega(c) * \Omega(\widehat{c})$. For this we need the sign condition

$$\sigma_{ex}(\Omega_1(c)) = \sigma_{ex}(\Omega_1(\widehat{c})) \tag{173}$$

to be satisfied. The existence of such an $\omega(\widehat{c})$ is immediate by Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. Note that $\widehat{c} \neq -c$.

• We set $\Omega(c) := \Omega^0 = (\Omega^0_1, \dots, \Omega^0_{\ell^0})$ and $\Omega(\widehat{c}) := \Omega^1 = (\Omega^1_1, \dots, \Omega^1_{\ell^1})$ and we write $\{1^0, 2^0, \dots, \ell^0, 1^1, 2^2, \dots, \ell^1\}$ for the associated set of indices.

• Note that, by (173), the polyhomoclinic

$$\Omega^* = \big(\Omega^0_1, \dots, \Omega^0_{\ell^0}, \Omega^1_1, \dots, \Omega^1_{\ell^1}\big)$$

is compatible, so that one can apply Theorem 4.1. There exists an energy $e_1(c) > 0$ and, for $1^\nu \leqslant i^\nu \leqslant \ell^\nu$, there exist neighborhoods $\mathcal{R}^\nu_{i^\nu}$ in $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ of the exit points a_{i^ν}, such that for $|e| \leqslant e_0$, the intersections $R^\nu_{i^\nu}(e) = \mathcal{R}^\nu_{i^\nu} \cap C^{-1}(e)$ are rectangles in the section $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e]$. These rectangles form a horseshoe for the Poincaré map Φ associated with the section $\Sigma^u(e)$ in $C^{-1}(e)$, whose transition matrix $A = \big(\alpha(i,j)\big)$ satisfies (170).

• For $e \in]0, e_1(c)]$ we denote by $m(e) \in R^0_{1^0}(e)$ the periodic point associated with the periodic coding

$$\cdots (1^0, 2^0, \dots, \ell^0) \cdots \tag{174}$$

which is admissible relatively to A since Ω^0 is compatible. Let Γ_e be the corresponding periodic orbit for the Hamiltonian flow. We will prove that the union

$$\mathsf{A}_{BS}(c) = \bigcup_{e \in]0, e_1(c)]} \Gamma_e$$

is an annulus defined over $]0, e_1(c)]$.

• Note first that Γ_e is hyperbolic, as $m(e)$ is. One then has to prove that the projection on $\mathbb{T}^2$ of the corresponding solution realizes c. For this, the crucial point is that $\mathcal{R}^0_{i^0}$ is a neighborhood of $a^0_{i^0}$ *in* Σ^u. By compatibility, there exists a sequence $(\overline{\Gamma}(e_n))$ of minimizing periodic orbits, with $\overline{\Gamma}(e_n) \subset C^{-1}(e_n)$, which converge to the polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega_0 = \Omega^{(c)}$ (so $e_n \to 0$ when

$n \to \infty$). So, for n large enough the orbits $\overline{\Gamma}(e_n)$ intersect the section Σ^u at points $m_i^n \in \mathcal{R}_{i^0}^0$, which are ordered in the following (cyclic) way

$$m_1^n \prec m_2^n \cdots \prec m_\ell^n.$$

By periodicity, the point m_1^n is in the maximal invariant set defined by the horseshoe and admits the coding (174). It therefore coincides with $m(e_n)$ by uniqueness. As a consequence the orbits Γ_{e_n} and $\overline{\Gamma}(e_n)$ coincide. Now all the orbits in $\mathsf{A}_{BS}(c)$ are homotopic in $\mathbb{A}^2$ and the orbits $\overline{\Gamma}_n$ realize c, this proves in particular that the annulus $\mathsf{A}_{BS}(c)$ realizes c. Note moreover that each orbit $\Gamma(e)$ is homotopic in $\mathbb{A}^2$ to the concatenation $\Omega_1 * \cdots * \Omega_\ell$.

• Let us prove the existence of transverse homoclinic orbits for each $\Gamma(e)$. In fact, there exists an infinite set of such orbits, which come from the application of Theorem 4.1 to the polyhomoclinic orbit Ω^*. Given any finite sequence $[a_1, \ldots, a_p]$ which is not a concatenation of the sequence $1^0, 2^0, \ldots, \ell^0$ and which is admissible according to the transition matrix A, each coding of the form

$$\ldots, 1^0, 2^0, \ldots, \ell^0, [a_1, \ldots, a_p], 1^0, 2^0, \ldots, \ell^0, \ldots$$

gives rise to a nontrivial orbit homoclinic to the periodic point $m(e)$. Now sequences such as $[a_1, \ldots, a_p]$ exist due to the presence of symbols from the second polyhomoclinic orbit Ω^1. The resulting homoclinic orbits are obviously transverse inside their energy level by construction of the horseshoe.

• It only remains to prove the twist property. For this first remark that the period of $\Gamma(e)$ is equivalent to $\ell^0 \tau(e)$, where $\tau(e)$ is the transition time between the entrance and exit sections near the fixed point introduced in Theorem 4.1. Now, by Lemma F.2 one immediately checks that

$$\tau(e) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_2} \mathrm{Log}\,(e) + \tau_r(e),$$

where τ_r is C^1 bounded. This proves that $\tau'(e) < 0$ and that moreover $\tau(e) \to -\infty$ when $e \to 0$. Reducing $e_1(c)$ if necessary, this proves our claim for the restricted annulus. □

4.4 The singular annulus

In this section we in fact prove the existence of a singular annulus attached to each pair of opposite *simple* positive homoclinic orbits.

Lemma 4.5. *Fix a classical system C of the form (10) and assume that Conditions (D) are satisfied. Then there exists a singular annulus $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$ for C, which admits heteroclinic connections with each initial annulus of the chains of Lemma 4.6, which are transverse in their energy levels. Moreover, $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$ admits a neighborhood O in $\mathbb{A}^2$ such that there exists a Hamiltonian $C_\circ$, defined on an open set $\mathscr{O} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ containing O, whose Hamiltonian vector field coincides with X_C on O, and which admits a normally hyperbolic 2-dimensional annulus on which the its time-one map is a twist map in suitable symplectic coordinates.*

Proof. We know by Lemma 4.3 that there exist a simple positive homoclinic orbit Ω, and, by Lemma 4.2, its opposite orbit $\widehat{\Omega}$ is also positive and satifies $\sigma_{ex}(\widehat{\Omega}) = -\sigma_{ex}(\Omega)$. We denote by c and $-c$ the (necessarily primitive) homology classes corresponding to Ω and $\widehat{\Omega}$ respectively. We will apply Theorem 4.1 (and, more precisely, Lemma 4.4) to *each* homoclinic orbit Ω and $\widehat{\Omega}$, and Theorem 4.2 to the pair $(\Omega, \widehat{\Omega})$, which obviously satisfies the sign condition of this theorem.

• By Lemma 4.4, there exists an interval $I^* =]0, e^*]$ and two annuli

$$\mathsf{A}^\pm = \mathsf{A}_{BS}(\pm c)$$

realizing $\pm c$ and defined over I^*, such that $\Omega \in \overline{\mathsf{A}^+}$, $\quad \widehat{\Omega} \in \overline{\mathsf{A}^-}$. By Theorem 4.2, there exists $e^0 < 0$ auch that for $e \in]e^0, 0[$, the periodic sequence $\cdots (0,1) \cdots$ defines a hyperbolic periodic point $m^0(e)$ for the Poincaré map associated with $\Sigma[\varepsilon, e]$. Let $\Gamma^0(e)$ be the associated hyperbolic periodic orbit for the flow, then, as in Lemma 4.4, the union

$$\mathsf{A}^0 = \bigcup_{e \in\,]e^0, 0[} \Gamma^0(e)$$

is an annulus, which, by construction, contains the union $\Omega \cup \widehat{\Omega}$ in its closure. Now we define our singular annulus as the union

$$\mathsf{A}^\bullet = \mathsf{A}^+ \cup \mathsf{A}^- \cup \mathsf{A}^0 \cup \Omega \cup \widehat{\Omega} \cup \{O\}.$$

• Let us now prove that $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$ is a C^1 normally hyperbolic submanifold of $\mathbb{A}^2$. There exist several ways for doing this, the most elegant one being to use the "block theory" of [Cha08]. We only need to exhibit a neighborhood of $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$ which satisfies the expansion and contraction conditions of [Cha08]. For this we can use the (singular) foliation

$$\mathsf{A}^\bullet = \bigcup_{e \in [e^0, e*]} \mathsf{A}^\bullet \cap C^{-1}(e)$$

and construct a suitable 3–dimensional block around each leaf of this foliation, contained in the corresponding energy level and continuously varying with the energy. This amounts to finding stable and unstable bundles for the C^0 manifold $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$, fibered by the energy, and proving that these bundles are C^0.

This is obvious for the bundles over the union $\mathsf{A}^+ \cup \mathsf{A}^- \cup \mathsf{A}^0$, which is (regularly) foliated by hyperbolic periodic orbits: the stable and unstable bundles are just the unions of the stable and unstable bundles over each orbit, these latter ones being defined as those of the map Φ^{TC}, where T is the period of the corresponding orbit.

Now, going back to Theorem 4.1 applied to the simple homoclinic orbit Ω, denote by $m^+(e)$ the periodic point corresponding to the coding sequence $\cdots (0,0) \cdots$. Therefore

$$m^+(e) = \Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e] \cap \mathsf{A}^+.$$

On the other hand

$$m^0(e) = \Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e] \cap \mathsf{A}^0.$$

Now $m^+(e)$ and $m^0(e)$ lie at the intersection of their stable and unstable manifolds, and by Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, when $e \to 0$:

$$W^u(m^+(e)) \to \Sigma[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^u_{loc}(O), \qquad W^u(m^0(e)) \to \Sigma[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^u_{loc}(O)$$

and, *if* Φ_{out} *is the Poincaré map along* Ω *between* $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ *and* $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$, then

$$W^s(m^+(e)) \to \Phi^{-1}_{out}\big(\Sigma[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^s_{loc}(O)\big), \qquad W^s(m^0(e)) \to \Phi^{-1}_{out}\big(\Sigma[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^s_{loc}(O)\big),$$

(the convergence being understood in the C^1 compact open topology). This proves that one can define the stable and unstable bundles along Ω by continuously continuating those of the orbits in A^+ and A^0. The same argument holds for $\widehat{\Omega}$.

As for O, of course the energy manifolds becomes singular. However, observe that, due to the form of the flow on $W^u(O)$ and $W^s(O)$ (see Section F), the "transverse space" at O (in $C^{-1}(0)$) is necessarily the plane W of equation

$$u_1 = 0, \ s_1 = 0,$$

that is, the plane generated by the weak directions. In this plane, the stable direction is of course $u_1 = 0$, while the unstable one is $s_1 = 0$. Finally, the strong λ–lemma (see for instance [Den89]) proves that the stable and unstable bundles are continuous at O.

Now, considering normalized generating vectors for the stable and unstable bundles, one can construct a tubular neighborhood N_e (with small radius $\delta > 0$) of each leave in its energy level. The union of these blocks satisfies the expansion and contraction condition of [Cha08] for a large enough iterate $\Phi^{\tau C}$, which proves that $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$ is a Lipschitz manifold.

Finally, one sees from Section F that the ratio between the outer Lipschitz constants and the inner ones is lower bounded by $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 - \rho$, where ρ can be made arbitrarily small by taking $|e^0|$ and e^* small enough, which proves by [Cha08] that $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$ is in fact of class $C^{1+\delta}$ for some suitable $\delta > 0$.

The last assertion on the continuation of $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$ comes directly from the possibility of gluing symplectically a disc whose boundary is a periodic orbit with zero homology, and continuing the vector field X_C to this disc in such a way that it is foliated by periodic orbits surrounding an elliptic point. Using the relation energy/period in the neighborhood of the hyperbolic fixed point on the annulus $\mathsf{A}_\bullet$, one can moreover control the continuation in such a way that the time-one map of the flow satisfies a twist condition (as in the case of the standard pendulum $\frac{1}{2}r^2 + a\cos\theta$ when a is small enough.) Finally the normal hyperbolicity is got by taking a trivial product of the disc with a hyperbolic point and smoothing in the neighborhood of the gluing zone. □

4.5 Initial annuli and heteroclinic connections

In this section we show how to modify the chains $\mathscr{A}(c)$ obtained in Proposition 2.1 in order for them to be finite, with initial annuli admitting heteroclinic connections with the singular annulus. We also show how to continue them to obtain the third statement of Theorem II.

Lemma 4.6. *Fix a classical system of the form (10) and assume that Conditions (D) are satisfied. Then:*

1. *for each $c \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ there exists a chain $\mathbf{A}(c) = \big(\mathsf{A}_1(c), \dots, \mathsf{A}_\ell(c)\big)$, where $\mathsf{A}_1(c)$ is defined over $]0, e_1(c)]$ and $\mathsf{A}_\ell(c)$ is defined over $[e_\ell, \dots, +\infty[$;*
2. *given $c, c' \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$, there exists $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $\mathsf{A}_1(c)$ and $\mathsf{A}_1(\sigma c')$ satisfy*

$$W^u(\mathsf{A}_1(c)) \cap W^s(\mathsf{A}_1(\sigma c')) \neq \varnothing, \qquad W^s(\mathsf{A}_1(c)) \cap W^u(\mathsf{A}_1(\sigma c'))) \neq \varnothing$$

   both intersections being transverse in $\mathbb{A}^2$;
3. *moreover, for each $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$, $\mathsf{A}_1(c)$ admits transverse heteroclinic connections as above with $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$.*

Proof. Recall that, given a class $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$, we proved in Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 3.1 the existence of a chain $\mathscr{A}(c) = (\mathsf{A}_k)_{k \in Z}$ of annuli realizing c and defined over a sequence of consecutive intervals of the form $(I_k)_{k \in Z}$, where Z is an upper bounded interval of $\mathbb{Z}$.

• If Z is finite we choose $\mathbf{A}(c) = \mathscr{A}(c)$. However, in order to prove our claim on the heteroclinic connections, we have to make precise the relation between the first annulus A_1 of this chain and the "Birkhoff-Smale" annulus $\mathsf{A}_{BS}(c)$ of Lemma 4.4. Let I_1 and I_{BS} be the intervals associated with A_1 and A_{BS} respectively.

By construction and Condition $(D_6(c))$, there exists a unique minimizing periodic orbit in the class c for each energy e in I_1, which is precisely the intersection $\overline{\Gamma}(e) = \mathsf{A}_1 \cap C^{-1}(e)$.

Now, since the polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega(c)$ is positive, there exists a sequence (e_n) in I_1, with $e_n \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$, such that the associated orbit $\overline{\Gamma}(e_n)$ converges to $\Omega(c)$. Therefore, for n large enough, for the same reason as in Lemma 4.4 (hyperbolic maximality), the orbit $\overline{\Gamma}(e_n)$ necessarily coincides with the orbit $\Gamma(e_n) = \mathsf{A}(c) \cap C^{-1}(e_n)$. As a consequence $\mathsf{A}(c) \cap \mathsf{A}_0 \neq \varnothing$. But this intersection is closed in $C^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{*+})$ and it is also open by uniqueness of the continuation of hyperbolic periodic orbits. Therefore both annuli coincide over the intersection $I_1 \cap I_{BS}$.

• Assume now that Z is infinite. In this case, by the same arguments as above, there exists a sequence $n_k \to -\infty$, such that each annulus A_{n_k} contains a minimizing orbit $\Gamma(e_{n_k})$ and the sequence $\big(\Gamma(e_{n_k})\big)$ converges to $\Omega(c)$. Again, the same arguments as above prove that $\Gamma(e_{n_k}) \subset \mathsf{A}(c)$ for $k \geqslant k_0$ large enough, and that A_{n_k} is contained in $\mathsf{A}(c)$ for $k \geqslant k_0$. In this case, we set $\mathbf{A}(c) = (\mathsf{A}'_1, \dots, \mathsf{A}'_\ell)$, with

$$\mathsf{A}'_1 = \mathsf{A}_{BS}(c) \cap C^{-1}(]0, \operatorname{Max} I_{n_{k_0}}]),$$

and

$$\mathsf{A}'_2 := \mathsf{A}_{k_0+1}, \dots, \ \mathsf{A}'_\ell := \mathsf{A}_{\operatorname{Max} Z}.$$

• It remains to prove the existence of heteroclinic connections. Note first that by Lemma 4.2, given two primitive classes c and c', there exists $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\sigma_{ex}\big(\Omega_1^0\big) = \sigma_{ex}\big(\Omega_1^1\big),$$

where, as usual, $\Omega(c) = (\Omega_1^0, \dots, \Omega_{\ell^0}^0)$ and $\Omega(\sigma c') = (\Omega_1^0, \dots, \Omega_{\ell^0}^0)$. We will prove that the initial annuli of $\mathbf{A}(c)$ and $\mathbf{A}(\sigma c')$ admit heteroclinic connections. For this we will apply Theorem 4.1 to the polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega(c) * \Omega(\sigma c')$, which is compatible by our choice of σ. For $0 < e < e_0$, this yields the existence of orbits for the Poincaré map with coding sequences of the form

$$\dots, (1^0, 2^0, \dots, \ell^0), [a_1, \dots, a_p], (1^1, 2^1, \dots, \ell^1), \dots \tag{175}$$

where $[\ell^0, a_1, \dots, a_p, 1^1]$ is any finite sequence admissible relatively to the transition matrix. Such sequences obviously exist (for instance $[\ell^0, 1^0, \dots, \ell^0, 1^1]$, thanks to (171)). Now, for each energy $e \in]0, e_0]$, the coding (175) induces a heteroclinic orbit for the Poincaré map between the periodic point $m(e)$ with periodic coding $(1^0, 2^0, \dots, \ell^0)$ and the periodic point $m'(e)$ with periodic coding $(1^1, 2^1, \dots, \ell^1)$. Therefore the associated orbits $\Gamma(e)$ and $\Gamma'(e)$ admit heteroclinic connections at energy e. These connections are transverse in their energy level, by construction of the horseshoe. This immediately proves that the initial annuli of the chains $\mathbf{A}(c)$ and $\mathbf{A}(\sigma c)$ admit transverse heteroclinic connections, by our previous construction of these annuli.

• Our last statement is then obvious, by construction of the singular annulus $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$, since it contains $\mathsf{A}_{BS}(\pm c^\bullet)$ for the corresponding $c^\bullet$. □

5 The set $\mathscr{U}$ is residual

We fix as usual a positive definite quadratic form T on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and for each $U \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $\kappa \geqslant 2$, we denote by C_U the associated classical system on $\mathbb{A}^2$. In this section we complete the proof of Theorem II, that is, we show that the set $\mathscr{U}$ of potentials $U \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that C_U satisfies the three items of this theorem is residual in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$. The main ingredient of our proof is the parametrized genericity theorem of Abraham, which we recall here in an adapted form for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem ([AR67]). *Fix $1 \leqslant k < +\infty$. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a C^k and second-countable Banach manifold. Let X and Y be finite dimensional C^k manifolds. Let $\chi : \mathscr{A} \to C^k(X, Y)$ be a map such that the associated evaluation*

$$\mathbf{ev}_\chi : \mathscr{A} \times X \to Y, \qquad \mathbf{ev}_\chi(A, x) = \big(\chi(A)\big)(x)$$

is C^k for the natural structures. Fix a be a submanifold Δ of Y such that

$$k > \dim X - \operatorname{codim} \Delta$$

and assume that $\mathbf{ev}_\chi$ is transverse to Δ. Then the set $\mathscr{A}_\Delta$ of $A \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $\chi(A)$ is transverse to Δ is residual in $\mathscr{A}$.

As always, the spaces $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^m)$ are endowed with their usual C^κ norms for $1 \leqslant \kappa < \infty$, which make them Banach spaces, and $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is equipped with its usual Fréchet structure. We will try to use everywhere abstract arguments; however direct proofs based on explicit constructions would also often be possible.

5.1 Large energies

Let us first recall an easy result.

Lemma 5.1. *Given $m \geqslant 1$, the set $\mathscr{M}^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^m)$ of functions of $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^m)$ which admit a unique maximum, which is nondegenerate, is open and dense in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^m)$ for $2 \leqslant \kappa \leqslant +\infty$.*

Proof. This is a standard result in Morse theory. The fact that $\mathscr{M}^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is open is obvious and its density can easily be proved by adding a suitable small enough C^∞ bump function with a unique nondegenerate maximum to any given function in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^m)$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Fix $2 \leqslant \kappa \leqslant +\infty$. Given $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$, the set $\mathscr{U}_9(c)$ of potentials in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that Condition $(D_9(c))$ is satisfied is open and dense $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$. As a consequence, the set $\mathscr{U}_9$ of potentials in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that Condition (D_9) is satisfied is residual in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$.*

Proof. Up to the standard coordinate change, one can assume that $c \sim (1, 0)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2$. The averaged potential then reads

$$U_c(\theta_2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} U(\theta_1, \theta_2)\, d\theta_1.$$

Consider the (obviously well-defined) map $\mathscr{I}_c : C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2) \to C^\kappa(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\mathscr{I}_c(U) = U_c.$$

Clearly $\mathscr{I}_c$ is linear and continuous ($\|\mathscr{I}_c\| \leqslant 1$). It is also clearly surjective (a given function U_c admits the function $U(\theta_1, \theta_2) = U_c(\theta_2)$ as a preimage). So by the usual Open Mapping Theorem for Banach spaces the map $\mathscr{I}_c$ is open for $2 \leqslant \kappa < +\infty$. This is still an open mapping for $\kappa = +\infty$, by the Fréchet version of the previous theorem (see for instance [Rud91]). Now by Lemma 5.1 the subset $\mathscr{M}^\kappa(\mathbb{T}) \subset C^\kappa(\mathbb{T})$ is open and dense, so its inverse image $\mathscr{U}_9(c) = \mathscr{I}_c^{-1}(\mathscr{M}^\kappa(\mathbb{T}))$ is open and dense in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$. The second claim is immediate by countable intersection, since $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is complete for the usual norm. □

5.2 The neighborhood of the critical energy

In this part we prove that our conditions $(D_1) - (D_4)$ are generic in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and postpone the study of the C^κ regularity to the last section, where the global structure of the systems will be used explicitely.

1. One can even be more general for (D_1). By Lemma 5.1, the set $\mathscr{U}_1^\kappa := \mathscr{M}^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$ of all potentials U such that (D_1) is satisfied is open and dense in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$, for $2 \leqslant \kappa \leqslant +\infty$.

2. We will now have to use the Sternberg conjucacy theorem and we limit ourselves to C^∞ potentials. Given $U \in \mathscr{U}_1^\infty$, we denote by O_U the hyperbolic fixed point of C_U associated with the maximum θ_U^0 of U.

Lemma 5.3. *The set $\mathscr{U}_2$ of potentials $U \in \mathscr{U}_1^\infty$ such such that O_U admits a proper conjugacy neighborhood is residual in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$.*

Proof. Following [Ber10b], recall that if A is the matrix of T, if $B_U = -\partial^2 U(\theta_0)$ and if

$$L_U = \left(A^{-1/2}(A^{1/2}B_U A^{1/2})^{1/2}A^{-1/2}\right)^{1/2},$$

then the change of variables $\overline{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Lx + L^{-1}y)$, $\overline{s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Lx - L^{-1}y)$, is symplectic and reduces the quadratic part of the system C_U to the form $\frac{1}{2}\langle D(U)\overline{u}, \overline{s}\rangle$, with

$$D(U) = L_U A L_U \in \S. \tag{176}$$

Here $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ stands for the Euclidean scalar product and where $\S \subset M_2(\mathbb{R})$ is the cone of positive definite symmetric matrices. This shows that the positive eigenvalues of O_U are the eigenvalues of $D(U)$. Finally, one easily checks that the map $D : \mathscr{U}_1^\infty \to \S$ defined by (176) is continuous and open.

To apply the Sternberg theorem and get our conjugacy result, we need the system C_U to be formally conjugated to a normal form

$$N(u, s) = \lambda_1 u_1 s_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 s_2 + R(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2),$$

where R is C^1 flat at $(0, 0)$. For this, a sufficient condition is that the positive eigenvalues λ_i of O_U satisfy the nonresonance conditions

$$\lambda_1 k_1 + \lambda_2 k_2 \neq 0, \qquad \forall (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \backslash \{(0, 0)\}. \tag{177}$$

The subset $\S^*$ of positive symmetric matrices whose eigenvalues satisfy (177) is cleary residual in $\S$, so that the inverse image $\mathscr{U}_2 := D^{-1}(\S^*) \subset \mathscr{U}_1^\infty$ is also residual in $\mathscr{U}_1^\infty$, by the previous property.

Now, the equivariant symplectic Sternberg theorem (see [Cha86] for a general exposition and [BK02] for a recent proof in our setting) applies in a neighborhood of O_U for every $U \in \mathscr{U}_2$, and yields a proper conjugacy neighborhood. This proves our statement. □

Note that the previous result does not hold in finitely differentiable classes, since the minimal regularity of the system in order to get a conjugacy tends to $+\infty$ when the ratio λ_1/λ_2 tends to 1.

3. Recall that given $U \in \mathscr{U}_2$, the exceptional set $\mathscr{E}_U \subset W^s(O_U) \cup W^u(O_U)$ attached to O_U is intrinsically defined and continuously depend on U.

Lemma 5.4. *The set $\mathscr{U}_3$ of potentials in $\mathscr{U}_2$ such that condition (D_3) is satisfied is residual in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$.*

Proof. We will prove that for each integer N, the set $\mathscr{U}_3(N)$ of all $U \in \mathscr{B}^\infty(0,N) \cap \mathscr{U}_2$ such that (D_3) is satisfied for C_U is residual in $\mathscr{B}^\infty(0,N) \cap \mathscr{U}_2$. Our claim easily follows, since

$$\bigcap_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \Big(\mathscr{U}_3(N) \cup (\mathscr{U}_2 \backslash \overline{\mathscr{B}}^\infty(0,N) \Big)$$

is residual in $\mathscr{U}_2$ and (D_3) is satisfied for any U in this subset.

Observe first that given $U \in \mathscr{B}^\infty(0,N)$, there exists a Euclidean disk $B_{\delta(N)} \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ centered at θ_U^0 with radius $\delta(N) > 0$, such that the local stable and unstable manifolds $W^\pm_{loc}(O_U)$ of O_U are graphs over $B_{\delta(N)}$. From now on we fix N and drop it from the notation.

We denote by $W^\pm(U,\delta)$ the parts of $W^\pm_{loc}(O_U)$ located above B_δ. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$W^-_n(U) = \Phi^{C_U}([0,n], W^u(U,\delta)).$$

We will first prove that the set of $U \in \mathscr{B}^\infty(0,N)$ such that $W^-_n(U)$ transversely intersects $W^s(U,\delta)$ and satisfies $W^-_n \cap \mathscr{E}_U = \varnothing$ is open and dense in $\mathscr{B}^\infty(0,N)$. Since the proof of the transversality property is classical, we will only give a sketch of proof, a more detailed version can be found in [LM].

The following lemma can be easily proved following the lines of [CP]. Here $B(0,r)$ will be the ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$ centered at 0 with radius r relatively to the Max norm.

Lemma 5.5. *Let (M,ω) be a 4–dimensional symplectic manifold, let $H \in C^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ and let L be a Lagrangian submanifold contained in some level $H^{-1}(e)$ (not necessarily regular). Assume that $z \in L$ satisfies $X^H(z) \neq 0$. Then one can find a neighborhood N of z in M and a symplectic coordinate system $(x,y) \in B(0,2) \times B(0,\varepsilon)$ on N such that*

$$L \cap N = \{y = 0\}, \qquad z = \big((0,1),(0,0)\big), \qquad X^H_{|L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}.$$

Assume that L' is another Lagrangian manifold contained in $H^{-1}(e)$ such that $z \in L \cap L'$. Let $\Sigma = \{x_1 = 1\} \cap H^{-1}(e)$, so that Σ is a symplectic section for X^H (assuming N small enough). Then there exists a neighborhood $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ of z in Σ such that given $\zeta \in \widetilde{\Sigma} \cap L'$, there exists a Lagrangian submanifold $\mathscr{L}$ of M such that

- *$\mathscr{L} \cap N$ is the graph of some function $y = \phi(x)$ over $B(0,2)$;*
- *$\mathscr{L} \cap L' \cap \Sigma = \{\zeta\}$;*
- *$\mathscr{L} \cap \Sigma$ and $L' \cap \Sigma$ transversely intersect at ζ;*
- *the part of $\mathscr{L}$ over $B(0,2)\backslash B(0,1)$ is contained in $H^{-1}(e)$;*
- *the part of $\mathscr{L}$ over $\{|x_2| \geqslant 1\} \cup \{x_1 \leqslant -1\}$ coincides with L;*
- *for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant +\infty$, given $\varepsilon > 0$, one can choose $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ small enough so that the previous conditions are realized with $d_{C^k}(0,\phi) < \varepsilon$.*

Let now $\partial W^s(U,\delta)$ be the part of $W^s_{loc}(O_U)$ located over the circle ∂B_δ. By compactness of $\overline{W}^u_n$, for every $z \in \partial W^s(U,\delta) \cap W^u_n$, one easily proves the existence of an arbitrarily small $\tau(z) > 0$, continuously depending on z, such that, if $z' = \Phi^{C_U}(z, \tau(z))$,

$$(*) \qquad \pi^{-1}(z') \cap \Phi^{C_U}(\mathbb{R}^-, z) = \varnothing$$

(that is, the projection of the semiorbit $\Phi^{C_U}(\mathbb{R}^-, z)$ has no selfintersection at z'). Moreover, using the classical twist property for Lagrangian spaces along an orbit of C_U, one can assume that:

$$(**) \qquad \text{the restriction of } \pi \text{ to } W^u_n \text{ is regular at } z'.$$

Applying this process to each point of $\partial W^s(U,\delta) \cap W^u_n$, one gets a continuous curve $\sigma_U \subset W^s(U,\delta)$, surrounding O_U, such that every point $z \in \sigma_U$ satisfies both properties $(*)$ and $(**)$.

The previous lemma will be applied to the case where $L = W^-_n$, $L' = W^s(U,\delta)$ and where z is a point of $\sigma \cap W^-_n$. We fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By compactness of the semiorbit $\Phi^{C_U}(\mathbb{R}^-, z)$ and the previous assumption, one can assume the neighborhood N of Lemma 5.5 small enough so that the restriction of π to the subset $\Phi^{C_U}(\mathbb{R}^-, W^-_n \cap N)$ is injective and its restriction to $L \cap N$ is regular. With the previous notation, one immediately checks that one can find a point $\zeta \in \widetilde{\Sigma} \cap W^s(U,\delta)$ *not contained in the exceptional set* $\mathscr{E}_U$ such that the conclusions of the lemma hold true for ζ and the new manifold $\mathscr{L}$, and moreover such that $\mathscr{L}$ is the graph of some function ψ over $\pi(L \cap N)$ with $d_{C^\infty}(0, \psi) < \varepsilon$.

We now define a new potential function $\widetilde{U}$, which coincides with U outside the projection $\pi(B(0,1) \times \{0\})$ (with the notation of the lemma for the coordinates in N), and such that for $\theta \in \pi(B(0,1)$:

$$\widetilde{U}(\theta) = \overline{e}_U - \tfrac{1}{2} T(\psi(x)),$$

where $\overline{e}_U = \operatorname{Max} U$ is the critical energy for C_U (so that the associated level contains $W^{\pm}(O_U)$). By construction, $\mathscr{L} \subset C^{-1}_{\widetilde{U}}(\overline{e}_U)$, and one immediately checks that the fixed point $O_{\widetilde{U}}$ of new system $C_{\widetilde{U}}$ coincides with O_U and has the same energy. Moreover, the new manifolds $\widetilde{W}^-_n$ and $\widetilde{W}^+_{loc}(O_U)$, defined in the same way as above for the new system $C_{\widetilde{U}}$ and $O_{\widetilde{U}}$, now transversely intersect at ζ in $C^{-1}_{\widetilde{U}}(\widetilde{e}_{\widetilde{U}})$.

Taking Lemma 5.5 into account, this yields a neighborhood N_z of z inside which the intersection of $\widetilde{W}^-_n$ and $\widetilde{W}^+_{loc}(O_U)$ is transverse. The main remark is that the size of N_z is by construction independent of ε. Moreover, clearly $\widetilde{U} \to U$ in the C^∞ topology when $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Observe now that the subset $\sigma \cap W^-_n$ is compact (this is an easy consequence of the fact that σ_U is a transverse section for the flow of C_U on W^s_{loc}). It is therefore possible to cover $\sigma \cap W^-_n$ with a finite number of neighborhoods (N_i) of the previous form. Using the fact that transversality is an open property, one can then choose a (sufficiently decreasing) finite sequence (ε_i) in such a way that such that the above process applied to each N_i with the perturbation parameter ε_i yields a new potential $\widetilde{U}$ for which $\widetilde{W}^-_n$ and $\widetilde{W}^+_{loc}(O_{\widetilde{U}})$ transversely intersect in their energy level, and moreover such that the intersection points do not belong to the exceptional set $\mathscr{E}_{\widetilde{V}}$.

As a consequence, the subset $\mathscr{U}_3(n,N)$ of all $U \in \mathscr{B}^\infty(0,N) \cap \mathscr{U}_2$ such that $W^-_n(U)$ and $W^s(U,\delta)$ transversely intersect in their energy level outside the exceptional set is dense in $\mathscr{B}^\infty(0,N) \cap \mathscr{U}_2$. Since the exceptional set and the transverse intersections continuously vary with U, this is also an open subset. Therefore the intersection

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathscr{U}_3(n,N)$$

is residual in $\mathscr{B}^\infty(0,N)\cap\mathscr{U}_2$, which concludes the proof, according to our first remark. □

4. Recall now that O_U admits a set of homoclinic orbits whose projection on $\mathbb{T}^2$ generate $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2,\theta_U^0)$. We defined the amended potential $U^*=U-\operatorname{Max}_{\mathbb{T}^2}U$, together with the associated amended Hamiltonian, Lagrangian and action. The amended action of a homoclinic orbit is by definition the amended action of the two opposite solutions associated with it.

Lemma 5.6. *The set $\mathscr{U}_4$ of potentials in $\mathscr{U}_3$ such that condition (D_4) is satisfied is residual in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$.*

Proof. We fix a lift of $\mathbb{T}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$ and given $V\in\mathscr{V}(U)$, we write x_V for the corresponding lift of θ_V^0. We will first prove that there is $M>0$, independent of V, such that any trajectory homoclinic to θ_V^0 with minimal amended action lifts to a trajectory contained in the ball $B(x_V,M)\subset\mathbb{R}^2$. Observe first that in the zero energy level of the amended Hamiltonian C_{V^*}, the velocity $\|\dot\theta\|$ is bounded above by some constant $\mu_1>0$ (independent of $V\in\mathscr{V}$). Moreover,

$$\operatorname*{Max}_{\mathbb{T}^2\setminus B}V^*=-\mu_2<0$$

and moreover, assuming δ small enough, for any $m\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}$ any curve from x_V to x_V+m intersects $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Pi^{-1}(B)$ along segments of curves of total length at least $\|m\|/2$. Hence if the lift η of a homoclinic trajectory (starting from x_V) is not contained in $B_\infty(x_V,M)$, it lies in $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Pi^{-1}(B)$ during at least $M/2\mu$ and, taking the conservation of energy into account, its action satisfies

$$\int L^*(\eta,\dot\eta)\geqslant\frac{M\mu_2}{\mu_1},$$

which proves our claim.

The previous remark proves that any minimizing homoclinic orbit lies in the intersection

$$W^u(O_V,M)\cap W^s(O_V,M)$$

where

$$W^\pm(O_V,M)=\Pi\Big[W^\pm(X_V)\cap(B_\infty(x_V,M)\times\mathbb{R}^2)\Big].$$

One easily checks that $W^\pm(O_V,M)$ are compact. Therefore, using standard arguments of transversality and the graph property of the stable and unstable manifolds over B (see for instance [Oli08] and references therein), one proves that the subset $\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$ of potentials V such that $W^\pm(O_V,M)$ intersect transversely in $C_{V^*}^{-1}(0)$ is open and dense in $\mathscr{V}$. In particular, for $V\in\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$, the number of corresponding homoclinic orbits is finite.

Fix now $V\in\widetilde{\mathscr{V}}$ and select arbitrarily one homoclinic orbit with minimal amended action. Pick out some point θ on its trajectory and fix a bump function $\eta:\mathbb{T}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ whose support is centered at θ and do not intersect any other minimizing homoclinic trajectory. Then, by transversality, for μ small enough the system $C_{V+\mu\eta}$ still admits a homoclinic orbit in the neighborhood of the initial one, whose amended action is smaller that that of the initial one. This proves that this orbit is strictly minimizing, and so the subset of potentials with this property is dense. The openness easily follows from the finiteness of the number of initial minimizing homoclinic orbits.

It only remains to prove that one can perturb the system so that the strictly minimizing orbit does not intersect the exceptional orbits, which can be easily proved by using adapted bump functions. □

5.3 Intermediate energies

We will now use the parametric transversality theorem after introducing adapted representations, for which we need to work again in the C^κ topology with $\kappa < +\infty$.

1. Let us begin with the nondegeneracy of periodic orbits and prove the following result.

Lemma 5.7. *The set $\mathscr{U}_5$ of potentials $U \in \mathscr{U}_2$ such such each periodic solution of C_U contained in $C_U^{-1}(]\operatorname{Max} U, +\infty[)$ is nondegenerate is residual in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$.*

A similar result is proved in [Oli08] for the restriction of classical systems to regular energy levels. The proof here is slighly more difficult since we have to deal with one parameter families of energy levels. Again, more general results with full details will appear in [LM].

Proof. Let us set $M = \mathbb{A}^2$ for the sake of clarity. Let $X = M \times [0, T]$, where $T > 0$ is a fixed parameter and let $Y = M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. From now on we fix $k \geqslant 2$. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we set

$$\mathscr{B}_N = \mathscr{U}_1 \cap B_{C^k(\mathbb{T}^2)}(0, N).$$

Fix $E > 0$ (large). It is not difficult to prove that there exists $\tau(N, E) > 0$ such that for each $U \in \mathscr{B}_N$, any nontrivial periodic solution of C_U with energy in $[\operatorname{Max} U, E]$ has a (minimal) period larger than $\tau(N, E)$. Obviously $\tau(N, E)$ tends to 0 when $(N, E) \to \infty$.

We introduce the map $\chi : \mathscr{B}_N \to C^{k-1}(X, Y)$ defined by

$$\chi_U(z, t) = \Big(z, \Phi_t^{C_U}(z), C_U(z) - \operatorname{Max} U\Big)$$

(where χ_U stands for $\chi(U)$). Finally, we set

$$\Delta = \Big\{(z, z, e) \mid z \in M,\ e \in]\alpha, \beta[\Big\}$$

where $0 < \alpha < \beta$ are two fixed parameters. Therefore the preimage $\chi_U(\Delta)$ is the set of (z, t) such that z is t–periodic (note that t need not be the minimal period of z) with energy $C_U(z) - \operatorname{Max} U \in]\alpha, \beta[$.

One easily checks that if $\chi_U \pitchfork_{(z,t)} \Delta$, then z is nondegenerate t–periodic, in the sense that the Poincaré return map relatively to a transverse section inside the energy level of z does not admit 1 as an eigenvalue.

Now one deduces from Takens perturbability theorem (see [Tak83]) that there exists an open dense subset $\mathscr{O}_N(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathscr{B}_N$ such that $\mathbf{ev}_\chi = \mathscr{O}_N \times X \to Y$ is transverse to Δ. Indeed, since there exists a minimal period τ for all the periodic orbits contained in $\chi_U^{-1}(\Delta)$, it is enough to ensure that the Poincaré maps of all periodic points do not admit any root of unity of order $\leqslant T\tau$ as an eigenvalue, which is an immediate consequence of Takens results.

Finally Abraham's transversality theorem applies in our setting and yields the existence of a residual subset

$$\mathscr{R}_N(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathscr{O}_N(\alpha, \beta) \tag{178}$$

such that for $U \in \mathscr{R} := \mathscr{R}_N(\alpha, \beta)$, any periodic solution of C_U contained in

$$C_U^{-1}(]\alpha + \operatorname{Max} U, \beta + \operatorname{Max} U[)$$

is nondegenerate. Since this is clearly an open property, the set $\mathscr{R}$ is in fact open and dense, which will be used in our subsequent constructions. Now our claim is easily obtained by considering sequences $\alpha_n \to 0$, $\beta_n \to +\infty$ and the corresponding intersections of subsets, and finally considering the intersections over N. □

2. Conditions (D_6) and (D_7) are to be examined simultaneously. Here again we assume that $2 \leqslant \kappa < +\infty$ is fixed.

Lemma 5.8. *The set $\mathscr{U}_{6,7}$ of potentials $U \in \mathscr{U}_1^\kappa$ such that conditions (D_6) and (D_7) hold true is residual in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$.*

Proof. We will first prove that the set of potentials for which two periodic orbits of the same length and the same energy satisfy Condition (D_7) is generic, and then that there generically exist at most two orbits with the same length at the same energy, from which Condition (D_6) will follow.

• Here we use the same techniques as above as start with the open subset $\mathscr{R}_N(\alpha, \beta)$ defined in (178). We fix a parameter $\tau_{\max} > 0$ and introduce the set

$$D(\tau_m) = \Big\{(z_1, z_2) \in M^2 \mid z_2 \notin \Phi^{C_U}\big([0, \tau_m], z_1\big)\Big\}.$$

So $D(\tau_m)$ is clearly open and when z_1 and z_2 are periodic points with period $\leqslant \tau_m$, their orbits are disjoint. Now we set

$$X = D(\tau_m) \times [0, \tau_m]^2 \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^2, \qquad Y = M^2 \times M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Given $z \in M$ such that $C_U(z) > \operatorname{Max} U$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we denote by $L_U(z, t)$ the length of the projection

$$\pi\big(\Phi^{C_U}([0, t], z\big)$$

relatively to the Jacobi-Maupertuis metric at energy $C_U(z)$. We also denote by Ψ^{C_U} the gradient flow of C_U relatively to the Euclidean metric on M. We can now introduce the map

$$\chi : \mathscr{R}_N(\alpha, \beta) \to C^{k-1}(X, Y)$$

such that for $(z_1, z_2, t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2) \in X$,

$$\chi_U(z_1, z_2, t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2) =$$

$$\Big(\Psi_{s_1}^{C_U}(z_1), \Phi_{t_1}^{C_U}(z_1), \Psi_{s_2}^{C_U}(z_2), \Phi_{t_2}^{C_U}(z_2), C_U(z_1) - C_U(z_2), L_U(z_1, t_1) - L_U(z_2, t_2).\Big)$$

We finally set

$$\Delta = \Big\{(z_1, z_1, z_2, z_2, 0, 0,) \mid z_1 \in M,\ z_2 \in M\Big\}.$$

Using the fact that the gradient vector field does not vanish on $C_U > \operatorname{Max} U$, one easily checks that the preimage $\chi_U^{-1}(\Delta)$ is the set of points $x = (z_1, z_2, t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2) \in X$ such that

- $(z_1, z_2) \in D(\tau_m)$, z_1 is t_1–periodic and z_2 is t_2–periodic,
- $s_1 = s_2 = 0$,
- $C_U(z_1) = C_U(z_2)$ and $L_U(z_1) = L_U(z_2)$.

Note that $\chi_U^{-1}(\Delta)$ is invariant under the diagonal action of Φ^{C_U} on the first two factors. As a consequence,

$$\dim\Big(T_x\chi_U(X) \cap T_{\chi_U(x)}\Delta\Big) \geqslant 2.$$

Now

$$\dim X = 2 \dim M + 4 = \operatorname{codim} \Delta + 2.$$

Note also that by construction of $\mathscr{R}_N(\alpha,\beta)$, the points z_i are nondegenerate. Therefore they can be continued in one-parameter families $(z_i(e))$ of periodic points when the energy varies (in an essentially unique way) for e in a neighborhood of $e_0 = C_U(z_1) = C_U(z_2)$. Assume that

$$\frac{dL_U(z_1(e))}{de}_{|e=e_0} = \frac{dL_U(z_2(e))}{de}_{|e=e_0},$$

then clearly

$$\dim\Big(T_x\chi_U(T_xX) \cap T_{\chi_U(x)}\Delta\Big) \geqslant 3$$

and χ_U cannot be transverse to Δ at x. This proves that if $\chi_U \pitchfork_x \Delta$, then the lengths of the corresponding periodic orbits have a transverse crossing at the energy e_0.

Therefore, to prove that Condition (D_7) is generic, one only has to prove that χ_U is generically transverse to Δ, for which we will use Abraham's theorem. We are therefore reduced to prove the transversality of the map χ with Δ. We will use the decomposition

$$T_{\chi_U(z)}Y = T_{(z_1,z_1)}M^2 \times T_{(z_2,z_2)}M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Since the points z_i are nondegenerate, and for the same reason as above, for a fixed $U \in \mathscr{R}_N(\alpha,\beta)$ the projection of the image $T_x\chi_U(T_xX)$ on the factor $T_{(z_1,z_1)}M^2 \times T_{(z_2,z_2)}M^2$ is transverse to the space $T_{(z_1,z_1)}\Delta_M \times T_{(z_2,z_2)}\Delta_M$, where $\Delta_M = \{(z,z) \mid z \in M\}$. It only remains to prove that varying U enables one to control independently the last two terms in the decomposition.

Using standard straightening theorems, one sees that given a point $w \neq z_1$ on the orbit of z_1 under Φ^{C_U}, one can add an arbitrarily small C^∞ bump function (with controlled support around w) η, chosen so that z_1 is still a t_η–periodic for $C_{U+\eta}$, with the same energy, and such that $L_{U+\eta}(z_1,t_\eta) > L_U(z_1,t_1)$. This way one easily proves that the image $T_x\chi_U(T_xX)$ contains vectors of the form $(0,0,0,0,0,1)$, according to the previous decomposition.

Now the same ideas applied with bump functions η centered at the point z enables one to vary the energy of the point z_1 alone, so that the image $T_x\chi_U(T_xX)$ also contains vectors of the form $(0,0,0,0,1,u)$. This proves that χ is transverse to Δ.

Applying Abraham's theorem now proves that the set of potentials $U \in \mathscr{R}_N(\alpha,\beta)$ such that (D_7) holds true is residual, which concludes the first part of the proof.

- As for Condition (D_6), we now introduce the open subset

$$D(\tau_m,U) = \Big\{(z_1,z_2,z_3) \in M^3 \mid z_i \notin \Phi^{C_U}([0,\tau_m],z_j),\ i \neq j\Big\}$$

and the manifolds

$$X = D(\tau_m) \times [0,\tau_m]^3 \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^3, \qquad Y = M^2 \times M^2 \times M^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

together with the map $\chi : \mathscr{R}_N(\alpha,\beta) \to C^\kappa(X,Y)$ defined by

$$\chi_U((z_i,z_i),t_i,s_i) = \Big(\big(\Psi^{C_U}(z_i),\Phi^{C_U}(z_i)\big),\big(C_U(z_i)\big),\big(L_U(z_i,t_i)\big)\Big), \qquad i \in \{1,2,3\}.$$

Exactly as above, one proves that χ is transverse to the submanifold

$$\Delta = \Big\{\big((z_i,z_i),(e,e,e),(\ell,\ell,\ell)\big) \mid i \in \{1,2,3\}, e \in \mathbb{R}, \ell \in \mathbb{R}\Big\}.$$

Again,

$$\dim X = 3\dim M + 6 = \operatorname{codim}\Delta + 2$$

but now, if $x \in \chi_U^{-1}(\Delta)$

$$\dim\Big(T_x\chi_U(T_xX) \cap T_{\chi_U(x)}\Delta\Big) \geqslant 3.$$

Therefore if $\chi_U \pitchfork_x \Delta$, then $\chi_U(x) \notin \Delta$. Therefore if $\chi_U \pitchfork \Delta$, then there exist at most two periodic orbits with the same length on the same energy level. Since χ is transverse to Δ, this property is residual for the potentials in $\mathscr{R}_N(\alpha,\beta)$. Finally, the transverse crossing condition (D_7) proves that Condition (D_6) holds over a residual subset in $\mathscr{R}_N(\alpha,\beta)$, so also in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$ by countable intersection. □

3. One cannot directly apply Oliveira's work to get the Kupka-Smale theorem in the complete phase space, due to the presence of homoclinic tangencies. Nevertheless it is enough to obtain the transversality of heteroclinic intersections beetwen annuli at bifurcation points and suitable coverings of the various annuli.

Lemma 5.9. *The set $\mathscr{U}_8$ of $U \in \mathscr{U}_{6,7}$ such that two distinct minimizing orbits in the same energy level of C_U admit transverse heteroclinic connections is residual in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Morever, each annulus admits a covering by subannuli for which there exist a continuous family of transverse homoclinic orbits attached to each periodic orbit.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Oliveira's work [Oli08] by slightly perturbing the homoclinic tangencies in order to create new homoclinic orbits in a neighborhood, with transverse homoclinic intersections. □

5.4 End of proof of the Theorem II

We now have to glue the previous result together, taking into account that the required regularity for the nearly critical energies is $\kappa = +\infty$.

1. Existence of the singular annulus. Given a potential U in $\mathscr{U}_4 \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$, one can apply the results of Section 4 to the associated classical system C_U. In particular, Proposition 5.4 proves the existence of a singular $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$ annulus defined over $]-e_0, e_*[$, with $\overline{e} \in]-e_0, e_*[$, which realizes some suitable homology classes. This is a C^1 normally hyperbolic manifold, to which the usual persistence results under C^1 perturbations apply. Therefore, given a small $\delta > 0$, for V close enough to U in the C^κ topology with $\kappa \geqslant 2$, the system C_V also admits a singular annulus $\mathsf{A}^\bullet_V$ defined over $]-e_0+\delta, e_*-\delta[$ and realizing the same homology classes as $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$. Moreover, for each $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2,\mathbb{Z})$, C_V also admits an annulus $\mathsf{A}_{BC}(c)$, as constructed in Lemma 4.4.

2. Chains and heteroclinic connections with the singular annulus. Fix now $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2,\mathbb{Z})$. Consider a potential $U_0 \in C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$, with $\kappa \geqslant \kappa_0$, and fix $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2,\mathbb{Z})$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $U \in \mathscr{U}_4$ with $\|U - U_0\|_{C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)} < \varepsilon$ such that C_U admits a singular annulus as above. Moreover, given a small $\delta > 0$, there exists a small neighborhood $\mathscr{O}$ of U in the C^κ topology such that for $V \in \mathscr{O}$, in addition to the singular annulus described above, the system C_V admits a chain $\mathsf{A}_1, \dots, \mathsf{A}_m$ of annuli, defined over $I_1 =]\overline{e}+\delta, e_*], \dots, I_m = [e_\infty, +\infty[$ and realizing c, such that A_1 admits transverse heteroclinic connections with $\mathsf{A}^\bullet$. The annuli moreover satisfy the transverse homoclinic property as well as the twist property.

From this, one easily deduce that, given $N \geqslant N_0$ and $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2,\mathbb{Z})$, the subset of $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$ of all potentials for which there exists a chain $\mathsf{A}_1, \dots, \mathsf{A}_m$ of annuli, defined over $I_1 =]\overline{e} + 1/N, e_*], \dots, I_m = [e_\infty, +\infty[$, with a heteroclinic connection between $\mathsf{A}_1(U)$ and $\mathsf{A}^\bullet(U)$, is dense

in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Again, easy persistence results for hyperbolic orbits together with our construction of the high-energy annulus prove that this set is open in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Therefore the set of potentials for which exists a chain realizing c is residual in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and our claim follows by countable intersection over c.

The same type of arguments also prove the existence of connections between annuli $\mathsf{A}_1(c)$ and $\mathsf{A}_1(\sigma c')$.

The estimates of 4) in Theorem II are straightforward computations, using the fact that a classical system at high energy is a perturbation of a flat metric on $\mathbb{T}^2$.

Finally, the existence of a chain being an open property, the set of $\mathscr{U}$ for which the conclusions of Theorem II hold is open and dense.

A Normal hyperbolicity and symplectic geometry

We refer to [Ber10a, BB13, Cha04, Cha08, HPS77] for the references on normal hyperbolicity, see also [Yan] for a setting close to ours, in the spirit of Fenichel's approach. Here we limit ourselves to a very simple class of systems which admit a normally hyperbolic invariant (non compact) submanifold, which serves us as a model from which all other definitions and properties will be deduced.

1. The following statement is a simple version of the persistence theorem for normally hyperbolic manifolds well-adapted to our setting, whose germ can be found in [Ber10b] and whose proof can be deduced from the previous references.

The normally hyperbolic persistence theorem. *Fix $m \geqslant 1$ and consider a vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ of the form $\mathscr{V} = \mathscr{V}_0 + \mathscr{F}$, with $\mathscr{V}_0$ and $\mathscr{F}$ of class C^1 and reads*

$$\dot{x} = X(x,u,s), \qquad \dot{u} = \lambda_u(x)\,u, \qquad \dot{s} = -\lambda_s(x)\,s, \tag{179}$$

for $(x,u,s) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$. Assume moreover that there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that the inequalities

$$\lambda_u(x) \geqslant \lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_s(x) \geqslant \lambda, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{180}$$

hold. Fix a constant $\mu > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\delta_* > 0$ such that if

$$\|\partial_x X\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})} \leqslant \delta_*, \qquad \|\mathscr{F}\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})} \leqslant \delta_*, \tag{181}$$

the following assertions hold.

- *The maximal invariant set for $\mathscr{V}$ contained in $O = \big\{(x,u,s) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+2} \mid \|(u,s)\| \leqslant \mu\big\}$ is an m-dimensional manifold $\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$ which admits the graph representation:*

  $$\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V}) = \big\{\big(x, u = U(x), s = S(x)\big) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^m\big\},$$

  where U and S are C^1 maps $\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

  $$\|(U,S)\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leqslant \frac{2}{\lambda}\,\|\mathscr{F}\|_{C^0}. \tag{182}$$

- *The maximal positively invariant set for $\mathscr{V}$ contained in O is an $(m+1)$-dimensional manifold $W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$ which admits the graph representation:*

  $$W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big) = \big\{\big(x, u = U^+(x,s), s\big) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^m \;\; s \in [-\mu,\mu]\big\},$$

where U^+ is a C^1 map $\mathbb{R}^m \times [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|U^+\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leqslant c_+ \, \|\mathscr{F}\|_{C^0}. \tag{183}$$

for a suitable $c_+ > 0$. Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $w \in W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$,

$$\operatorname{dist}\big(\Phi^t(w), \mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big) \leqslant C \exp(-\lambda t), \qquad t \geqslant 0. \tag{184}$$

- *The maximal negatively invariant set for $\mathscr{V}$ contained in O is an $(m+1)$-dimensional manifold $W^-\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$ which admits the graph representation:*

$$W^-\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big) = \big\{\big(x, u, s = S^-(x,u)\big) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \ u \in [-\mu, \mu]\big\},$$

where S^- is a C^1 map $\mathbb{R}^m \times [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|S^-\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leqslant c_- \, \|\mathscr{F}\|_{C^0}. \tag{185}$$

for a suitable $c_- > 0$. Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $w \in W^-\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$,

$$\operatorname{dist}\big(\Phi^t(w), \mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big) \leqslant C \exp(\lambda t), \qquad t \leqslant 0. \tag{186}$$

- *The manifolds $W^\pm\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$ admit C^0 foliations $\big(W^\pm(x)\big)_{x \in \mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})}$ such that for $w \in W^\pm(x)$*

$$\operatorname{dist}\big(\Phi^t(w), \Phi^t(x)\big) \leqslant C \exp(\pm\lambda t), \qquad t \geqslant 0. \tag{187}$$

- *If moreover $\mathscr{V}_0$ and $\mathscr{F}$ are of class C^p, $p \geqslant 1$, and if in addition of the previous conditions the domination inequality, the condition*

$$p \, \|\partial_x X\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leqslant \lambda \tag{188}$$

holds, then the functions U, S, U^+, S^- are of class C^p and

$$\|(U,S)\|_{C^p(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leqslant C_p \|\mathscr{F}\|_{C^p(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})}. \tag{189}$$

for a suitable constant $C_p > 0$.

- *Assume moreover that the vector fields $\mathscr{V}_0, \mathscr{V}$ are R-periodic in x, where R is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then their flows and the manifolds $\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$ and $W^\pm\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$ pass to the quotient $(\mathbb{R}^m/R) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ Assume that the time-one map of $\mathscr{V}_0$ on $\mathbb{R}^m/R \times \{0\}$ is C^0 bounded by a constant M. Then, with the previous assumptions, the constant C_p depends only on p, λ and M.*

The last statement will be applied in the case where $m = 2\ell$ and $R = c\mathbb{Z}^\ell \times \{0\}$, where c is a positive constant, so that the quotient $\mathbb{R}^{2\ell}/R$ is diffeomorphic to the annulus $\mathbb{A}^\ell$.

2. The following result describes the symplectic geometry of our system in the case where $\mathscr{V}$ is a Hamiltonian vector field. We keep the notation of the previous theorem.

The symplectic normally hyperbolic persistence theorem. *Endow $\mathbb{R}^{2m+2}$ with a symplectic form Ω such that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $z \in O$*

$$|\Omega(v,w)| \leqslant C\|v\|\|w\|, \qquad \forall v, w \in T_z M. \tag{190}$$

Let $\mathscr{H}_0$ be a C^2 Hamiltonian on $\mathbb{R}^{2m+2}$ whose Hamiltonian vector field $\mathscr{V}_0$ satisfies (179) with conditions (180), and consider a Hamiltonian $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}_0 + \mathscr{P}$. Then there exists a constant $\delta_* > 0$ such that if

$$\|\partial_x X\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})} \leqslant \delta_*, \qquad \|\mathscr{P}\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^{m+2})} \leqslant \delta_*, \tag{191}$$

the following properties hold.

- *The manifold* $\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$ *is* Ω*-symplectic.*
- *The manifolds* $W^{\pm}\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$ *are coisotropic and the center-stable and center-unstable foliations* $\big(W^{\pm}(x)\big)_{x\in\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})}$ *coincide with the characteristic foliations of* $W^{\pm}\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$*.*
- *If* $\mathscr{H}$ *is* C^{p+1} *and condition (188) is satisfied, then* $W^{\pm}\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$ *are of class* C^p *and the foliations* $\big(W^{\pm}(x)\big)_{x\in\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})}$ *are of class* C^{p-1}*.*
- *There exists a neighborhood* $\mathscr{O}$ *of* $\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$ *and a symplectic straightening symplectic diffeomorphism* $\Psi : \mathscr{O} \to O$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big) = \mathbb{A}^\ell \times \{(0,0)\};\\
&\Psi\big(W^-\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)\big) \subset \mathbb{A}^\ell \times \big(\mathbb{R}\times\{0\}\big), \qquad \Psi\big(W^-\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)\big) \subset \mathbb{A}^\ell \times \big(\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}\big);\\
&\Psi\big(W^-(x)\big) \subset \{\Psi(x)\} \times \big(\mathbb{R}\times\{0\}\big), \qquad \Psi\big(W^+(x)\big) \subset \{\Psi(x)\} \times \big(\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{192}$$

Proof. Let f be the time-one flow of $\mathscr{V}$. By the domination condition, one can assume δ_* small enough so that there exist two positive constants χ and μ verifiying $\chi\mu < 1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall z \in W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big) \cap O, \quad &\forall v \in T_z W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big),\ \|T_z f(v)\| \leqslant \mu\|v\|,\\
&\forall v \in T_z W^+(\Pi^+(z)),\ \|T_z f(v)\| \leqslant \chi\|v\|,
\end{aligned} \tag{193}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall z \in W^-\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big) \cap O, \quad &\forall v \in T_z W^-\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big),\ \|T_z f^{-1}(v)\| \leqslant \mu\|v\|,\\
&\forall v \in T_z W^-(\Pi^-(z)),\ \|T_z f^{-1}(v)\| \leqslant \chi\|v\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{194}$$

Fix $z \in W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big) \cap O$. Then if $v \in T_z\big(W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)\big)$ and $w \in T_z\big(W^+(\Pi^+(z))\big)$, since f is symplectic

$$|\Omega(v,w)| = \big|\Omega\big(T_z f^m(v), T_z f^m(w)\big)\big| \leqslant C\|T_z f^m(v)\|\,\|T_z f^m(w)\| \leqslant C\,(\chi\mu)^m\|v\|\,\|w\|$$

for $m \in O$, so passing to the limit shows that $\Omega(v,w) = 0$. Therefore

$$T_z\big(W^+(\Pi^+(z), f)\big) \subset \big(T_z(W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big))\big)^{\perp_\Omega}. \tag{195}$$

This proves in particular that the manifolds $W^+(x)$, $x \in V$, are isotropic. One obviously gets a similar result for $W^-(x)$.

– Let n^0 be the dimension of V, so that by normal hyperbolicity $2n = n_0 + n_+ + n_-$ and $\dim W^{\pm}\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big) = n_0 + n_{\pm}$. Since Ω is nondegenerate:

$$\dim\big(T_z(W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big))\big)^{\perp_\Omega} = 2n - (n^0 + n_+) = n_-,$$

and by (195) $n_+ \leqslant n_-$. By symmetry one gets the equality $n_+ = n_-$.

– Moreover, this equality proves that

$$T_z\big(W^+(\Pi^+(z), f)\big) \subset \big(T_z(W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big))\big)^{\perp_\Omega},$$

and $W^+\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$ is coisotropic. This also proves that its characteristic foliation is the family $(W^+(x))_{x\in\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})}$. The analogous statements for the unstable manifolds are obvious.

– The manifold $\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$ is therefore the quotient of the manifolds $W^{\pm}\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$ by its characteristic foliation, this immediately implies that the projections $\Pi^{\pm}$ are symplectic sumbmersion, that is

$$(\Pi^{\pm})^{*}\Omega_{|\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})} = \Omega_{|W^{\pm}\left(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\right)}.$$

– Finally, the manifold $\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$ is the intersection of the two coisotropic manifolds $W^{\pm}\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big)$, and at each point $x \in \mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$

$$(T_x\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V}))^{\perp\Omega} = \big(T_x(W^{+}\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big))\big)^{\perp\Omega} + \big(T_x(W^{-}\big(\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})\big))\big)^{\perp\Omega} = E_x^{+} + E_x^{-}$$

so by definition $(T_x\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V}))^{\perp\Omega} \cap T_x\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V}) = \{0\}$ and $\mathrm{A}(\mathscr{V})$ is symplectic.

– The last statement is a direct consequence of the symplectic tubular neighborhood theorem and the Moser isotopy argument (see [LM] for more details). □

B Global normal forms along arcs of simple resonances

We consider perturbed systems of the form $H_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = h(r) + \varepsilon f(\theta, r)$, where h is a C^κ Tonelli Hamiltonian on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and f an element of the unit ball $\mathscr{B}^\kappa$ of $C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^3)$. We fix a simple resonance Γ at energy $\mathbf{e} > \mathrm{Min}\, h$ for h and assume the coordinates (θ, r) to be adapted to Γ, that is, $\Gamma = \{r \in h^{-1}(\mathbf{e}) \mid \omega_3(r) = 0\}$. Relatively to these new coordinates, $\|f\|_{C^\kappa} \leqslant M$. We split the variables $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ into the fast part $\widehat{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and the slow part $\overline{x} = x_3$.

B.1 The global normal form

Given a subset Γ_ρ of Γ, for $\rho > 0$, we introduce the tubular neighborhood

$$\mathscr{W}_\rho(\Gamma_\rho) = \mathbb{T}^3 \times \{r \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \mathrm{dist}\,(r, \Gamma_\rho) < \rho\}. \tag{196}$$

Recall that we say that a connected subset of Γ is an interval. Given a control parameter $\delta > 0$, we denote by $D(\delta)$ the set of δ-strong double resonance points of Γ, introduced in Definition 4.

Proposition B.1. *Fix an integer $p \in \{2, \dots, \kappa - 4\}$ and a control parameter $\delta > 0$. Fix two consecutive points r' and r'' in $D(\delta)$, fix $\rho \ll \mathrm{dist}_\Gamma(r', r'')$ and set*

$$\Gamma_\rho := [r^*, r^{**}]_\Gamma \subset [r', r'']_\Gamma,$$

where r^*, r^{**} are defined by the equalities

$$\mathrm{dist}_\Gamma(r^*, r') = \mathrm{dist}_\Gamma(r^{**}, r'') = \rho.$$

Then there exists $c \in]0, 1[$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < c\rho^4$ there exists a symplectic analytic embedding $\Phi_\varepsilon : \mathscr{W}_{c\rho} \to \mathscr{W}_\rho$ which satisfies

$$N(\theta, r) = H \circ \Phi_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = h(r) + \varepsilon V(\theta_3, r) + \varepsilon W_0(\theta, r) + \varepsilon W_1(\theta, r) + \varepsilon^2 W_2(\theta, r), \tag{197}$$

where

$$V(\theta_3, r) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(\theta, r)\, d\theta_1 d\theta_2, \tag{198}$$

and where the functions $W_0 \in C^p(\mathbb{A}^3)$, $W_1 \in C^{\kappa-1}(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})$, $W_2 \in C^{\kappa}(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})$ *satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_0\|_{C^p(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} &\leqslant \delta, \\ \|W_1\|_{C^2(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} &\leqslant c_1\,\rho^{-3} \\ \|W_2\|_{C^2(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} &\leqslant c_2\,\rho^{-6}, \end{aligned} \tag{199}$$

for suitable constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$. *Moreover, there exists* $c_\Phi > 0$ *such that, if* $\Phi_\varepsilon = (\Phi_\varepsilon^\theta, \Phi_\varepsilon^r)$,

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon^\theta - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^0(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} \leqslant c_\Phi\,\varepsilon\,\rho^{-2}, \qquad \|\Phi_\varepsilon^r - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^0(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} \leqslant c_\Phi\,\varepsilon\,\rho^{-1}. \tag{200}$$

The constants c, c_1, c_2, c_Φ *do not depend on* ρ *and* ε.

B.2 Proof of Proposition B.1

Let $p \in \{2, \dots, \kappa - 4\}$ and $\delta > 0$ be fixed, and let $K(\delta)$ be as in Lemma 2.1.

1. We begin with a geometric lemma which enables us to control the size of the small denominators which appear in the averaging process.

Lemma B.1. *With the notation of* Proposition B.1, *given* $\rho > 0$, *set*

$$\mathscr{U}_\rho(\Gamma^*) = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \mathrm{dist}\,(r, \Gamma_\rho) < \rho\}. \tag{201}$$

Then there exist constants $c_0, C_0 > 0$ *such that for every* $r \in \mathscr{U}_{c_0\rho}(\Gamma^*)$

$$\operatorname*{Min}_{\widehat{k} \in B^*\left(K(\delta)\right)} \left|\widehat{k} \cdot \widehat{\omega}(r)\right| \geqslant C_0\rho, \tag{202}$$

where $B^*\big(K(\delta)\big) = \big\{\widehat{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2\backslash\{0\} \mid \|k\| \leqslant K(\delta)\big\}$ *and where* $K(\delta)$ *was defined in* Lemma 2.1.

Proof. Choose $\widehat{k}', \widehat{k}'' \in B^*_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(K(\delta)\big)$ *with minimal norm* such that $\widehat{k}' \cdot \widehat{\omega}(r') = 0$ and $\widehat{k}'' \cdot \widehat{\omega}(r'') = 0$. As a consequence, if $\widehat{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfies $\widehat{k} \cdot \widehat{\omega}(r') = 0$ or $\widehat{k} \cdot \widehat{\omega}(r'') = 0$, then $\widehat{k} \in \mathbb{Z}\,\widehat{k}' \cup \mathbb{Z}\,\widehat{k}''$.

The resonance surfaces $\omega^{-1}\big((\widehat{k}', 0)\big)$ and $\omega^{-1}\big((\widehat{k}'', 0)\big)$ are transverse to Γ at r' and r'' respectively (in $\mathbb{R}^3$). As a consequence, there exist constants $c_0, C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\forall r \in \mathscr{U}_{c_0\rho}, \qquad \left|\omega(r) \cdot \widehat{k}'\right| \geqslant C_0\rho, \quad \left|\omega(r) \cdot \widehat{k}''\right| \geqslant C_0\rho. \tag{203}$$

Hence the previous inequalities also hold for the vectors $\widehat{k} \in \mathbb{Z}\,\widehat{k}' \cup \mathbb{Z}\,\widehat{k}''$. Now if

$$\widehat{k} \in B^*\big(K(\delta)\big)\backslash(\mathbb{Z}\,\widehat{k}' \cup \mathbb{Z}\,\widehat{k}''),$$

$\widehat{\omega}(r) \cdot \widehat{k} \neq 0$ for $r \in [r', r'']_\Gamma$. As a consequence, reducing c_0 and C_0 if necessary, (202) holds true. □

2. Averaging and proof of Proposition B.1. Recall that

$$f(\theta, r) = \sum_{\widehat{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \phi_{\widehat{k}}(\theta_3, r) e^{2i\pi\,\widehat{k}\cdot\widehat{\theta}} \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_{\widehat{k}}(\theta_3, r) = \sum_{k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}} [f]_{(\widehat{k}, k_3)}(r) e^{2i\pi\,k_3\cdot\theta_3} \tag{204}$$

and

$$f_{>K}(\theta, r) = \sum_{\widehat{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \|\widehat{k}\| > K} \phi_{\widehat{k}}(\theta_3, r)\, e^{2i\pi\,k_3\cdot\theta_3}. \tag{205}$$

We use the classical Lie transform method to produce a diffeomorphism which cancels the harmonics $\phi_{\widehat{k}}$ for $1 \leqslant \|\widehat{k}\| \leqslant K := K(\delta)$.

• We first solve the homological equation

$$\widehat{\omega}(r) \cdot \partial_{\widehat{\theta}} S(\theta, r) = f(\theta, r) - \phi_0(\theta_3, r) - f_{>K}(\theta, r). \tag{206}$$

Up to constants, the solution of (206) reads

$$S(\theta, r) = \sum_{\widehat{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \|\widehat{k}\| \leqslant K} \frac{\phi_{\widehat{k}}(\theta_3, r)}{2i\pi\, \widehat{k} \cdot \widehat{\omega}(r)} e^{2i\pi\, \widehat{k} \cdot \widehat{\theta}}. \tag{207}$$

By Lemma B.1, it is therefore well-defined an analytic in the domain $\mathscr{W}_{c_0\rho}$, provided that $c_0 > 0$ is small enough. Moreover, by direct computation for i, j in $\mathbb{N}^3$ and $0 \leqslant |i|, |j| \leqslant \ell$:

$$\|\partial_\theta^i \partial_r^j S\|_{C^0(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} \leqslant \overline{c}(\ell) \rho^{-(1+|j|)} \tag{208}$$

for a constant $\overline{c}(\ell) > 0$.

• We now consider the time-one diffeomorphism $\Phi_\varepsilon := \Phi^{\varepsilon S}$ of the Hamiltonian flow generated by the function εS, defined on the set $\mathscr{W}_{c\rho}$ with $c < c_0$. The Taylor expansion at order 2 of the transformed Hamiltonian H_ε reads

$$H_\varepsilon \circ \Phi^{\varepsilon S}(\theta, r) = H(\theta, r) + \varepsilon \{H, S\}(\theta, r) + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \big\{\{H, S\}, S\big\}\big(\Phi^{\sigma\, \varepsilon S}(\theta, r)\big)\, d\sigma, \tag{209}$$

with Poisson bracket $\{u, v\} = \partial_\theta u \partial_r v - \partial_r u \partial_\theta v$. The new Hamiltonian reads

$$H \circ \Phi^{\varepsilon S}(\theta, r) = h(r) + \varepsilon V(\theta_3, r) + \varepsilon W_0(\theta, r) + \varepsilon W_1(\theta, r) + \varepsilon^2 W_2(\theta, r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V(\theta_3, r) &= \phi_0(\theta_3, r) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(\theta, r)\, d\theta_1 d\theta_2, \\ W_0(\theta, r) &= f_{>K}(\theta, r), \\ W_1(\theta, r) &= \omega_3(r) \partial_{\theta_3} S(\theta, r), \\ W_2(\theta, r) &= \{f, S\}(\theta, r) + \int_0^1 (1 - \sigma) \big\{\{H, S\}, S\big\}\big(\Phi^{\sigma\, \varepsilon S}(\theta, r)\big)\, d\sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{210}$$

which proves (197), together with the estimate on W_0 in (199) by Lemma 2.1.

• It remains to estimate the size of the various functions. To prove (200), we use the same method as in [Bou10], based on ([DH09], Lemma 3.15). We introduce the weighted norm on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 = T_{(\theta,r)} \mathbb{A}^3$:

$$|(u_\theta, u_r)| = \text{Max}\, \big(\rho \|u_\theta\|, \|u_r\|\big)$$

for which, by (208):

$$\big|X^{\varepsilon S}\big|_{C^0(\mathscr{W}_{c_0\rho})} \leqslant \overline{c}(1) \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, provided that $\varepsilon \rho^{-1}$ is small enough to ensure that $\Phi^{\varepsilon S}(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho}) \subset \mathscr{W}_{c_0\rho}$, there exists $c_\Phi > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon^\theta - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^0(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} \leqslant c_\Phi\, \varepsilon\, \rho^{-2}, \qquad \|\Phi_\varepsilon^r - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^0(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} \leqslant c_\Phi\, \varepsilon\, \rho^{-1},$$

which proves (200). Finally, for the same reason and provided that $\varepsilon\rho^{-4}$ is small enough

$$\|\Phi^{\sigma\,\varepsilon S}\|_{C^2(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} \leqslant \|\mathrm{Id}\|_{C^2(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} + \|\Phi^{\sigma\,\varepsilon S} - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^2(\mathscr{W}_{c\rho})} \leqslant 2,$$

Note also that

$$\|\{f,S\}\|_{C^2(\mathscr{W}_\rho)} \leqslant c_*\,\rho^{-4}, \qquad \|\{\{H,S\},S\}\|_{C^2(\mathscr{W}_\rho)} \leqslant c_{**}\,\rho^{-6}.$$

for some $c_*, c_{**} > 0$. Therefore, using the Faa di Bruno formula as in [Bou10] to estimate the second term of W_2, one immediately gets the last estimate in (199).

C Normal forms over ε–dependent domains

As usual $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mathbb{A}^n = T^*\mathbb{T}^n$. In this section we will exceptionally work with Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{A}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, since our result is in fact easier to state in its full generality. Moreover, we will no longer assume any convexity or superlinearity condition for the unperturbed part h. We will construct normal forms for perturbed Hamiltonians $H_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon f$ of class C^κ on $\mathbb{A}^n$, in ε–dependent neighborhoods of partially resonant and partially Diophantine actions.

C.1 Setting and main result

For $2 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, the L^p norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{C}^n$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$, while we will write $|\cdot|$ when $p = 1$.

1. Given $\tau > 0$ and a submodule $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ of rank $m \geqslant 0$, we say that a vector $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is *$\mathcal{M}$–resonant and τ–Diophantine* if $\omega^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathcal{M}$ and for any submodule $\mathcal{M}'$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}' = \mathbb{Z}^n$, there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ (depending on $\mathcal{M}'$) such that

$$\forall k \in \mathcal{M}'\backslash\{0\}, \qquad |\omega \cdot k| \geqslant \frac{\gamma}{|k|^\tau}. \tag{211}$$

Clearly, (211) is satisfied for any complementary submodule $\mathcal{M}'$ if and only if it is satisfied for a single one. We say that ω is *m–resonant and τ–Diophantine* when there exists a rank m submodule $\mathcal{M}$ such that ω is $\mathcal{M}$–resonant and τ–Diophantine. The set of m–resonant and τ–Diophantine vectors has full measure as soon as $\tau > n-m-1$ (and is residual when $\tau = n-m-1$). When $m = 0$ one recovers the usual Diophantine case, and we will assume $m \geqslant 1$ in the following. The case $m = n-1$ is particular since (211) is trivially satisfied for any nonzero $(n-1)$–resonant vector (for a suitable γ) as soon as $\tau \geqslant 0$. In the following we will not make an explicit distinction between the case $m = n-1$ and the case $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n-2$, even thought the proofs are slightly different.

2. Recall that given a submodule $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ of rank m, there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$–basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ whose last m vectors form a $\mathbb{Z}$–basis of $\mathcal{M}$. Given the matrix P in $\mathbf{Gl}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ whose i^{th}-column is formed by the components of the i^{th}-vector of this basis, one defines a symplectic linear coordinate change in $\mathbb{A}^n$ by setting

$$\theta = {}^tP^{-1}\widetilde{\theta} \ \ [\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z}^n], \qquad r = P\,\widetilde{r}. \tag{212}$$

3. Let h be an integrable Hamiltonian on $\mathbb{R}^n$ fix r^0 such that $\big((\nabla h)(r^0)\big)^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathcal{M}$. The change (212) transforms h into a new Hamiltonian $\widetilde{h}$ such that the last m coordinates of the frequency vector $\nabla\widetilde{h}(\widetilde{r}^0)$ vanish, while the first $n-m$ ones are nonresonant. Such coordinates

$(\widetilde{\theta}, \widetilde{r})$ will be said *adapted to* $\widetilde{r}^0$. We say that r^0 is m–resonant and τ–Diophantine for h when its associated frequency vector $\nabla h(r^0)$ is. One easily checks that this is the case if and only if there exists adapted coordinates of the form (212), relatively to which the frequency vector satisfies

$$(\widehat{\omega}, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

where the vector $\widehat{\omega}$ is τ–Diophantine in the usual sense. Once such adapted coordinates are chosen, we accordingly split all variables x into $(\widehat{x}, \overline{x})$, where $\widehat{x}$ stands for the first $n-m$ components of x and $\overline{x}$ stands for the last m ones.

4. We can now state our result. We fix $n \geqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n-1$. We define the C^p norm of a function on a fixed domain as the upper bound of the partial derivatives of order $\leqslant k$ on the domain.

Proposition C.1. *Consider an unperturbed Hamiltonian h of class C^κ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, fix a perturbation f in the unit ball of $C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^n)$ and set as usual $H_\varepsilon = h + \varepsilon f$. Fix two integers $p, \ell \geqslant 2$ and two constants $d > 0$ and $\delta < 1$ with $1 - \delta > d$. Fix an m–resonant and τ–Diophantine action r^0 for h and assume the coordinates (θ, r) to be adapted to r^0. Set*

$$[f](\overline{\theta}, r) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-m}} f\big((\widehat{\theta}, \overline{\theta}), r\big)\, d\widehat{\theta}.$$

Then, if κ is large enough, there is an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there exists an analytic symplectic embedding

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}^n \times B(r^0, \varepsilon^d) \to \mathbb{T}^n \times B(r^0, 2\varepsilon^d)$$

such that

$$H_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = h(r) + g_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, r) + R_\varepsilon(\theta, r),$$

where g_ε and R_ε are C^p functions such that

$$\|g_\varepsilon - \varepsilon[f]\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}^{n-m} \times B(r^0, \varepsilon^d)\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^{2-\delta}, \qquad \|R_\varepsilon\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}^n \times B(r^0, \varepsilon^d)\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^\ell. \tag{213}$$

Moreover, Φ_ε is close to the identity, in the sense that

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^p\left(\mathbb{T}^n \times B(r^0, \varepsilon^d)\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon^{1-\delta}. \tag{214}$$

C.2 Proof of Proposition C.1

Proposition C.1 will be an easy consequence of the resonant normal forms for analytic systems derived in [Pös93], together with classical analytic smoothing results for which we refer for instance to [Zeh76]. Another and more direct technique was introduced in [Bou10] to prove Nekoroshev-type results in the finitely differentiable case. For the sake of simplicity we adopt here the convention of [Pös93] and set $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n)$, one immediately recovers our usual setting by a linear change of variables which will not affect the estimates in Proposition C.1.

C.2.1 Pöschel's normal form

1. Given a subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for any function $u : \mathbb{T}^n \times D \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $u(\cdot, r) \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ for $r \in D$, we write

$$[u]_k(r) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} u(\theta, r) e^{ik\cdot\theta}\, d\theta$$

for the Fourier coefficient of order $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

2. Given $\sigma > 0$, $\rho > 0$, we set

$$U_\sigma \mathbb{T}^n = \{\theta \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |\mathrm{Im}\,\theta| < \sigma\}, \qquad V_\rho D = \{r \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \mathrm{dist}\,(r, D) < \rho\},$$

where dist is the metric associated with $\|\cdot\|_2$. As in [Pös93], for u analytic in $U_{\sigma'}\mathbb{T}^n \times V_\rho D$ with $\sigma' > \sigma$, with Fourier expansion

$$u(\theta, r) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} [u]_k(r)\, e^{ik\cdot\theta}$$

we set

$$\|u\|_{D,\sigma,\rho} = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{r \in V_\rho D} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |u_k(r)|\ e^{|k|\sigma} < +\infty.$$

One easily gets the following inequalities

$$\|u\|_{C^0(U_\sigma \mathbb{T}^n \times V_\rho D)} \leqslant \|u\|_{D,\sigma,\rho} \leqslant (\coth^n a)\|u\|_{C^0(U_{\sigma+a}\mathbb{T}^n \times V_\rho D)}, \tag{215}$$

for $0 < a < \sigma' - \sigma$.

3. In this section we consider a nearly integrable Hamiltonian of the form

$$\mathsf{H}_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = \mathsf{h}(r) + \mathsf{f}_\varepsilon(\theta, r)$$

where h and f_ε are analytic on the complex domain $U_{\sigma_0}\mathbb{T}^n \times V_{\rho_0} P$, where $\sigma_0 > 0$, $\rho_0 > 0$ are fixed and where P is some domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We denote by ϖ the frequency map associated with h.

4. Let α be a (small) constant and K be a (large) constant, which will eventually depend on the parameter ε. Fix a submodule $\mathcal{M}$ of rank m of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Following [Neh79], we say that a domain D^* in the frequency space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is (α, K)–*nonresonant modulo* $\mathcal{M}$ when for all $\omega \in D^*$,

$$|\omega \cdot k| \geqslant \alpha \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \backslash \mathcal{M} \text{ such that } |k| \leqslant K.$$

We then say that a domain D in the action space is (α, K)–nonresonant modulo $\mathcal{M}$ for the unperturbed Hamiltonian h when $\varpi(D)$ is.

5. We assume now that $\mathcal{M} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}^m$ and we use the corresponding decomposition $x = (\widehat{x}, \overline{x})$ for the variables. The main ingredient of our proof is the following result by Pöschel.

Theorem [Pös93]. *Let $D \subset P$ be a domain which is (α, K)–nonresonant modulo $\mathcal{M}$ for h. Let*

$$\mu(\varepsilon) := \|f_\varepsilon\|_{D,\sigma_0,\rho_0}.$$

Let

$$Z_0(\overline{\theta}, r) = \sum_{\overline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^m, \|\overline{k}\| \leqslant K} [f_\varepsilon]_{(0,\overline{k})}(r)\, e^{2i\pi \overline{k}\cdot\overline{\theta}}.$$

Then there are positive constants c, c', c'' depending only on the C^2 norm of h such that for any triple (μ, σ, ρ) which satisfies

$$0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant c\,\frac{\alpha}{K}\,\rho, \qquad \rho \leqslant \mathrm{Min}\,\big(c'\,\frac{\alpha}{K}, \rho_0\big), \qquad \frac{6}{K} \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \sigma_0, \tag{216}$$

then, when $\mu(\varepsilon) \leqslant \mu$, there exists a symplectic embedding

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : U_{\rho_*}\mathbb{T}^n \times V_{\sigma_*}D \to U_\rho \mathbb{T}^n \times V_\sigma D,$$

where $\rho_* = \rho/2$ and $\sigma_* = \sigma/6$ such that

$$\mathsf{H}_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon(\theta, r) = \mathsf{h}(r) + Z_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta}, r) + M_\varepsilon(\theta, r)$$

where

$$\|Z_\varepsilon - Z_0\|_{D,\sigma_*,\rho_*} \leqslant c'' \frac{K}{\alpha\rho}\mu^2, \qquad \|M_\varepsilon\|_{D,\sigma_*,\rho_*} \leqslant e^{-K\sigma/6}\mu. \tag{217}$$

Moreover, the θ–component Φ_ε^θ and the r–component Φ_ε^r of Φ_ε are close to the identity, in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_\varepsilon^\theta(\theta, r) - \theta\| &\leqslant c'' \frac{K}{\alpha}\frac{\sigma}{\rho}\mu, \qquad \forall (\theta, r) \in U_{\sigma_*}\mathbb{T}^n \times V_{\rho_*}D, \\ \|\Phi_\varepsilon^r(\theta, r) - r\| &\leqslant c'' \frac{K}{\alpha}\mu, \qquad \forall (\theta, r) \in U_{\sigma_*}\mathbb{T}^n \times V_{\rho_*}D, \end{aligned} \tag{218}$$

(here $\|\cdot\|$ stands for an arbitrary norm over $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the first equality is to be understood on the lift of $U_{\sigma_*}\mathbb{T}^n$).

C.2.2 Proof of Proposition C.1

We keep the notation of Proposition C.1, in particular h is a C^κ Hamiltonian on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and we assume $\kappa \geqslant 2$, so that the frequency map $\omega = \nabla h$ is at least C^1.

6. The proof will rely on the following easy result.

Lemma C.1. *Consider an m–resonant and τ–Diophantine action r^0 for h and assume the coordinates (θ, r) to be adapted to r^0. Therefore $\omega(r^0) = (\widehat{\omega}, 0)$ with $\widehat{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ such that*

- *$\widehat{\omega} \neq 0$ in the case $m = n - 1$,*
- *$\left|\widehat{k} \cdot \widehat{\omega}\right| \geqslant \dfrac{\gamma}{\left|\widehat{k}\right|^\tau}, \quad \forall \widehat{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-m}\backslash\{0\}$ for some $\gamma > 0$ when $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n - 2$.*

Let $\mathcal{M} := \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}^m$. Then the following properties hold true.

- *If $m = n - 1$, let $\alpha = |\widehat{\omega}|/2$. Then there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that for $K > 0$ large enough, the ball $B(r^0, \lambda/K)$ is (α, K) nonresonant modulo $\mathcal{M}$.*
- *If $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n - 2$, let $\nu > 1 + \tau$. Then, for K large enough, the ball $B(r^0, K^{-\nu})$ is (α, K) nonresonant modulo $\mathcal{M}$, with*

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{2} K^{-\tau}.$$

Proof. Assume first that $m = n - 1$. Then there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that for K large enough, $\|\omega(r) - \omega(r^0)\|_\infty \leqslant \alpha/K$ when $\|r - r^0\| \leqslant \lambda/K$ and the result easily follows from the inequality

$$|\omega(r) \cdot k| = \left|\omega(r^0) \cdot k + \big(\omega(r) - \omega(r^0)\big) \cdot k\right| \geqslant \left|\widehat{\omega}\,\widehat{k}\right| - K\frac{\alpha}{K} \geqslant 2\alpha - \alpha = \alpha,$$

if $\|r - r^0\| \leqslant \lambda/K$, $k = (\widehat{k}, \overline{k}) \notin \mathcal{M}$ (and so $\left|\widehat{k}\right| \geqslant 1$) and $|k| \leqslant K$.

Assume now that $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n-2$ and observe that for $k = (\widehat{k}, \overline{k}) \notin \mathcal{M}$ with $|k| \leqslant K$, then $\widehat{k} \neq 0$ and $\left|\widehat{k}\right| \leqslant K$, so that

$$\left|\omega(r^0) \cdot k\right| = \left|\widehat{\omega} \cdot \widehat{k}\right| \geqslant \frac{\gamma}{K^\tau}.$$

Moreover, there exists $C > 0$ such that for K large enough, $\|\omega(r) - \omega(r^0)\|_\infty \leqslant C\, K^{-\nu}$ when $\|r - r^0\| \leqslant K^{-\nu}$. Therefore, if $k \notin \mathcal{M}$ and $\|r - r^0\| \leqslant K^{-\nu}$

$$|\omega(r) \cdot k| = \left|\omega(r^0) \cdot k + \big(\omega(r) - \omega(r^0)\big) \cdot k\right| \geqslant \frac{\gamma}{K^\tau} - C\, K^{-\nu} K$$

and the result easily follows since $\nu - 1 > \tau$. □

7. Let us now recall the following analytic smoothing result.

Theorem [Zeh76]. *Let κ be a fixed nonnegative integer, let $r^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for $R > 0$ set $A_R := \mathbb{T}^n \times \overline{B}^n(r^0, R)$. Fix $R > 0$. Then there are constants $s_0 > 0, c_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < s < s_0$, for any function $f \in C^\kappa(A_{2R}, \mathbb{R})$, there exists a function $\ell_s(f)$, analytic in $\mathscr{B}_s = U_s\mathbb{T}^n \times V_s B^n(r^0, R)$, such that $\big(\ell_s(f)\big)(A_R) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$\|\ell_s(f) - f\|_{C^p(A_R)} \leqslant c_0\, s^{\kappa - p} \|f\|_{C^\kappa(A_R)}, \qquad 0 \leqslant p \leqslant \kappa; \tag{219}$$

$$|\ell_s(f)|_{C^0(\mathscr{B}_s)} \leqslant c_0\, \|f\|_{C^\kappa(A_R)}. \tag{220}$$

Moreover, the map $f \mapsto \ell_s(f)$ is linear and $\ell_s(f)(\theta, r)$ is independent of θ when $f(\theta, r)$ is.

8. We are given an m–resonant and τ–Diophantine action r^0 for h. We will apply Pöschel's theorem to the analytic Hamiltonian

$$\mathsf{H}_\varepsilon = \ell_{2\sigma(\varepsilon)}(H_\varepsilon) = \ell_{2\sigma(\varepsilon)}(h) + \varepsilon \ell_{2\sigma(\varepsilon)}(f) = \mathsf{h}_\varepsilon + \mathsf{f}_\varepsilon,$$

where the smoothing operator ℓ is defined relatively to the domain $A_1 = \mathbb{T}^n \times \overline{B}^n(r^0, 1)$, and where $\sigma(\varepsilon) \to 0$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$ (see below the explicit form of σ). Note first that the setting is slightly different from that of Pöschel, since the unperturbed Hamiltonian h_ε depends on ε. To control this dependence, we will chose κ so that by (219) the frequency vector $\nabla \mathsf{h}_\varepsilon$ is close enough to ∇h, which will allow us to use Lemma C.1 to obtain nonresonant domains for h_ε. Moreover, which is crucial, the C^2 norm of h_ε is bounded independently of ε thanks to (219), so that Pöschel's theorem can be applied to H_ε with *uniform* constants c, c', c''.

9. Our domain will have the following form:

$$U_{2\sigma(\varepsilon)}\mathbb{T}^n \times V_{2\sigma(\varepsilon)} B(r^0, \varepsilon^d)$$

where the exponent d will be chosen below in order for $B(r^0, \varepsilon^d)$ to be $(\alpha(\varepsilon), K(\varepsilon))$–nonresonant modulo $\mathcal{M}$ for h_ε. The regularity κ will be chosen according to (219), in order to satisfy a number of constraints.

10. The main point is that, by (215),

$$\|\mathsf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{B(r^0, \varepsilon^d), \sigma(\varepsilon), \sigma(\varepsilon)} \leqslant \varepsilon \left(\coth^n \sigma(\varepsilon)\right) \left|\ell_{2\sigma(\varepsilon)}(f)\right|_{C^0\left(U_{2\sigma(\varepsilon)}\mathbb{T}^n \times V_{2\sigma(\varepsilon)} B(r^0, \varepsilon^d)\right)},$$

so that, by (220), when ε is small enough

$$\|\mathsf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{B(r^0, \varepsilon^d), \sigma(\varepsilon), \sigma(\varepsilon)} \leqslant 2c_0\, \varepsilon \left(\sigma(\varepsilon)\right)^{-n} \tag{221}$$

since $\|f\|_{C^\kappa(A_1)} \leqslant 1$.

11. Forgetting first about the ε–dependence of the constants, let us describe our construction. By Pöschel's theorem, there exists a symplectic embedding

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : U_{\rho *}\mathbb{T}^n \times V_{\sigma *}B(r^0,\varepsilon^d) \to U_\rho \mathbb{T}^n \times V_\sigma B(r^0,\varepsilon^d),$$

such that

$$\mathsf{H}_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon(\theta,r) = \mathsf{h}_\varepsilon(r) + Z_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta},r) + M_\varepsilon(\theta,r).$$

As a consequence, for $(\theta,r) \in \mathbb{T}^n \times B(r^0,\varepsilon^d)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon(\theta,r) &= \mathsf{H}_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon(\theta,r) + (H_\varepsilon - \mathsf{H}_\varepsilon)\circ\Phi_\varepsilon(\theta,r) \\ &= \mathsf{h}_\varepsilon(r) + Z_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta},r) + \Big[M_\varepsilon(\theta,r) + (H_\varepsilon - \mathsf{H}_\varepsilon)\circ\Phi_\varepsilon(\theta,r)\Big] \\ &= h(r) + Z_\varepsilon(\overline{\theta},r) + \Big[M_\varepsilon(\theta,r) + (\mathsf{h}_\varepsilon - h)(r) + (H_\varepsilon - \mathsf{H}_\varepsilon)\circ\Phi_\varepsilon(\theta,r)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

To get our final result we will therefore set

$$g_\varepsilon = Z_\varepsilon, \qquad R_\varepsilon(\theta,r) = M_\varepsilon(\theta,r) + (\mathsf{h}_\varepsilon - h)(r) + (H_\varepsilon - \mathsf{H}_\varepsilon)\circ\Phi_\varepsilon(\theta,r),$$

and estimate the C^p norms of these functions. This will be an easy consequence of the Cauchy inequalities once the size of the domains are properly determined.

12. The case $m = n-1$. To make explicit the dependence of the domains and constants with respect to ε, let us fix three constants a, b, c which satisfy the following inequalities

$$0 < a < b < c, \qquad b < d \qquad 2na + b + (p+1)c < \delta, \tag{222}$$

and choose the regularity κ large enough so as to satisfy

$$\kappa > \text{Max}\,\Big(p + \frac{\ell}{a}, p + \frac{(n+p)b+1}{a}, 2p + n + \frac{2}{b}\Big), \tag{223}$$

where δ, d and p, ℓ were introduced in Proposition C.1.

- Let $\omega(r^0) = (\widehat{\omega}, 0)$. We first fix the width

$$\sigma(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^a$$

of the smoothing process. We will apply Pöschel's theorem to the Hamiltonian $\mathsf{H}_\varepsilon = \mathsf{h}_\varepsilon + \mathsf{f}_\varepsilon$ on the domain $D := B(r^0,\varepsilon^d)$, which is $(\alpha/2 = |\widehat{\omega}|/4, K(\varepsilon))$ nonresonant modulo $\mathcal{M}$ for h_ε with

$$K(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-b},$$

for ε small enough. To see this, observe that by (219) applied to each component of $\varpi_\varepsilon = \nabla h_\varepsilon$ and $\omega = \nabla h$:

$$\|\varpi_\varepsilon - \omega\|_{C^0} \leqslant c_0\big(\sigma(\varepsilon)\big)^{\kappa-1}\|h\|_{C^\kappa(B(r^0,1))} = C_0\varepsilon^{(\kappa-1)a}$$

for ε small enough. So, for $r \in B(r^0,\varepsilon^d)$ and $|k| \leqslant K(\varepsilon)$, since $b < d$, by Lemma C.1

$$|\varpi_\varepsilon(r)\cdot k| \geqslant |\omega(r)\cdot k| - \big|\big(\varpi_\varepsilon(r) - \omega(r)\big)\cdot k\big| \geqslant \alpha - C_0\varepsilon^{(\kappa-1)a-b},$$

and the claim immediately follows for ε small enough, since $(\kappa-1)a - b > 0$ by (223).

• With our choice of $\sigma(\varepsilon)$, equation (221) yields

$$\mu(\varepsilon) := \|\mathsf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{B(r^0,\varepsilon^d),\sigma(\varepsilon),\sigma(\varepsilon)} \leqslant 2c_0\,\varepsilon^{1-na}$$

We finally set

$$\rho(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^c$$

so that we can apply Pöschel's theorem with $\rho_0 = \sigma(\varepsilon)$, $\sigma_0 = \sigma(\varepsilon)$ and the triple

$$(\mu, \sigma, \rho) = \big(\mu(\varepsilon), \sigma(\varepsilon), \rho(\varepsilon)\big),$$

since the three constraints of equation (216) are satisfied for ε small enough, by equation (222).

• Then by (217) and the Cauchy inequalities, taking the inequality $\rho < \sigma$ into account, one gets for a suitable $C > 0$ and for ε small enough

$$\|Z_\varepsilon - Z_0\|_{C^p} \leqslant c''\frac{K}{\alpha\rho}\mu^2\frac{1}{\rho^p} \leqslant C\varepsilon^{2-2na-b-(p+1)c}, \tag{224}$$

$$\|M_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant e^{-K\sigma/6}\frac{1}{\rho^p}\mu \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^\ell, \tag{225}$$

and

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon^\theta - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^p},\ \|\Phi_\varepsilon^\theta - \mathrm{Id}\|_{C^p} \leqslant c''\frac{K}{\alpha}\frac{\sigma}{\rho}\frac{1}{\rho^p}\mu \leqslant C\varepsilon^{1-(n-1)a-b-(p+1)c}. \tag{226}$$

• The proof of (214) is now immediate from (226) and (222).

• To prove the second inequality of (213) note that on the one hand

$$\|h - \mathsf{h}_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant c_0\,(2\sigma)^{\kappa-p}\|h\|_{C^\kappa}, \quad \|H_\varepsilon - \mathsf{H}_\varepsilon\|_{C^\kappa} \leqslant c_0\,(2\sigma)^{\kappa-p}\|H_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant c_0\,(2\sigma)^{\kappa-p}\big(\|h\|_{C^\kappa} + 1\big)$$

for ε small enough, which yields by the Faa-di-Bruno formula, for a suitable $C > 0$

$$\|(h - \mathsf{h}_\varepsilon) + (H_\varepsilon - \mathsf{H}_\varepsilon) \circ \Phi_\varepsilon\|_{C^p} \leqslant C\sigma^{\kappa-p} \leqslant \varepsilon^{a(\kappa-p)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^\ell,$$

by the first inequality of (223). The conclusion then readily follows from (225).

• Finally, to prove the first inequality of (213), note that

$$g_\varepsilon - \varepsilon[f] = (Z_\varepsilon - Z_0) + (Z_0 - \varepsilon[f]).$$

The first term is conveniently controlled by (224):

$$\|Z_\varepsilon - Z_0\|_{C^p} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{2-\delta}, \tag{227}$$

for ε small enough, thanks to (222). Moreover

$$Z_0(\overline{\theta}, r) - \varepsilon[f](\overline{\theta}, r) = \Delta_1(\overline{\theta}, r) - \Delta_2(\overline{\theta}, r)$$

with

$$\Delta_1(\overline{\theta}, r) = \sum_{\overline{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^m, |\overline{k}|\leqslant K} \big([\mathsf{f}_\varepsilon]_{(0,\overline{k})}(r) - \varepsilon[f]_{(0,\overline{k})}(r)\big)e^{2i\pi\overline{k}\cdot\overline{\theta}}$$

$$\Delta_2(\overline{\theta}, r) \sum_{\overline{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^m, |\overline{k}|> K} \varepsilon[f]_{(0,\overline{k})}(r)e^{2i\pi\overline{k}\cdot\overline{\theta}}.$$

Now,

$$\|\mathsf{f}_\varepsilon - \varepsilon f\|_{C^p} \leqslant c_0\,(2\sigma)^{\kappa-p}\,\varepsilon$$

since f has unit norm in $C^\kappa(\mathbb{A}^n)$. Therefore

$$\|\Delta_1\|_{C^p} \leqslant C\,K^{n+p}\|\mathsf{f}_\varepsilon - \varepsilon f\|_{C^p} \leqslant C'\varepsilon^{1+(\kappa-p)a-(n+p)b}.$$

Then, by usual integration by parts for Fourier coefficients, one gets:

$$\|\Delta_2\|_{C^p} \leqslant CK^p \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k|>K} \frac{1}{|k|^{\kappa-p}} \leqslant \frac{C'}{K^{\kappa-2p-n}} = C'\,\varepsilon^{b(\kappa-2p-n)}.$$

From these two estimates one finally deduces the inequality

$$\|Z_0 - \varepsilon[f]\|_{C^p} \leqslant \varepsilon^{2-\delta}$$

from (227) and the last two inequalities of (223). Observe finally that $\Phi_\varepsilon\big(\mathbb{T}^n \times B(r^0,\varepsilon^d)\big) \subset \mathbb{T}^n \times B(r^0, 2\varepsilon^d)$ for ε small enough, thanks to (214) since $d < 1-\delta$, which concludes the proof.

13. The case $1 \leqslant m \leqslant n-2$**.** The proof is very similar to the previous one, up to minor changes for the definition of the nonresonant domain. With the notation of Lemma C.1, we now require the following inequalities for our constants (chosing $\nu = 1+2\tau$):

$$0 < a < b < c, \qquad (1+2\tau b) < d, \qquad 2na + (1+\tau)b + (p+1)c < \delta,$$

and we still assume that κ satisfies (223). The proof then exactly follows the same lines as above.

D The invariant curve theorem

For the sake of completeness we reproduce here the statement and proofs from [LM]. Let J^* be an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$. We consider a map $\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{T}\times J^* \to \mathbb{A}$ of class C^5, of the form

$$\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon(\varphi,\rho) = \big(\varphi + \varepsilon\varpi(\rho) + \Delta_\varepsilon^\varphi(\varphi,\rho), \rho + \Delta_\varepsilon^\rho(\varphi,\rho)\big), \tag{228}$$

with $\|\varpi\|_{C^5} < +\infty$, and we moreover assume

$$\varpi'(\rho) \geqslant \sigma > 0, \quad \|\Delta_\varepsilon^\varphi\|_{C^5} \leqslant \varepsilon^7, \quad \|\Delta_\varepsilon^\rho\|_{C^5} \leqslant \varepsilon^7. \tag{229}$$

Proposition D.1. *Let $J \subset J^*$ be a nonempty open interval. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, depending only on the length of J, σ and $\|\varpi\|_{C^5}$, such that for $0 \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0$, the map $\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon$ admits an essential invariant circle contained in $\mathbb{T}\times J$.*

The proof will be based on the translated curve theorem of Herman (see VII.11.3 and VII.11.11.A.1 in [Her83]), which we first recall in a form adapted to our setting. Given $\delta > 0$, we set $\mathbb{A}_\delta = \mathbb{T}\times[-\delta,\delta]$. A map $F : \mathbb{A}_\delta \to \mathbb{A}$ is said to satisfy the *intersection property* provided that for each essential curve $\mathscr{C} \subset \mathbb{A}_\delta$, $F(\mathscr{C}) \cap \mathscr{C} \neq \varnothing$.

Theorem D.1 (Herman)**.** *Fix $\delta > 0$. Fix $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that there exists $\Gamma > 0$ satisfying*

$$\left|\gamma - \frac{m}{n}\right| > \frac{\Gamma}{n^2}, \qquad \forall n \geqslant 1,\ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{230}$$

Assume moreover $\Gamma \leqslant 10\,\delta$. *Consider an embedding* $F : \mathbb{A}_\delta \to \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ *of the form*

$$F(\varphi, r) = \big(\varphi + \gamma + r,\ r + \zeta(\varphi, r)\big), \tag{231}$$

with $\zeta \in C^4(\mathbb{A}_\delta)$, *which satisfies the intersection property and*

$$\operatorname*{Max}_{1 \leqslant i+j \leqslant 4} \|\partial_r^i \partial_\varphi^j \zeta\|_{C^0(\mathbb{A}_\delta)} \leqslant \Gamma^2.$$

Then there is a continuous map $\psi : \mathbb{T} \to [-\delta, \delta]$ *and a diffeomorphism* $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T})$ *with rotation number* γ *such that* $F(\varphi, \psi(\varphi)) = f\big(\varphi, \psi(f(\varphi))\big)$ *and*

$$\|\psi\|_{C^0(\mathbb{T})} \leqslant \Gamma^{-1} \operatorname*{Max}_{1 \leqslant i+j \leqslant 4} \|\partial_r^i \partial_\varphi^j \zeta\|_{C^0(\mathbb{A}_\delta)}.$$

A real number γ satisfying Condition (230) is said to be of constant type, with Markoff constant Γ. Note that we do *not* require that Γ is the best possible constant. A more comprehensive exposition of the previous theorem (with better constants) is presented in [LMS]. We will also need the following result (see IV.3.5 in [Her83]).

Lemma D.1 (Herman)**.** *There exists a constant* $\tau \in]0, 1[$ *such that for any* $0 < \eta < 1/2$, *any interval of* $\mathbb{R}$ *with length* $\geqslant \eta$ *contains infinitely many real numbers of constant type with Markoff constant at least* $\tau\eta$.

Proof of Proposition D.1. We will first conjugate $\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon$ to a map of the form (231). We set

$$\delta_\varepsilon(\varphi, \rho) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Delta_\varepsilon^\rho(\varphi, \rho), \qquad \Phi_\varepsilon(\varphi, \rho) = \big(\varphi, \varpi(\rho) + \delta_\varepsilon(\varphi, \rho)\big), \qquad (\varphi, \rho) \in \mathbb{T} \times J.$$

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\rho_0 \in J$ satisfy $[\rho_0 - 2\alpha, \rho_0 + 2\alpha] \subset J$ and

$$\varepsilon^6 \leqslant \sigma/2. \tag{232}$$

By (232), Φ_ε properly embeds $\mathbb{T} \times J$ into $\mathbb{A}$ and, setting $\varpi_0 = \varpi(\rho_0)$:

$$\Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}\big(\mathbb{T} \times [\varpi_0 - \alpha\sigma/2, \varpi_0 + \alpha\sigma/2]\big) \subset \mathbb{T} \times [\rho_0 - \alpha, \rho_0 + \alpha]. \tag{233}$$

If moreover

$$\varepsilon^7 \leqslant \alpha \tag{234}$$

then the estimates on $\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon$ and δ_ε show that

$$\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}\big(\mathbb{T} \times [\varpi_0 - \alpha\sigma/2, \varpi_0 + \alpha\sigma/2]\big) \subset \mathbb{T} \times [\rho_0 - 2\alpha, \rho_0 + 2\alpha] \subset \mathbb{T} \times J.$$

Therefore, assuming (232) and (234), the map $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}_\varepsilon = \Phi_\varepsilon \circ \mathscr{P}_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon^{-1}$ is well defined over $\mathbb{T} \times [\varpi_0 - \alpha\sigma/2, \varpi_0 + \alpha\sigma/2]$. We write

$$R(\varphi, \rho) = \varpi(\rho) + \delta_\varepsilon(\varphi, \rho), \qquad (\varphi, \rho) \in \mathbb{T} \times J,$$

for the second component of Φ_ε. By straightforward computation:

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}_\varepsilon\big(\varphi, R(\varphi, \rho)\big) = \big(\varphi + \varepsilon R(\varphi, \rho), R'(\varphi, \rho)\big), \tag{235}$$

where

$$R'(\varphi, \rho) = \varpi\big(\rho + \Delta_\varepsilon^\rho(\varphi, \rho)\big) + \delta_\varepsilon\big(\varphi + \varepsilon R(\varphi, \rho), \rho + \Delta_\varepsilon^\rho(\varphi, \rho)\big). \tag{236}$$

Therefore, by (235) and (236), after expanding R', the map $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}_\varepsilon$ takes the form:

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}_\varepsilon(\varphi, R) = \big(\varphi + \varepsilon R, R + \Delta_\varepsilon^R(\varphi, R)\big), \qquad (\varphi, R) \in \mathbb{T} \times [\varpi_0 - \alpha\sigma/2, \varpi_0 + \alpha\sigma/2], \tag{237}$$

with

$$\|\Delta_\varepsilon^R\|_{C^4} \leqslant \nu\varepsilon^7 \tag{238}$$

where the constant $\nu > 0$ depends only on σ and $\|\varpi\|_{C^5}$. We finally fix

$$R_0 \in I := [\varpi_0 - \alpha\sigma/4, \varpi_0 + \alpha\sigma/4] \tag{239}$$

and set

$$\gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon R_0, \qquad \phi_{R_0,\varepsilon}(\varphi, r) = (\varphi, R_0 + \tfrac{1}{\varepsilon} r). \tag{240}$$

The map

$$F_{R_0,\varepsilon} = \phi_{R_0,\varepsilon}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\mathscr{P}}_\varepsilon \circ \phi_{R_0,\varepsilon}$$

is well defined over $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha\varepsilon\sigma/4}$ and takes the required form

$$F_{R_0,\varepsilon}(\varphi, r) = \big(\varphi + \gamma_\varepsilon + r,\ r + \zeta_\varepsilon(\varphi, r)\big),$$

with $\zeta_\varepsilon(\varphi, r) = \varepsilon\Delta_\varepsilon^R\big(\varphi, R_0 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} r\big)$. In particular

$$\|\zeta_\varepsilon\|_{C^4} \leqslant \nu\varepsilon^4.$$

We can now apply the translated curve theorem to $F_{R_0,\varepsilon}$ restricted to a suitable subdomain of $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha\varepsilon\sigma/4}$. We assume that ε is small enough so that

$$\varepsilon\alpha\sigma < 1. \tag{241}$$

Thus Lemma D.1 applied to the interval $I_\varepsilon = \varepsilon I$ (where I was introduced in (239)), with $\eta = \varepsilon\alpha\sigma/2$, shows that there exists $\gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon R_0 \in I_\varepsilon$ of constant type, with Markoff constant

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon = \tau\varepsilon\alpha\sigma/2.$$

So $R_0 \in I$ and the map F_{ε,R_0} is well defined on $\mathbb{A}_{\alpha\varepsilon\sigma/4}$. We will apply the translated curve theorem to F_{ε,R_0} on $\mathbb{A}_{\delta_\varepsilon}$, with

$$\delta_\varepsilon = \tau\varepsilon\alpha\sigma/20 < \alpha\varepsilon\sigma/4,$$

so that $\Gamma_\varepsilon = 10\,\delta_\varepsilon$. Thus, assuming

$$\nu\varepsilon^4 \leqslant \frac{1}{4}\tau^2\alpha^2\sigma^2\varepsilon^2, \tag{242}$$

there exists a continuous map $\psi : \mathbb{T} \to [-\delta_\varepsilon, \delta_\varepsilon]$ whose graph C is an invariant essential circle for F_{ε,R_0}. Since $C \subset \mathbb{A}_{\alpha\varepsilon\sigma/4}$

$$\phi_{R_0,\varepsilon}(C) \subset \mathbb{T} \times [R_0 - \alpha\sigma/4, R_0 + \alpha\sigma/4] \subset \mathbb{T} \times [\varpi_0 - \alpha\sigma/2, \varpi_0 + \alpha\sigma/2].$$

Therefore, by (233)

$$\mathscr{C} = \Phi^{-1} \circ \phi_{R_0,\varepsilon}(C) \subset \mathbb{T} \times [\rho_0 - \alpha, \rho_0 + \alpha]$$

is an essential invariant circle for $\mathscr{P}_\varepsilon$, contained in $\mathbb{T} \times J$, which exists as soon as

$$0 \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_0 := \mathrm{Min}\,(\tfrac{1}{\alpha\sigma}, \tfrac{\tau\alpha\sigma}{2\sqrt{\nu}}).$$

This concludes the proof. □

E Proof of the existence of homoclinic orbits

For the sake of completeness, in this section we prove the following proposition.

Proposition E.1. *Let C be of the form (10) and satisfy Conditions (D). Let $c \in \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then there exists a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ of minimizing periodic solutions of X^C* with positive energies*, whose projections on $\mathbb{T}^2$ belong to c and whose orbits converge to a polyhomoclinic orbit for the hyperbolic fixed point.*

Since we need to make precise the convergence process for periodic orbits to the polyhomoclinic orbits, we will give an extensive, though not original, proof. Here we will closely follow the simple proofs in [BK87, Ber00] and use the discrete setting, which immediately yields finite dimensional spaces and easy compactness results. Only at the very end we will have to adapt this approach to recover the convergence notion in the continuous setting.

E.1 The discrete setting

Here we fix C as in (10) . We write x for points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and θ for points in $\mathbb{T}^2$. We denote by $\widehat{C}(r,x) = \frac{1}{2}T(r) + \widehat{U}(x)$ the lift of C to $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ and by $\widehat{L}(x,v) = \frac{1}{2}T_\bullet(v) - \widehat{U}(x)$ the associated Lagragian. We denote by $\mathscr{L} : T\mathbb{R}^2 \to T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ the Legendre diffeomorphism associated with $\widehat{L}$ and $\widehat{C}$.

1. Thanks to the particular form of $\widehat{C}$ (a rescaling in action yields a perturbation of the convex integrable Hamiltonian $\frac{1}{2}T(r)$) one easily proves that there exists a constant $\tau_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \tau \leqslant \tau_0$, $\Phi^{\tau\widehat{C}}$ admits a generating function $\widehat{S}_\tau$ on $(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$. This function is characterized by the following equivalence

$$\Big[(x',r') = \Phi^{\tau\widehat{C}}(x,r)\Big] \Longleftrightarrow \Big[r = -\partial_x \widehat{S}_\tau(x,x') \text{ and } r' = \partial_{x'}\widehat{S}_\tau(x,x')\Big]. \tag{243}$$

for any pairs of elements (x,r) and (x',r') of $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$. The function $\widehat{S}_\tau$ is nothing but the action integral $\widehat{S}_\tau(x,x') = \int_0^\tau \widehat{L}\big(\eta(t)\big)\,dt$, where η is the pullback by $\mathscr{L}$ of the solution of $X^{\widehat{C}}$ with initial condition (x,r). In particular, $\widehat{S}_\tau$ satisfies the following periodicity property

$$\widehat{S}_\tau(x+m, x'+m) = \widehat{S}_\tau(x,x'), \qquad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \quad \forall (x,x') \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2. \tag{244}$$

Moreover, one easily sees that

$$\widehat{S}_\tau(x,x') \sim \frac{1}{\tau}\,T_\bullet(x-x') \qquad \text{when} \qquad \|x-x'\|_2 \to +\infty \tag{245}$$

uniformly with respect to $\|x-x'\|_2$. Note finally that since the Hamiltonian flow is C^1, by transversality the action $\widehat{S}_\tau$ is C^1 in the variables (x,x',τ).

2. There no longer exists a generating function in the usual sense on $\mathbb{T}^2$. However one can still introduce a generalized one, defined for $(\theta,\theta') \in (\mathbb{T}^2)^2$ by

$$S_\tau(\theta,\theta') = \text{Min}\left\{\widehat{S}_\tau(x,x') \mid \pi(x) = \theta,\ \pi(x') = \theta'\right\}$$

where π stands for the projection $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$. Note that, by (244) and (245), there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for each pair $(\theta,\theta') \in (\mathbb{T}^2)^2$, there exists a pair $(x,x') \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ with $\|x\| \leqslant 1$, $\|x'\| \leqslant \rho$ and $S_\tau(\theta,\theta') = \widehat{S}_\tau(x,x')$. We say that (x,x') is a minimizing lift for (θ,θ').

The function S_τ is not differentiable in general, but it is easy to see that it is Lipschitzian for the natural product distance d on $\mathbb{T}^2$. Indeed, consider two pairs (θ_1, θ_1'), (θ_2, θ_2') on $(\mathbb{T}^2)^2$, fix a minimizing lift (x_1, x_1') for (θ_1, θ_1') and choose the lifts x_2, x_2' of θ_2, θ_2' which are the closest ones to the points x_1, x_1' (so (x_2, x_2') is not necessarily minimizing for (θ_2, θ_2')). Therefore, setting $K = \operatorname{Lip}_{\overline{B}(0,2)\times\overline{B}(0,\rho+1)} \widehat{S}_\tau$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\tau(\theta_2, \theta_2') - S_\tau(\theta_1, \theta_1') &\leqslant \widehat{S}_\tau(x_2, x_2') - \widehat{S}_\tau(x_1, x_1') \\ &\leqslant K\|(x_2, x_2') - (x_1, x_1')\| = Kd((\theta_2, \theta_2'), (\theta_1, \theta_1')). \end{aligned}$$

Interverting the pairs (θ_1, θ_1') and (θ_2, θ_2') then yields

$$\left|S_\tau(\theta_2, \theta_2') - S_\tau(\theta_1, \theta_1')\right| \leqslant Kd((\theta_2, \theta_2'), (\theta_1, \theta_1')),$$

which proves our claim.

3. Given $\tau \in]0, \tau_0]$, a sequence of $\mathbb{R}^2$ is called a (discrete) *trajectory* of the system $\Phi^{\tau\widehat{C}}$ when its points are the projection on $\mathbb{R}^2$ of those of an orbit of $\Phi^{\tau\widehat{C}}$ in $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$. So a sequence $(x_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a trajectory if and only if

$$\partial_{x'}\widehat{S}_\tau(x_{k-1}, x_k) + \partial_x \widehat{S}_\tau(x_k, x_{k+1}) = 0, \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

since it is then the projection of the orbit $(x_k, r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $r_k = -\partial_x \widehat{S}_\tau(x_k, x_{k+1})$.

We finally define a (discrete) trajectory for $\Phi^{\tau C}$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$ as the projection on $\mathbb{T}^2$ of a discrete trajectory for $\widehat{C}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

4. A finite sequence will be called a segment. The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma E.1. *Let $i < j$ be fixed integers and let $\tau \in]0, \tau_0]$.*

- *Fix a segment $(x_i, \dots, x_j)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^2)^{j-i+1}$ and assume that there exists a sequence*

$$(x_i^n, \dots, x_j^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

of segments of trajectories for $\Phi^{\tau\widehat{C}}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_k^n = x_k$ for $i \leqslant k \leqslant j$. Then $(x_i, \dots, x_j)$ is a segment of trajectory for $\Phi^{\tau\widehat{C}}$.

- *Fix a segment $(\theta_i, \dots, \theta_j)$ in $(\mathbb{T}^2)^{j-i+1}$ and assume that there exists a sequence*

$$(\theta_i^n, \dots, \theta_j^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

of segments of trajectories for $\Phi^{\tau C}$, with energies bounded above, such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_k^n \to \theta_k$ for $i \leqslant k \leqslant j$. Then $(\theta_i, \dots, \theta_j)$ is a segment of trajectory for $\Phi^{\tau C}$.

5. We will focus of periodic trajectories in $\mathbb{T}^2$ and their lifts to $\mathbb{R}^2$. Given a positive integer q and an integer vector $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we introduce the space

$$\{\xi \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid \xi(i+q) = \xi(i) + m, \ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

of all sequences in $\mathbb{R}^2$ whose projections on $\mathbb{T}^2$ are "q–periodic with rotation vector w/q", together with the space

$$\mathscr{X}_m^q = \big\{(x_0, \dots, x_q) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^{q+1} \mid x_q = x_0 + m\big\}.$$

In the following we will identify an element of $\mathscr{X}_w^q$ with the corresponding "q–periodic" complete sequence. Given $\tau \in]0, \tau_0]$, one easily sees that $(x_0, \dots, x_q) \in \mathscr{X}_m^q$ is a trajectory of $\Phi^{\tau \widehat{C}}$ if and only if it is a critical point of the generalized action $\widehat{S}_\tau : \mathscr{X}_w^q \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\widehat{S}_\tau(x_0, \dots, x_q) = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{S}_\tau(x_k, x_{k+1}).$$

We also identify a q–periodic sequence on $\mathbb{T}^2$ with its restriction to $\{0, , \dots, q\}$ and we therefore introduce the space

$$\mathscr{E}^q = \big\{(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_q) \in (\mathbb{T}^2)^{q+1} \mid \theta_0 = \theta_q\big\}.$$

together with the generalized action $S_\tau : \mathscr{E}^q \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$S_\tau(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_q) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} S_\tau(\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}).$$

6. We say that a segment $(x_j, \dots, x_k)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^2)^{k-j+1}$ is minimizing for $\widehat{S}_\tau$ when its action $\widehat{S}_\tau(x_j, \dots, x_k)$ is smaller that the action of any other segment with the same length and the same extremities, and we say that a sequence is minimizing when each of its subsegments is minimizing. Finally, we say that a sequence $\xi \in \mathscr{X}_m^q$ is (q, m)–minimizing for S_τ if

$$\widehat{S}_\tau(\xi) = \operatorname*{Min}_{\xi' \in \mathscr{X}_m^q} \widehat{S}_\tau(\xi').$$

One easily checks that minimizing sequences and (q, m)–minimizing sequences are discrete trajectories of the system $\Phi^{\hat{\tau} \widehat{C}}$.

E.2 The hyperbolic fixed point as an Aubry set

Here we assume that C satifies Conditions (D). Without loss of generality, one can assume that U reaches its maximum at 0 and that $U(0) = 0$. We now examine the variational properties of the fixed point $O = (0, 0)$ of $X^{\widehat{C}}$ in the discrete framework. In all this section we fix $\tau \in]0, \tau_0]$ and get rid of the corresponding index in the notation. Note first that the functions $\widehat{S} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $S : \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ clearly satisfy $\widehat{S}(x, x') \geqslant 0$, $S(\theta, \theta') \geqslant 0$ and

$$\operatorname*{Min}_{(x, x') \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2} \widehat{S}(x, x') = \widehat{S}(0, 0) = 0, \qquad \operatorname*{Min}_{(\theta, \theta') \in (\mathbb{T}^2)^2} S(\theta, \theta') = S(0, 0) = 0. \tag{246}$$

We define the (projected) Aubry set $\mathscr{A}$ as the subset of $\mathbb{T}^2$ formed by the points θ such that there exists a sequence $\big(P^i = (\theta_0^i, \dots, \theta_{q_i}^i)\big)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of q_i–periodic sequences, with $q_i \to +\infty$ when $i \to +\infty$, such that

$$\theta_0^i = \theta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{i \to +\infty} S(P^i) = 0. \tag{247}$$

One easily obtains the following well-known lemma (see [Sor, Fa0]).

Lemma E.2. *The projected Aubry set $\mathscr{A}$ reduces to the maximum $\{0\}$ of the potential function U.*

Proof. The proof is based on the following remark. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^2 \backslash \{0\}$. Then

$$\operatorname*{Min}_{\theta' \in \mathbb{T}^2} S(\theta, \theta') > 0.$$

To see this, fix $e^* > 0 = \mathrm{Max}\, U$. Consider $\theta' \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and fix two lifts x, x' of θ, θ'. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a compact ball, centered at x, on which $\mathrm{Max}_B(\widehat{U}) < 0$ (which is possible since $x \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$). Consider the unique solution $\gamma(t) = (x(t), r(t)) : [0, \tau] \to T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ of the vector field $X^{\widehat{C}}$ such that $x(0) = x$ and $x(\tau) = x'$. Let e be the value of $\widehat{C}$ on γ. We consider two cases.

– If $e \geqslant e^*$, since $\frac{1}{2}T(r(t)) = e - \widehat{U}(x(t))$ then $\widehat{L}\big(x(t), \dot{x}(t)\big) = e - 2\widehat{U}(x(t)) \geqslant e^*$, so

$$\int_0^\tau \widehat{L}\big(x(t), \dot{x}(t)\big)\, dt \geqslant \tau e^*. \tag{248}$$

– If $e \leqslant e^*$, since $T_\bullet(\dot{x}(t))$ is bounded above by $2(e^* - \mathrm{Min}\, U)$, there exists $\tau' > 0$ (independent of e) such that $x(t) \in B$ for $t \in [0, \tau']$. So in this case

$$\int_0^\tau \widehat{L}(x(t), \dot{x}(t))\, dt \geqslant \int_0^{\tau'} \widehat{L}(x(t), \dot{x}(t))\, dt \geqslant -\tau' \mathrm{Max}_B(\widehat{U}). \tag{249}$$

Since the lifts x, x' are arbitrary, one finally gets

$$\mathop{\mathrm{Min}}_{\theta' \in \mathbb{T}^2} S(\theta, \theta') \geqslant \mathrm{Min}\, \big[\tau e^*, -\tau' \mathrm{Max}_B(\widehat{U})\big] > 0,$$

which proves our remark.

Let us now turn to the proof of our lemma. Clearly $0 \in \mathscr{A}$ by (246). Conversely, if $\theta \neq 0$ and if $P = (\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_q)$ is a q-periodic sequence with $\theta_0^i = \theta$, then

$$S(P) \geqslant \mathop{\mathrm{Min}}_{\theta' \in \mathbb{T}^2} S(\theta, \theta')$$

and the limit of a sequence (P^i) of such sequences is positive by the previous remark, which proves that $\theta \notin \mathscr{A}$. □

E.3 Proof of Proposition 4.1

Thoughout this section, we identify $H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}^2$ and fix $c \in H_1(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \{0\}$, so that c is just an integer vector $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \backslash \{0\}$. We assume that C satisfies Conditions (D).

E.3.1 Minimizing sequences

Lemma E.3. *The energy of any (q, m)-minimizing sequence for $\widehat{S}$ in $\mathscr{X}_m^q$ is nonnegative.*

Proof. Here we will work both with continuous and discrete trajectories. Let us first prove that a (q, m)-minimizing trajectory is fully minimizing, in the sense that it minimizes the action between any pair of points. This is an easy consequence of the Morse Crossing Lemma ([Mat91] Theorem 2 and [Sor] Lemma 5.31), which we recall here in a weak form. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that when two (continuous) trajectories $\zeta_i : [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ of $\widehat{L}$ satisfy $\zeta_1(0) = \zeta_2(0)$ and $\dot{\zeta}_1(0) \neq \dot{\zeta}_2(0)$, then there exist C^1 curves $\alpha_i : [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with endpoints $\alpha_1(-\varepsilon) = \zeta_1(-\varepsilon)$, $\alpha_1(\varepsilon) = \zeta_2(\varepsilon)$, $\alpha_2(-\varepsilon) = \zeta_2(-\varepsilon)$ and $\alpha_2(\varepsilon) = \zeta_1(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$A(\alpha_1) + A(\alpha_2) < A(\zeta_1) + A(\zeta_2)$$

(this result still holds true for higher dimensional systems). From this, one deduces that two distinct (q, m)-minimizing trajectories do not intersect one another. This in turn easily yields the fact that a (q, m)-minimizing trajectory is also a (nq, m)-minimizing trajectory, for any integer

$n \geqslant 1$ (see [Ban88], Theorem 3.3 for instance). Since a (nq, m)-minimizing trajectory minimizes the action on each subinterval of $[0, nq]$, this easily proves our claim.

We now fix a (q, m)-minimizing trajectory and consider the probability measure μ evenly distributed on its orbit in $T\mathbb{T}^2$. By ([Mat91], Proposition 2), there exists $c \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that $A_c(\mu) = -\alpha(c)$. We already noticed that the support of μ is located in $C^{-1}(\alpha(c))$, and clearly $A_c(\mu) \leqslant 0$ since the action A_c vanishes on the zero trajectory. So $\alpha(c) \geqslant 0$, which concludes the proof since the support of μ coincides with the orbit. □

We now note that there exists a trivial upper bound, *uniform with respect to* q, for the actions of minimizing sequences in $\mathscr{X}_m^q$.

Lemma E.4. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2\backslash\{0\}$ be fixed. Then, for any $q \geqslant 1$ and any (q, m)–minimizing sequence $\xi \in \mathscr{X}_m^q$, the action of ξ satisfies*

$$\widehat{S}(\xi) \leqslant \widehat{S}(0, m).$$

Proof. Since ξ is minimizing in $\mathscr{X}_m^q$

$$\widehat{S}(\xi) \leqslant \widehat{S}(0, \dots, 0, m) = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \widehat{S}(0, 0) + \widehat{S}(0, m) = \widehat{S}(0, m),$$

where of course the segments $(0, \dots, 0, m)$ and $(0, \dots, 0, 0)$ are in $\mathbb{R}^{q+1}$. □

One also deduces from the previous lemma the following useful result.

Corollary E.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2\backslash\{0\}$ be fixed. The energy of a (q, m)–minimizing sequence tends to 0 when q tends to $+\infty$.*

Proof. Let ξ be a (q, m)–minimizing sequence with energy e_q and let ζ be the corresponding continuous trajectory. The Lagrangian satisfies

$$\widehat{L}\big(\zeta(t), \dot{\zeta}(t)\big) = e_q - 2\widehat{U}(\zeta(t)) \geqslant e_q,$$

so $\widehat{L}\big(\zeta(t), \dot{\zeta}(t)\big) \geqslant e_q \geqslant 0$ and, as a consequence

$$q\tau e_q \leqslant \widehat{S}(\xi) \leqslant \widehat{S}(0, m),$$

which proves that $\lim_{q\to\infty} e_q = 0$. □

E.3.2 Homoclinic behavior

The next lemma proves the existence of homoclinic trajectories.

Lemma E.5. *Fix a sequence $(\xi^q)_{q\in\mathbb{N}*}$ of elements of $\mathscr{X}_m^q$, such that ξ^q is minimizing for $\widehat{S}$. Set $\Theta^q = \pi \circ \xi^q$. Consider a limit point $\Theta = (\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of the sequence $(\Theta^q)_{q\in\mathbb{N}*}$, relatively to the (compact) product toplogy of $(\mathbb{T}^2)^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then Θ is a* trajectory *for $\Phi^{\tau\widehat{C}}$ and is homoclinic to 0, that is:*

$$\lim_{k\to-\infty} \theta_k = \lim_{k\to+\infty} \theta_k = 0. \tag{250}$$

Proof. The fact that Θ is a trajectory is an immediate consequence of Lemma E.1 and Corollary E.1, since each subsegment of Θ is the limit of segments of trajectories with energy bounded above.

We will prove (250) for the ω–limit of Θ, the α–limit case being similar. More precisely, we will show that 0 is the only limit point for the sequence $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ when $k \to +\infty$, which proves our claim by compactness of $\mathbb{T}^2$. Consider such a limit point θ. There exists an increasing sequence $(k_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(\theta_{k_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to θ for $i \to +\infty$, and one can moreover assume that

$$d(\theta_{k_i}, \theta) \leqslant \frac{1}{2^i}, \qquad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consider the $(k_{(i+1)} - k_i + 1)$-periodic sequence $P^i = (\theta, \theta_{k_i}, \dots, \theta_{k_{(i+1)}}, \theta)$. Then obviously

$$0 \leqslant S(P^i) \leqslant S(\theta_{k_i}, \theta_{k_i+1}, \dots, \theta_{k_{(i+1)}-1}, \theta_{k_{(i+1)}}) + \frac{c}{2^i},$$

where $c = 2 \operatorname{Lip} S$. Therefore, given a positive integer i_1, adding these inequalities yields

$$0 \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{i_1} S(P^i) \leqslant S(\theta_{k_0}, \dots, \theta_{k_{(i_1+1)}}) + 2c. \tag{251}$$

Consider now a subsequence $(\Theta^{q_\ell})_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges to Θ, and set $\Theta^{q_\ell} = (\theta_k^{q_\ell})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. For $\ell \geqslant \ell_0$ large enough, the period q_ℓ is larger than $(k_{(i_1+1)} - k_0 + 2)$ and therefore, by Lemma E.4, there is M independent of i_1 such that

$$S(\theta_{k_0}^{q_\ell}, \dots, \theta_{k_{(i_1+1)}}^{q_\ell}) \leqslant M, \qquad \ell \geqslant \ell_0.$$

Taking the limit when $\ell \to \infty$ shows that

$$S(\theta_{k_0}, \dots, \theta_{k_{(i_1+1)}}) \leqslant M$$

since S is continuous. Therefore by (251) the series $\sum S(P^i)$ converge, hence $S(P^i)$ tends to 0. This proves that θ is in the projected Aubry set, so $\theta = 0$ by Lemma E.2. □

E.3.3 Shifts and nontrivial limit points

Now, nothing prevents the previous limit point Θ to be the trivial zero sequence. To detect nontrivial limit points we will have to consider new sequences, deduced from $(\Theta^q)_{q\in\mathbb{N}*}$ by translation of the indices in order to "center the convergence process".

We begin with two simple results for which we explicitely take advantage of the simple features of the Hamiltonian flow in the neighborhood of the fixed point O.

Lemma E.6. *Fix a sequence $(\xi^q)_{q\in\mathbb{N}*}$ of elements of $\mathscr{X}_m^q$, such that ξ^q is minimizing for $\widehat{S}$. Set $\Theta^q = \pi \circ \xi^q$. There exists an infinite subsequence $(\Theta^q)_{q\in N}$ and a finite set $\{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_p\}$ of nonzero pairwise distinct trajectories $\Lambda_i = (\lambda_k^i)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ homoclinic to 0 in $\mathbb{T}^2$, such that for any shift-sequence $\kappa = (k_q)_{q\in N}$ and any limit point $\Theta = (\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of the sequence $(\Theta^{q,\kappa})_{q\in N}$, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\theta_k = \lambda_{k+\ell}$.*

Proof. We write $\xi^q = (x_k^q)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\Theta^q = (\theta_k^q)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. By the previous remark and Corollary E.1, there exists q_0 such that for $q \geqslant q_0$

$$\|x_{i+1}^q - x_i^q\| < \tfrac{1}{8}, \qquad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We denote by $B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\frac{1}{8})$ the ball of center 0 and radius $\frac{1}{8}$ in $\mathbb{T}^2$. Therefore, for $q \geqslant q_0$, if some points x_i^q and x_j^q, $i<j$, belong to two different lifts of $B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\frac{1}{8})$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, then there is an index k with $i<k<j$ such that $\pi(x_k^q) \notin B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\frac{1}{8})$.

• *Existence of one nontrivial trajectory homoclinic to* 0. Given $q \geqslant q_0 \geqslant 3$, by the previous remark, there exists $k \in \{0,\dots,q-1\}$ such that $\theta_k^q \notin B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\frac{1}{8})$.
Let $k_q := \operatorname{Min}\{j \in \{0,\dots,q-1\} \mid \theta_j^q \notin B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\frac{1}{8})\}$, so $\kappa_1 := (k_q)_{q\geqslant q_0}$ is a shift-sequence. By compactness of $(\mathbb{T}^2)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ there exists an infinite subset N_1 of $\mathbb{N}$ such that the subsequence $(\Theta^{\kappa_1,q})_{q\in N_1}$ converges. Let $\Lambda_1 = (\lambda_k^1)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be its limit. By Lemma E.5, Λ_1 is a trajectory homoclinic to 0. Now, since $\theta_{k_q}^q = \theta_0^{\kappa,q}$ belongs to the compact set $\mathbb{T}^2\backslash B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\frac{1}{8})$, then

$$\lambda_0^1 = \lim_{\substack{q\to+\infty \\ q\in N_1}} \theta_0^{\kappa_1,q} \in \mathbb{T}^2\backslash B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\tfrac{1}{8}).$$

So the trajectory Λ_1 is nontrivial.

• *Search for other distinct homoclinic trajectories.* For $\delta>0$, we denote by $V_\delta \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ the δ–neighborhood of the set $\{\lambda_k \mid k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$. The following two cases only occur:

1. for all $\delta>0$ there exists q_1 such that for any $q \geqslant q_1$ the set $\{\theta_0^{\kappa,q},\dots,\theta_{q-1}^{\kappa,q}\} \subset V_\delta$,
2. there exists $\delta_1>0$ such that the set $\{q\in N_1 \mid \{\theta_0^{\kappa,q},\dots,\theta_{q-1}^{\kappa,q}\} \not\subset V_{\delta_1}\}$ is infinite.

In the first case, there is no other homoclinic trajectory, we set $N := N_1$.

In the second case, set $N_1' := \{q\in N_1 \mid \{\theta_0^{\kappa_1,q},\dots,\theta_{q-1}^{\kappa_1,q}\} \not\subset V_{\delta_1}\}$. For $q\in N_1'$, let $k_q^2 := \operatorname{Min}\{j \in \{0,\dots,q-1\} \mid \theta_j^q \notin V_{\delta_1}\}$, so $\kappa_2 := (k_q^2)_{q\in N_2}$ is a shift-sequence. As before, there exists an infinite set $N_2 \subset N_1'$ such that the subsequence $(\Theta^q)_{q\in N_2}$ converges to a limit $\Lambda_2 := (\lambda_k^2)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ whose image is not contained in V_{δ_1}. As before, one cheks that Λ_2 is a nontrivial trajectory homoclinic to 0 and by construction, $\Lambda_2 \neq \Lambda_1$. We then examine the same alternative considering neighborhoods of $\{\lambda_k^2 \mid k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ and the sequence $(\Theta^{q,\kappa_1+\kappa_2})_{q\in N_2}$ and continue the process until the first term of the alternative holds true.

• *The process stops after a finite number of steps.* For $j\geqslant 1$, we denote by N_j the index set we get after j steps, by $\kappa_j := (k_q^j)_{q\in N_j}$ the associated shift-sequence and by $\Lambda_j := (\lambda_k^j)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ the corresponding trajectory homoclinic to 0. Let $\bar\kappa_j := \sum_{i=1}^j \kappa_i$, that is, $\bar\kappa_j = (\bar k_q^j)_{q\in N_j}$ with $\bar k_q^j := \sum_{i=1}^j k_q^i$. In particular, for $1\leqslant j\leqslant p$, the following convergence hold true:

$$\lim_{\substack{q\to+\infty \\ q\in N_j}} \theta_0^{\bar\kappa_j,q} = \lambda_0^j.$$

Assume there are p steps with $p\geqslant 1$. By construction the points λ_0^j with $1\leqslant j\leqslant p$ are pairwise distinct and contained in $\mathbb{T}^2\backslash B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\frac{1}{8})$, so for $q\in N_p$ large enough, the set $\{\theta_0^q,\dots,\theta_{q-1}^q\}$ contains at least p points in the compact set $\mathbb{T}^2\backslash B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\frac{1}{8})$. By the first remark in Lemma E.2, there exists $a>0$ such that for any $(\theta,\theta') \in (\mathbb{T}^2\backslash B_{\mathbb{T}^2}(0,\frac{1}{8})) \times \mathbb{T}^2$, $S(\theta,\theta') \geqslant a$. Therefore, by Lemma E.4, for $q\in N_p$ large enough,

$$pa \leqslant S(\Theta^q) \leqslant \widehat{S}(0,m)$$

and so p is bounded above.

• *Conclusion.* Let p be the number of steps. With the previous notation, we set $N = N_p$ and

$$K := \bigcup_{j=1}^p \{\lambda_k^j \mid k\in\mathbb{Z}\},$$

so K is the union of the images of the trajectories Λ^j. Consider a shift-sequence κ and let $\Theta = (\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a limit point of $(\Theta^{\kappa,q})_{q\in N}$. By construction, the image $\{\theta_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of Θ is contained in the intersection $\cap_{\delta>0} V_\delta$, where V_δ is the δ-neigborhood of K, hence $\{\theta_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset K$. Moreover, by Lemma E.5, Θ is a nontrivial trajectory homoclinic to 0. This proves that the image of Θ coincides with the image of some Λ_i, which proves Lemma E.6, since two trajectories with the same image are deduced from one another by a shift of indices. □

E.3.4 The continuous setting

We now prove the geometric convergence to the polyhomoclinic orbits in the sense of Section 4.2. We keep the notation of the proof of Lemma E.6.

• Let ω^i be the continuous solution associated with the homoclinic trajectory $\Lambda^i \in \mathscr{H}$, with initial condition $\omega^i(0) = \lambda_0^i$. The limit polyhomoclinic orbit will be a concatenation of the orbits Ω_i of the solutions ω_i, ordered in a suitable way that we will now make explicit. The notion of convergence being independent of the choice of sections, we choose as exit and entrance sections Σ^u and Σ^s for each homoclinic orbit suitable lifts to $T^*\mathbb{T}^2$ of small arcs of the boundary circle of the disc $\mathscr{O}$ (which can be assumed to be transverse to each homoclinic trajectory).

• Let γ^q be the continuous solution associated with Θ^q, such that $\gamma^q(0) = \theta_0^q$. One easily deduces from Lemma E.1 that the sequence of functions $\big(\gamma^q(t+\kappa_q^1)\big)$ pointwise converges to the function $\omega^1(t)$.

• Let $t_u^1 < t_s^1$ be the exit and entrance times for ω^1, defined by $\omega^1(t_u^1) \in \Sigma^u$ and $\omega^1(t_s^1) \in \Sigma^s$, and $\omega^1(]-\infty, t^u]) \in \pi^{-1}(\mathscr{O})$, $\omega^1([t^s, +\infty[) \in \pi^{-1}(\mathscr{O})$. By transversality and the previous property, there exist sequences $(t_u^1(q))_{q\in N}$ and $(t_s^1(q))_{q\in N}$, with limits t_u^1 and t_s^1 respectively, such that for q large enough

$$\gamma_q(t_u^1(q)) \in \Sigma^u, \qquad \gamma_q(t_s^1(q)) \in \Sigma^s.$$

Obviously $[t_u^1(q), t_s^1(q)] \subset [0, q]$ for q large enough. Moreover, $\big(\gamma^q(t+\kappa_q^1)\big)$ converges uniformly to $\omega^1(t)$ on $[t_u^1 - \delta, t_s^1 + \delta]$ for δ small enough.

• By definition of $\mathscr{O}$, there exists a minimal time $t_u^2(q) > t_s^1(q)$ such that $\gamma^q(t_u^2(q)) \in \Sigma^u$. Up to extraction of a subsequence one can assume that $\gamma^q(t_u^2(q))$ converges, and the limit necessarily belongs to some homoclinic orbit Ω^j, so that there exists t_u^j such that

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} \gamma^q(t_u^2(q)) = \omega^j(t_u^j).$$

• The previous process may be continued and provides us, for q large enough, with a finite sequence of consecutive intervals

$$0 \leqslant [t_u^1(q), t_s^1(q)] < [t_u^2(q), t_s^2(q)] < \cdots < [t_u^\ell(q), t_s^\ell(q)] \leqslant q$$

such that the intersection of the orbit of γ^q with the lift of $\mathscr{O}$ to $T\mathbb{T}^2$ is the union

$$\bigcup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant \ell} \gamma^q\big([t_u^j(q), t_s^j(q)]\big),$$

and such that (up to extraction) each sequence $\big(\gamma^q(t_u^j(q))\big)$ and $\big(\gamma^q(t_s^j(q))\big)$ is convergent. Moreover, for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell$ the limits

$$\lim_{q\to+\infty} \gamma^q(t_s^{j-1}(q)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{q\to+\infty} \gamma^q(t_u^j(q))$$

belong to the same homoclinic orbit (with the cyclic convention $0 = \ell$). Note that ℓ is larger or equal to the number of homoclinic orbits (some of them may be shadowed more than once).

E.3.5 The positive energies

To conclude the proof of Proposition 4.1 it only remains to show the existence of a convergent sequence of minimizing periodic orbits with positive energy to the previous polyhomoclinic orbit. By Conditions (D), starting with a sequence (Γ_n) of periodic orbits with energy $\geqslant 0$ converging to the polyhomoclinic orbit Ω, one can slightly perturb each of them to get another sequence (Γ_n^+) of periodic orbits *with positive energy* $1/2^n$ close to the initial ones in the C^1 topology. This new sequence obviouly converges to the same polyhomoclinic orbit in the sense of Definition 10, which concludes the proof.

E.3.6 The simple homoclinic orbits

We are now in a position to prove Lemma 4.3. Assume that C satisfies Conditions (D). Following (D_4) consider a homoclinic orbitΩ whose amended action is strictly minimal among the amended actions of the homoclinic orbits. Let A be the lower bound of these latter actions. Let $c \sim m$ be the homology class. Then for q large enough, by properly chosing an initial condition on Ω close enough to O, one can produce a q–periodic sequence whose rotation vector is m and whose action is smaller that A. As a consequence, a minimizing sequence in $\mathscr{X}_m^q$ also has an action smaller that A. By semicontinuity and the previous section, this proves that the associated periodic orbits converge to Ω, hence Ω is positive.

F The Hamiltonian Birhoff-Smale theorem

We give here a complete proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, which follows the lines of [Mar98] with more details. Since in the Hamiltonian setting the (Lagrangian) invariant manifolds cannot be transverse in the ambient space, we have to restrict the system to energy levels and consider Poincaré sections for the flow. This process is *not* general and depends on the spectrum of the equilibrium point as well as on the "disposition" of the homoclinic orbits. Our result is related to the study of Shilnikov and Turaev [TS89], but our assumptions are different. We moreover need to get a very precise localization of the horseshoes we will construct, which we were unable to extract from [TS89]. To this aim we find very helpful the existence of a proper coordinate system for the fixed point. Another approach may be found in [KZ].

F.1 The setting

1. We consider a C^∞ Hamiltonian system H on $\mathbb{A}^2 = T^*\mathbb{T}^2$ with a hyperbolic fixed point O. We assume that there exists a neighborhood of O endowed with a C^∞ symplectic coordinate system (u_1, u_2, s_1, s_2), with values in some ball B centered at 0 in $\mathbb{R}^4$, in which H takes the normal form

$$H(u,s) = \lambda_1 u_1 s_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 s_2 + R(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2), \tag{252}$$

with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, $R(0,0) = 0$ and $D_{(0,0)}R = 0$ (we do not assume any equivariance condition at this point, see (157)). The products $u_i s_i$ are local first integrals of the system and in B the vector field X^H reads

$$X^H \left| \begin{array}{rcl} \dot{u}_i &=& \lambda_i(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2)\, u_i \\ \dot{s}_i &=& -\lambda_i(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2)\, s_i \end{array} \right. \tag{253}$$

with

$$\lambda_i(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2) = \lambda_i + \partial_{x_i} R(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2), \qquad i = 1, 2. \tag{254}$$

We can assume that for $(u,s) \in B$:

$$\lambda_i(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2) \geqslant \overline{\lambda}_i > 0, \qquad i \in \{1,2\}, \tag{255}$$

and

$$\widehat{\Lambda} \geqslant \frac{\lambda_1(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2)}{\lambda_2(u_1 s_1, u_2 s_2)} \geqslant \overline{\Lambda} > 1, \tag{256}$$

for some suitable constants $\overline{\Lambda}$ and $\widehat{\Lambda}$, which moreover satisfy

$$\widehat{\Lambda} - \overline{\Lambda} < 2(\overline{\Lambda} - 1). \tag{257}$$

The local stable and unstable manifolds W^s_ℓ and W^u_ℓ of O in B are straightened:

$$W^u_\ell = \{s = 0\}, \qquad W^s_\ell = \{u = 0\}$$

and the Hamiltonian vector field on these manifolds is purely linear. Some stable and unstable orbits are depicted in Figure 1. As in Section 2, we introduce the subsets

$$W^{ss}_\ell = \{s_2 = 0\}, \quad W^{sc}_\ell = \{s_1 = 0\}, \quad W^{uu}_\ell = \{u_2 = 0\}, \quad W^{uc}_\ell = \{u_1 = 0\}.$$

Observe that their germs at O are independent of the normalization. Indeed, W^{ss}_ℓ and W^{uu}_ℓ are the strong (local) stable and unstable manifolds of O, while $W^{sc}_\ell = \{s_1 = 0\}$ and $W^{uc}_\ell = \{u_1 = 0\}$ are the only C^∞ invariant lines through the fixed points which are contained in in W^{ss}_ℓ and W^{uu}_ℓ and transverse to W^{ss}_ℓ and W^{uu}_ℓ. Note also that these objects depend continuously on the Hamiltonian H: this comes from the usual (parametrized) Grobman-Hartman theorem.



Figure 12: The flows on W^s_ℓ and W^u_ℓ.

2. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and $\sigma \in \{-1, +1\}$, as in Section 5 we denote by $B(\varepsilon)$ the ball of $\mathbb{R}^4$ centered at 0 with radius ε for the Max norm and we introduce the sections

$$\Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon] = \{(u,s) \in \overline{B}(\varepsilon) \mid u_2 = \sigma\varepsilon\}, \qquad \Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon] = \{(u,s) \in \overline{B}(\varepsilon) \mid s_2 = \sigma\varepsilon\}, \tag{258}$$

and

$$\Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon, e] = \Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon] \cap (C_{|B})^{-1}(e), \qquad \Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon, e] = \Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon] \cap (C_{|B})^{-1}(e). \tag{259}$$

To define suitable coordinates on these latter sets, we write $x_i = u_i s_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and we fix two intervals $X_1 =]-\widehat{x}_1, \widehat{x}_1[$, $E =]-\widehat{e}, \widehat{e}\,[$, together with a neighborhood X of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that the equation

$$H(x_1, x_2) = e, \qquad (x_1, x_2) \in X, \quad e \in E, \tag{260}$$

is equivalent to

$$x_2 = \chi(x_1, e), \qquad (x_1, e) \in X_1 \times E, \tag{261}$$

where χ is a smooth function on $X_1 \times E$. Therefore

$$\chi(x_1, e) = \frac{1}{\lambda_2}(e - \lambda_1 x_1) + \overline{\chi}(x_1, e), \qquad \overline{\chi}(0,0) = 0, \quad D_{(0,0)}\overline{\chi} = 0. \tag{262}$$

As a consequence, there exists $\widehat{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \widehat{\varepsilon}$, the equation of $\Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon, e]$ reads

$$u_2 = \frac{1}{\sigma\varepsilon}\chi(u_1 s_1, e) := \phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u_1 s_1, e), \qquad \|(u_1, s_1)\|_\infty \leqslant \varepsilon, \tag{263}$$

while the equation of $\Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon, e]$ reads

$$s_2 = \phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(u_1 s_1, e), \qquad \|(u_1, s_1)\|_\infty \leqslant \varepsilon. \tag{264}$$

We can now introduce our coordinates. Since we implicitely use the conservation of energy through the choice of our sections, we can take advantage of only one of the first integrals $u_i s_i$ and we will choose the product $u_1 s_1$.

- On the subset $\Sigma^{s*}_\sigma[\varepsilon, e] = \big\{(u, s) \in \Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon, e] \mid s_1 \neq 0\big\}$ we define (x_s, y_s) by

$$x_s = s_1, \qquad y_s = u_1 s_1. \tag{265}$$

  The full set of coordinates of the point $m = (x_s, y_s) \in \Sigma^{s*}_\sigma[\varepsilon, e]$ reads

$$m = \Big(u_1 = \frac{y_s}{x_s},\ u_2 = \phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_s, e),\ s_1 = x_s,\ s_2 = \sigma\varepsilon\Big). \tag{266}$$

- Similarly, on $\Sigma^{u*}_\sigma[\varepsilon, e] = \big\{(u, s) \in \Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon, e] \mid u_1 \neq 0\big\}$, we set

$$x_u = u_1, \qquad y_u = u_1 s_1, \tag{267}$$

  so that the coordinates of $m = (x_s, y_s) \in \Sigma^{u*}_\sigma[\varepsilon, e]$ read

$$m = \Big(u_1 = x_u,\ u_2 = \sigma\varepsilon,\ s_1 = \frac{y_u}{x_u},\ s_2 = \phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_u, e)\Big). \tag{268}$$

Note that the intersections of the local invariant manifolds W^u_ℓ and W^s_ℓ with the sections $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, 0]$ and $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon, 0]$ admit the simple equations $y_u = 0$ and $y_s = 0$ respectively.

3. The following lemma is a simple remark which will enable us to properly localize our construction of the horseshoes. Note that, due to the form of the flow on W^s_ℓ, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough (in particular $\varepsilon < \widehat{\varepsilon}$ defined above) $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$ is an *entrance section* for any orbit Γ in W^s_ℓ, in the sense that there exists a first intersection point in $\Gamma \cap \Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$, according to the flow induced orientation of Γ (see the proof below). We call this point the entrance point of Γ relatively to $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$. We define analogously the exit point of an orbit $\Gamma \subset W^u$

Lemma F.1. *Let Ω be an orbit in $W^s \backslash (W^{ss}_\ell \cup W^{sc}_\ell)$. Then, if $\varepsilon_a > 0$ is small enough, for $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_a$ the entrance point b of Ω relatively to $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$ belongs to a well-defined subset $\Sigma^{s*}_\sigma[\varepsilon]$. Its coordinates (265) read $b = (\eta, 0)$ with*

$$|\eta| \leqslant \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}, \qquad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant i^*. \tag{269}$$

One has a similar statement when orbits $\Omega \subset W^u \backslash (W^{us}_\ell \cup W^{uc}_\ell)$, in this case its exit point $a = (\xi, 0) \in \Sigma^{u*}_\sigma[\varepsilon]$ satisfies

$$|\xi| \leqslant \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}, \qquad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant i^*. \tag{270}$$

Proof. It is of course enough to prove the first claim. Since $\Omega \subset W^s \backslash (W^{ss}_\ell \cup W^{sc}_\ell)$, $\Omega \cap W^s_\ell$ admits an equation of the form

$$s_1 = c\,|s_2|^{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}, \qquad u = 0,$$

with $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$. One therefore sees that $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$ is an entrance section when ε is small enough. Therefore the entrance point b is well-defined and belongs to a subset $\Sigma^{s*}_\sigma[\varepsilon]$ since $c \neq 0$. Moreover $\eta = c\varepsilon^{\lambda_1/\lambda_2}$, so our claims then easily follow from the condition $\overline{\Lambda} < \lambda_1/\lambda_2$. □

Given a finite set $(\Omega_i)_{1\leqslant i\leqslant \ell}$ of orbits homoclinic to O, which do not intersect the exceptional set $W^{ss}_\ell \cup W^{sc}_\ell \cup W^{us}_\ell \cup W^{uc}_\ell$, we say that $\varepsilon_a > 0$ is admissible for (Ω_i) when it satisfies both conditions of Lemma F.1.

F.2 The Poincaré return map

Throughout this section we fix two compatible polyhomoclinic orbits $\Omega^0 = (\Omega^0_1, \dots, \Omega^0_{\ell^0})$ and $\Omega^1 = (\Omega^1_1, \dots, \Omega^1_{\ell^1})$ and we fix an admissible $\varepsilon_a > 0$. The Poincaré map Φ will be the composition of a flow-induced outer maps Φ_{out} along the homoclinic orbits with an inner map Φ_{in}, for which we will use the normal form (252). Given a positive $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_a$, we denote by a^ν_i and b^ν_i the exit and entrance point of Ω^ν_i relatively to the sections $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ and $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$.

F.2.1 The outer map.

The outer map Φ_{out} will be defined over the union of small 3-dimensional neighborhoods $\mathcal{R}^\nu_i$ of the points a^ν_i in $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ and will take its values in the union of 3-dimensional neighborhoods of the points b^ν_i in $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$.

1. In the (x_u, y_u)–coordinates, we set

$$a^\nu_i = (\xi^\nu_i, 0), \tag{271}$$

so that in particular $\xi^\nu_i \neq 0$. To define the neighborhoods $\mathcal{R}^\nu_i$, we first need to introduce the following notation for 2-dimensional rectangles in $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e]$.

- For $\xi \in]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\backslash\{0\}$ and for $0 < \delta < |\xi|$ and $\delta' > 0$, we set

$$R[\xi, \delta, \delta', e] = \{(x_u, y_u) \in \Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e] \mid |x_u - \xi| \leqslant \delta,\ |y_u| \leqslant \delta'\}. \tag{272}$$

The neighborhood $\mathcal{R}^\nu_i \subset \Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ will be the union of a one-parameter family of such rectangles:

$$\mathcal{R}^\nu_i = \bigcup_{|e|\leqslant e_0} R[\xi^\nu_i, \delta, \delta', e]. \tag{273}$$

where the parameters δ, δ' will be chosen independently of e (and of i and ν). The determination of e_0, δ, δ' will necessitate several steps which will be made explicit in the following.

2. Let us introduce the first constraint on e_0 and δ, δ'. By the transversality condition of the invariant manifolds along the homoclinic orbits, a small (one-dimensional) segment of $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^u_\ell = \{y_u = 0\}$ around a^ν_i is sent by Φ_{out} on a small curve in $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon, 0]$ which is transverse to $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon, 0] \cap W^s_\ell = \{y_s = 0\}$ at b^ν_i. Now the image of $R[\xi^\nu_i, \delta, \delta', e]$ by Φ_{out} is the union of the images of the horizontals $\{y_u = \text{cte}\}$ of the rectangle. We require the following condition (see Figure 13).

- The energy $e_0 > 0$ and the constants δ, δ' are small enough so that for $|e| < e_0$, the images of the horizontals of $R[\xi^\nu_i, \delta, \delta', e]$ by Φ_{out} transversely intersect the line $\{y_s = 0\} \subset \Sigma^s[\varepsilon, 0]$.



Figure 13: The image of a rectangle in $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon,0]$ under the map Φ_{out}.

F.2.2 The inner map

The inner map Φ_{in} sends a point $m \in \Sigma^s[\varepsilon]\backslash W^s(O)$ on the first intersection point of its orbit with the section $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$, provided moreover that the segment of orbit defined by these two points stays inside the coordinate ball B.

Lemma F.2. *For $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_a$ and $|e| < \widehat{e}$ (see (260)), let us set*

$$X_u(x_s, y_s) = \varepsilon^{\Lambda(y_s,e)} \frac{y_s}{x_s} \,|\, \phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_s, e)|^{-\Lambda(y_s,e)}, \qquad \Lambda(y_s, e) = \frac{\lambda_1(y_s, \chi(y_s, e))}{\lambda_2(y_s, \chi(y_s, e))}, \tag{274}$$

where χ was introduced in (261) and $\phi_{\varepsilon\sigma} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon,\sigma}\chi$ as in (263). Then

$$\mathscr{D}[\varepsilon, e] = \{(x_s, y_s) \in \Sigma^s[\varepsilon, e] \mid |X_u(x_s, y_s)| < \varepsilon\}. \tag{275}$$

and for $m = (x_s, y_s) \in \mathscr{D}[\varepsilon, e]$, $\Phi_{in}(m) \in \Sigma^u_{\sigma'}[\varepsilon, e]$ with

$$\sigma' = \operatorname{sgn}\big(\phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_s, e)\big), \qquad \Phi_{in}(m) = \Big(X_u(x_s, y_s), Y_u(x_s, y_s) = y_s\Big). \tag{276}$$

Proof. Let $m = (u_1^0, u_2^0, s_1^0, s_2^0) \in B$. Then if the orbit of m stays inside B, its associated solution reads

$$u_i(t) = u_i^0\, e^{\lambda(u_1^0 s_1^0, u_2^0 s_2^0)t}, \qquad s_i(t) = s_i^0\, e^{-\lambda(u_1^0 s_1^0, u_2^0 s_2^0)t}, \qquad i = 1, 2. \tag{277}$$

Assume moreover that $m \in \Sigma^s_\sigma[\varepsilon, e]$, with coordinates (x_s, y_s) in this section, so that $y_s = u_1^0 s_1^0$. Assume that $u_2^0 = \phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_s, e)$ is nonzero. Then the transition time $\tau(m)$ to reach the section $|u_2| = \varepsilon$ is well defined and reads

$$\tau(m) = \frac{1}{\Lambda_2(y_s, e)} \operatorname{Ln} \frac{\varepsilon}{|\,\phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_s, e)|},$$

which immediately yields the equality

$$u_1\big(\tau(m)\big) = X_u(x_s, y_s)$$

by (277) and (267), provided that the orbit stays inside $\|(u, s)\|_\infty \leqslant \varepsilon$. Since the u-coordinates increase while the s-coordinates decrease along the orbits contained in this domain, one easily checks that the inequality $|X_u(x_s, y_s)| \leqslant \varepsilon$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for m being in $\mathscr{D}[\varepsilon, e]$. This proves (275) and the expression of Φ_{in} directly follows from the previous remarks. Finally, since the sign of the coordinates is preserved by the flow, one immediately sees that $\sigma' = \operatorname{sgn}\big(\phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_s, e)\big)$ □



Figure 14: Case $e > 0$.



Figure 15: Case $e < 0$.

Figures F.2.2 and F.2.2 depict the image of a transverse segment under the map Φ_{in}. We limited ourselves to the part of its image which will prove useful in the following constructions. The "useful domain" in the section Σ^u is delimited by gray rectangles.

We will also need the following result for Φ_{in}^{-1}, whose proof is analogous to that of Lemma F.2.

Lemma F.3. *For $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_a$ and $|e| < \widehat{e}$, we set*

$$X_s(x_u, y_u) = \varepsilon^{\Lambda(y_u,e)} \frac{y_u}{x_u} \left| \phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_u, e) \right|^{-\Lambda(y_u,e)}, \qquad \Lambda(y_u, e) = \frac{\lambda_1(y_u, \chi(y_u, e))}{\lambda_2(y_u, \chi(y_u, e))}, \tag{278}$$

Then the domain of Φ_{in}^{-1} reads

$$\mathscr{D}^{-1}[\varepsilon, e] = \{(x_u, y_u) \in \Sigma^s[\varepsilon, e] \mid |X_u(x_u, y_u)| < \varepsilon\} \subset \Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e], \tag{279}$$

and for $m = (x_u, y_u) \in \mathscr{D}^{-1}[\varepsilon, e]$, $\Phi_{in}^{-1}(m) \in \Sigma^s_{\sigma'}[\varepsilon, e]$ with $\sigma' = \operatorname{sgn}\big(\phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_u, e)\big)$. Finally

$$\Phi_{in}^{-1}(m) = \Big(X_s(x_u, y_u), Y_s(x_u, y_u) = y_u\Big). \tag{280}$$

The behavior of Φ_{in}^{-1} is therefore immediately deduced from the one of Φ_{in}, by a simple inversion of the subscripts s and u.

F.2.3 A picture of the Poincaré map $\Phi = \Phi_{in} \circ \Phi_{out}$

Here we limit ourselves to the case of two simple homoclinic orbits Ω^0 and Ω^1, with exit points in the same section $\Sigma^u_\sigma[\varepsilon, 0]$ and entrance points in the same section Σ^s_σ, we moreover assume $e > 0$. With the notation of (273), we set $R^\nu = R[\xi^\nu, \delta, \delta', e]$ for $\nu = 0, 1$, where as usual $(\xi^\nu, 0)$ stands for the coordinates of the exit point of Ω^ν. Gathering the previous descriptions, one gets the following picture for the images of rectangles R^ν (we have limited the images $\Phi(R^\nu)$ to their "useful parts").



Figure 16: The Poincaré return map.

F.3 Technical estimates for the inner map

1. We begin with an easy lemma on the behavior of the function $\phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$ introduced in (263).

Lemma F.4. *Fix $\varepsilon \in]0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]$ and $|e| < \widehat{e}$ (see (263), (260)). Set $\phi := \phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(.,e) : [-\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2] \to \mathbb{R}$. Then ϕ is monotone, with $\operatorname{sgn}(\phi') = -\sigma$, and vanishes at a single point y_s^* such that*

$$y_s^* = \frac{e}{\lambda_1} + O_2(e). \tag{281}$$

Proof. The function $\phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_s, e)$ is well defined on $[-\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2] \times E$. The following derivative is immediately deduced from the implicit expression of χ in (261):

$$\partial_{y_s}\phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(y_s, e) = -\frac{\lambda_1 + \partial_1 R(y_s, \chi(y_s, e))}{\sigma\varepsilon\big(\lambda_2 + \partial_2 R(y_s, \chi(y_s, e))\big)},$$

By (255) and (256), this shows that ϕ is monotone with $\operatorname{sgn}(\phi') = -\sigma$ on its domain. Finally, (281) is immediate by (262). □

2. We now restrict ourselves to suitable horizontal strips inside the sections, in order to get asymptotic estimates on the various quantities involved in the construction of the horseshoes. In the following we write ϕ instead of $\phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma}$ when the context is clear.

Lemma F.5. *For $0 < \varepsilon < \widehat{\varepsilon}$ fix $\xi(\varepsilon) \in]0, \varepsilon[$. Then there exist positive constants κ, $C_\varepsilon, C'_\varepsilon, e_0$, with $\kappa < 1/2\lambda_1$ independent of ε, such that for $|e| < e(\varepsilon)$ and for (x_s, y_s) in the domain $\mathscr{D}[\varepsilon, e]$ such that $|y_s| \leqslant \kappa\,|e|$, the function $X_u(x_s, y_s)$ introduced in (274) satisfies the following estimates:*

$$|X_u(x_s, y_s)| \geqslant C_\varepsilon\,|y_s|\,|e|^{-\overline{\Lambda}}, \tag{282}$$

$$C'_\varepsilon \frac{1}{|x_s|^2}\,|e|^{-\widehat{\Lambda}+1} \geqslant |\partial_{x_s} X_u(x_s, y_s)| \geqslant C_\varepsilon\,|y_s|\,|e|^{-\overline{\Lambda}}, \tag{283}$$

and if $|x_s| \geqslant \xi(\varepsilon)$:

$$|\partial_{y_s} X_u(x_s, y_s)| \geqslant C_\varepsilon\,|e|^{-\overline{\Lambda}}. \tag{284}$$

Proof. We can obviously assume that $\varepsilon_0 < 1$ and $|\phi| < 1$ so that (274) yields

$$\varepsilon^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\,\frac{|y_s|}{|x_s|}\,|\,\phi(y_s)|^{-\overline{\Lambda}} \leqslant |X_u(x_s, y_s)| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}\,\frac{|y_s|}{|x_s|}\,|\,\phi(y_s)|^{-\widehat{\Lambda}}.$$

We assume first that $\kappa < 1/2\lambda_1$, so with the upper bound (262) we easily get the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{4\lambda_2\varepsilon}\,|e| \leqslant |\phi(y_s)| \leqslant \frac{2}{\lambda_2\varepsilon}\,|e| \tag{285}$$

for $|e| \leqslant \overline{e}_0$, and (282) follows easily with $e(\varepsilon) = \mathrm{Min}\,(\overline{e}_0, \lambda_2\varepsilon/2)$. The derivatives of X_u read

$$\partial_{x_s} X_u(x_s, y_s) = -\varepsilon^{\Lambda(y_s,e)}\frac{y_s}{x_s^2}\,|\,\phi(y_s)|^{-\Lambda(y_s,e)}\,, \tag{286}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_{y_s} X_u(x_s, y_s) & = & \frac{\varepsilon^{\Lambda(y_s,e)}}{x_s}\big[\,|\,\phi(y_s)| - \Lambda(y_s,e)\,y_s\,|\phi|'\,(y_s)\big]\,|\,\phi(y_s)|^{-(\Lambda(y_s,e)+1)} \\ & + & \partial_{y_s}\Lambda(y_s,e)\big(\mathrm{Ln}\,\varepsilon - \mathrm{Ln}\,\,|\,\phi(y_s)|\,\big)X_u(x_s,y_s). \end{array} \tag{287}$$

The estimates (283) are also immediate from (285). To prove (284) observe first that by Lemma F.4:

$$|\phi|'\,(y_s) \leqslant \frac{\widehat{\Lambda}}{\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore, by (285), for $\kappa > 0$ small enough, there exists a positive constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\big||\phi(y_s)| - \Lambda(y_s)\,y_s\,|\phi|'\,(y_s)\big| \geqslant c\,|e|\,. \tag{288}$$

The estimate (284) immediately follows from (287), (288) and (282). □

3. The following lemma will enable us to make precise the localization of our horseshoes. We keep the notation of Lemma F.2.

Lemma F.6. *Fix a constant $\kappa_0 > 0$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $e_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $|e| \leqslant e_0$ the subset $\mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e]$ of $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon, e]$ defined by*

$$\mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e] = \Big\{(x_s, y_s) \in \Sigma^s[\varepsilon, e] \mid |x_s| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}},\ |X_u(x_s, y_s)| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}\Big\}$$

is contained in the horizontal strip $|y_s| \leqslant \kappa_0 e$. Moreover, given $0 < c < 1$, the set

$$\mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e, c] = \Big\{(x_s, y_s) \in \Sigma^s[\varepsilon, e] \mid c\varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}} < |x_s| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}},\ |X_u(x_s, y_s)| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}\Big\}$$

is bounded above and below by two $\mu(e)$ horizontal curves over $c\varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}} < |x_s| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}$, with $\mu(e) \to 0$ when $e \to 0$.

Proof. Assume that $|y_s| > \kappa_0\,|e|$. Then $|\lambda_1 y_s - e| \leqslant (\lambda_1 + \kappa^{-1})\,|y_s|$ and, with the notation of (262), $|\overline{\chi}(y_s, e)| \leqslant |y_s|$ for e small enough. As a consequence

$$|\phi(y_s, e)| \leqslant \frac{c}{\varepsilon}\,|y_s|$$

with $c = \frac{1}{\lambda_2}(\lambda_1 + \kappa^{-1}) + 1$. Hence, if $|x_s| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}$,

$$|X_u(y_s, e)| \geqslant \varepsilon^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\frac{|y_s|}{\varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}}\Big(\frac{c}{\varepsilon}\,|y_s|\Big)^{-\overline{\Lambda}} = c^{-\overline{\Lambda}}\varepsilon^{\widehat{\Lambda}}\,|y_s|^{1-\overline{\Lambda}} \geqslant c^{-\widehat{\Lambda}}\varepsilon^{\widehat{\Lambda}+2(1-\overline{\Lambda})}$$

since $|y_s| \leqslant \varepsilon^2$. Now recall that we have assumed from the beginning that $\widehat{\Lambda} - \overline{\Lambda} < 2(\overline{\Lambda} - 1)$ (see (257)). Therefore $\widehat{\Lambda} + 2(1 - \overline{\Lambda}) < \overline{\Lambda}$ and

$$c^{-\widehat{\Lambda}}\varepsilon^{\widehat{\Lambda}+2(1-\overline{\Lambda})} \geqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}$$

for ε small enough, which proves our first claim. The second one is an immediate consequence of the Implicit Function Theorem and estimates (283) and (284). □

We can finally prove Lemma 4.1, which we recall here in an explicit form.

Lemma F.7. *Let $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell)$ be a positive polyhomoclinic orbit. We fix an admissible $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the estimates (269) and (270) are satified for the exit points $a_i = (\xi_i, 0)$ and $b_i = (\eta_i, 0)$ of Ω_i relatively to $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ and $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$. Then, with the usual cyclic order*

$$\sigma(b_i) = \sigma(a_{i+1}),$$

so that Ω is compatible.

Proof. There exists a sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ of orbits with energies $e_n > 0$, which converges to Ω. So, for n large enough, $\Gamma_n \cap \Sigma^u = \{a_1^n, \dots, a_p^n\}$ and $\Gamma_n \cap \Sigma^s = \{b_1^n, \dots, b_p^n\}$ with the following cyclic order

$$a_1^n < b_1^n < a_2^n < b_2^n < \cdots < a_p^n < b_p^n,$$

according to the orientation on Γ_n induced by the flow, and moreover

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_i^n = a_i, \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} b_i^n = b_i.$$

In particular, for n large enough, the signs $\sigma(a_i^n)$ and $\sigma(b_i^n)$ are well-defined and equal to $\sigma(a_i)$ and $\sigma(b_i)$ respectively. Let us set $b_i^n = (\eta_i^n, y_i^n)$ in the coordinate system of (the appropriate part of) $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon, e_n]$. For n large enough, b_i^n belongs to the domain of Φ_{in}, $a_{i+1}^n = \Phi_{in}(b_i^n)$ and their coordinates satisfy

$$|\eta_i^n| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}, \quad \left|\xi_{i+1}^n\right| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}.$$

By Lemma F.6, these inequalities prove that $|y_i^n| < \kappa e_n$ and since $\kappa < 1/2\lambda_1$, this shows by (281) and Lemma F.4 that

$$\operatorname{sgn} \phi_{\varepsilon,\sigma(b_i^n)}(y_i^n, e_n) = \sigma(b_i^n).$$

Finally, by (276) and Lemma F.2, this proves that

$$\sigma(a_{i+1}^n) = \sigma\big(\Phi_{in}(b_i^n)\big) = \sigma(b_i^n)$$

which finally yields our result by taking the limit $n \to \infty$. □

F.4 Proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2

Here we first examine the combinatorics of horseshoes associated with two homoclinic orbits, according to their exit data, from which the proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 easily follows.

F.4.1 Construction of the parametrized horseshoes

Here we fix two homoclinic orbits Ω_0 and Ω_1 and we fix an admissible $\varepsilon_a > 0$. For $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_a$, $\Sigma^u[\varepsilon]$ and $\Sigma^s[\varepsilon]$ are exit and entrance sections for Ω_i, relatively to which the exit and entrance points are well-defined. We denote them by $a_i = (\xi_i, 0)$ and $b_i = (\eta_i, 0)$ respectively (recall that both depend on ε).

In the following we *fix* $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that there exists $e_0 > 0$ for which Lemma F.5 and Lemma F.6 apply to each $e \in]-e_0, e_0[$, with the choice

$$\xi := \xi(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname*{Min}_i |\xi_i|. \tag{289}$$

in Lemma F.5, and the constant κ_0 of Lemma F.6 chosen equal to the constant κ of Lemma F.5.

1. The images of verticals curves by the inner map. We begin with the behavior of the inner map with respect to vertical curves in the entrance section. We refer to the appendix for the definition of horizontal and vertical curves.

Lemma F.8. *Fix $\mu_* > 0$ and consider a family $(v_e)_{|e|<e_0}$ of μ_*–vertical curves over some fixed interval I containing 0 in $\Sigma^{s*}_\sigma[\varepsilon, e]$. Then there exists $0 < e_1 < e_0$ such that for $|e| < e_1$, the image $\Phi_{in}\big(v_e \cap \mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e]\big)$ is contained in the section $\Sigma^u_{\sigma'}[\varepsilon, e]$, with $\sigma' = \operatorname{sgn}(e)\sigma$. Moreover, in this section, the intersection*

$$\Phi_{in}\big(v_e \cap \mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e]\big) \cap \Big\{(x_u, y_u) \mid |x_u| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}\Big\}$$

is a $\mu(e)$–horizontal curve over the interval $|x_u| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}$, with $\mu(e) \to 0$ when $e \to 0$.

Proof. As usual we use the same notation for a curve and its underlying function, so that

$$L_e := \Phi_{in}\big(\widetilde{v}_e \cap \mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e]\big) = \Big\{\big(X_u\big(v_e(t), t\big) \mid t \in I,\ \big(v_e(t), t\big) \in \mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e]\Big\}.$$

This is a curve contained in $\Sigma^u_{\sigma'}[\varepsilon, e]$ by Lemma F.6. Moreover, the slope $s_e(t)$ of L_e at $\ell_e(t)$ satisfies

$$|s_e(t)| = \frac{1}{\big|\partial_x X_u(\zeta(t), t)\zeta_h'(t) + \partial_y X_u(\zeta(t), t)\big|} \leqslant \frac{1}{|\partial_y X_u(\zeta(t), t)| - \mu^* \,|\partial_x X_u(\zeta(t), t)|}$$

and since $\mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e]$ is contained in the strip $|y_s| \leqslant \kappa\,|e|$, Lemma F.4 proves that $|s_e(t)|$ converges uniformly to 0 when $e \to 0$. Observe finally that by the second claim of Lemma F.6, since v_e is a μ_* vertical curve, then for $|e|$ small enough it transversely intersects the horizontal curves of the boundary of $\mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e]$ and the set of $t \in I$ such that $\big(v_e(t), t\big) \in \mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e]$ is an interval containing 0. This proves that L_e is connected and intersects the vertical segments $|x_u| = \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}$. As a consequence, by the previous estimate of the slope, L_e is a $\mu(e)$ horizontal curve in the rectangle $\{|x_u| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}\}$, with $\mu(e) \to 0$ when $e \to 0$. □

2. Rectangles and intersection conditions. We will now apply the previous lemma to get our horseshoe. To simplify the notation, given $D \subset \Sigma^u$, we write $\Phi(D)$ for the image by Φ of the intersection of D with the domain of definition of Φ, with a similar convention for all the maps involved in the construction.

Lemma F.9. *Fix $0 < \delta < |\xi|$ (where ξ was defined in (289)) and fix $0 < \delta' < \varepsilon^2$. Consider the rectangles*

$$R_i(e) = R[\xi_i, \delta, \delta', e] \subset \Sigma^{u*}_{\sigma(a_i)}[\varepsilon, e], \qquad i = 0, 1.$$

Then the pair (R_0, R_1) satisfies the intersection condition (see Definition 12) for the Poincaré map $\Phi = \Phi_{in} \circ \Phi_{out}$. More precisely:

- *if $\sigma(a_{i'}) = \operatorname{sgn}(e)\sigma(b_i)$, $\Phi(R_i(e)) \cap R_{i'}(e)$ is a $\mu(e)$ horizontal strip in $R_{i'}(e)$;*
- *if $\sigma(a_{i'}) = -\operatorname{sgn}(e)\sigma(b_i)$, $\Phi(R_i(e)) \cap R_{i'}(e) = \varnothing$.*

Proof. Observe first that for $|e|$ small enough the image $\Phi_{out}(R_i(e))$ is a "rectangle" contained in $\Sigma^s_{\sigma(b_i}[\varepsilon, e]$. Moreover, the images of the horizontals of $R_i(e)$ are curves which transversely intersect the axis $\{y_s = 0\}$, whose intersection with the domain $\mathscr{E}[\varepsilon, e]$ are μ_* vertical curves for a suitable μ_*. Therefore, by Lemma F.2,

$$\Phi(R_i(e)) \subset \Sigma^u_{\sigma'}[\varepsilon, e], \qquad \sigma' = (\operatorname{sgn}(e)\sigma(b_i)),$$

and, by Lemma F.8, $\Phi(R_i(e))$ is a $\mu(e)$-horizontal strip in $\{(x_u, y_u) \mid |x_u| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}\}$, defined over the whole interval $|x_u| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\overline{\Lambda}}$, with $\mu(e) \to 0$ when $e \to 0$. This proves our claim for $|e|$ small enough. □

3. Sector and hyperbolicity. We will now prove that the sector conditions and hyperbolicity constraints of Definition 12 hold true in the rectangles we considered above. We begin with a lemma on the derivative of the Poincaré map Φ. Let us write the matrix of the derivative of Φ_{out} at the point a_i relative to the coordinates (x_u, y_u) and (x_s, y_s) in the form

$$D_{a_i}\Phi_{out} = \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & q_0 \\ r_0 & s_0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{290}$$

with $p_0 s_0 - q_0 r_0 \neq 0$ and $r_0 \neq 0$ (since $\Phi_{out}(W^u_\ell(O))$ is transverse to $W^s_\ell(O)$).

Lemma F.10. *For δ and δ' small enough, for $m \in R[\xi, \delta, \delta'; e]$, the map $D_m\Phi$ admits two real eigenvalues $\lambda^-(m), \lambda^+(m)$ with*

$$\lambda^-(m,e) \sim_{e\to 0} r(m)\,\partial_{y_s} X_u(\Phi_{in}(m))$$
$$\lambda^+(m,e) \sim_{e\to 0} \frac{(p(m)r(m) - q(m)s(m))\partial_{x_s} X_u(\Phi_{in}(m))}{r(m)\,\partial_{y_s} X_u(\Phi_{in}(m))},$$

uniformly with respect to m. In particular

$$\lambda^-(m,e) \to +\infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \lambda^+(m,e) \to 0 \quad \textit{when} \quad e \to 0,$$

uniformly with respect to m. The associated eigenlines are spanned by the vectors

$$w^-(m,e) = \Big(\frac{1}{r(m)}\big(\lambda^h_e - s(m)\big), 1\Big), \qquad w^+(m,e) = \Big(\frac{1}{r(m)}\big(\lambda^v_e - s(m)\big), 1\Big).$$

The line $\mathbb{R}\,w^-(m,e)$ converges to the line $\{y_u = 0\} \subset \Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e]$, while the line $\mathbb{R}\,w^+(m,e)$ converges to $\Phi_{out}(\{y_s = 0\}) \subset \Sigma^u[\varepsilon, e]$ when $e \to 0$, uniformly with respect to m.

Proof. For $m = (x_u, y_u) \in R_i$,

$$D_m\Phi_{out} = \begin{bmatrix} p(m) & q(m) \\ r(m) & s(m) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_0 & q_0 \\ r_0 & s_0 \end{bmatrix} + o(\delta, \delta'). \tag{291}$$

We can therefore assume that $ps - qr$ and r are bounded below by positive constants Δ and ρ over the rectangle R_i. Now the derivative of the Poincaré map Φ reads

$$D_m\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} p(m)\,\partial_{x_s} X_u + r(m)\,\partial_{y_s} X_u & q(m)\,\partial_{x_s} X_u + s(m)\,\partial_{y_s} X_u \\ r(m) & s(m) \end{bmatrix} \tag{292}$$

where the derivatives of X_u are computed at $\Phi_{in}(m)$. The trace of $D_m\Phi$ satisfies

$$|\mathrm{Tr}_e(m)| = |p(m)\,\partial_{x_s} X_u + r(m)\,\partial_{y_s} X_u + s(m)| \geqslant C\,|e|^{-\overline{\Lambda}} \tag{293}$$

for a suitable constant $C > 0$, since $r(m) \geqslant \rho > 0$, by Lemma F.5. The determinant of $D_m\Phi$ satisfies

$$\Delta_e(m) = (pr - qs)\partial_{x_s} X_u = o(\mathrm{Tr}_e(m)), \tag{294}$$

By standard computation, one immediately gets the estimates

$$\lambda^h_e(m) \sim_{e\to 0} \mathrm{Tr}_e(m), \qquad \lambda^v_e(m) \sim_{e\to 0} \frac{\Delta_e(m)}{\mathrm{Tr}_e(m)}, \tag{295}$$

which proves our first claim. The second one on the eigenvectors is immediate. Finally, the convergence of the line $\mathbb{R}\,w^-(m,e)$ to the line $\{y_u = 0\}$ is immediate, while the convergence of the line $\mathbb{R}\,w^+(m,e)$ to $\Phi_{out}(\{y_s = 0\})$ is proved by a completely analogous reasoning on Φ^{-1}, using now Lemma F.3 (the uniformity with respect to m comes from the compactness of the domain and range of the various maps). □

Observe that the line $\{y_u = 0\} \subset \Sigma[\varepsilon, e]$ converges to $W^u_\ell \cap \Sigma^u[\varepsilon, 0]$, while the curve $\Phi^{-1}_{out}(\{y_s = 0\})$ converges to $\Phi^{-1}_{out}(W^s_\ell \cap \Sigma^s[\varepsilon, 0])$ (where the sections are endowed with the appropriate sign). Note also that $\Phi^{-1}_{out}(W^s_\ell \cap \Sigma^s[\varepsilon, 0])$ is nothing but (some connected component of) the intersection $W^s \cap \Sigma^s[\varepsilon, 0]$.

Lemma F.11. *For $m \in R_i$, the sectors S^h_m and S^v_m in $T_m\Sigma^u(e)$ defined by*

$$S^h_z = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\eta| \leqslant \mu_e |\xi|\}, \qquad S^v_z = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\xi| \leqslant \mu_e |\eta|\}$$

satisfy the stability condition and the dilatation conditions of Definition 12 with

$$\mu_e = \frac{1}{2 \operatorname*{Max}_{m \in R_i} \mathrm{Tr}_e(m)}.$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the form of the eigenvectors. □

F.4.2 Proof of Theorem 4.1

This will be an immediate consequence of Lemmas F.9, F.10, F.11. We fix a compatible polyhomoclinic orbit $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_\ell)$ and keep the previous assumptions and notation for the sections and the entrance and exit points. In particular

$$\sigma(b_i) = \sigma(a_{i+1})$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$, with the cyclic order. By Lemma F.9, the transition matrix of the horseshoe satisfies

$$\alpha(i, i+1) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell.$$

The existence and hyperbolicity of the horseshoe come from Lemma F.10 and Lemma F.11. The statement on $m(e)$ is a direct consequence of Lemma F.10 applied to the iterate Φ^ℓ. Theorem 4.1 is proved.

F.4.3 Proof of Theorem 4.2

Now Ω_0 and Ω_1 are compatible and satisfy the sign condition (172). We will work at negative energies, therefore, by Lemma F.9

$$\sigma(a^0) = \sigma(b^0), \qquad \sigma(a^1) = \sigma(b^1), \qquad \sigma(a^0) = -\sigma(a^1).$$

One immediately checks that the transition matrix of the horseshoe reads

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The statement on the existence and hyperbolicity of the horseshoe immediately comes from Lemma F.10 and Lemma F.11, while the statement on the periodic point $m(e)$ is a direct consequence of Lemma F.10 applied to Φ^2. Theorem 4.2 is proved.

G A reminder on horseshoes

We will need some additional definitions concerning horseshoes and hyperbolic dynamics. We will follow the approach of Moser in [Mos01], which is perfectly adapted to our two–dimensional situation. See also [KH95] for a more general point of view.

1. Consider a rectangular subset R of $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the form $R = I^h \times I^v$, where I^h and I^v are two compact nontrivial intervals of $\mathbb{R}$. Given $\mu > 0$, a *μ–horizontal curve* is the graph of a μ–Lipschitzian map $c^h : I^h \to I^v$, while a *μ–vertical curve* is the graph of a μ–Lipschitzian map $c^v : I^v \to I^h$. A μ–horizontal strip is a subset of R limited by two nonintersecting horizontal curves, that is a set of the form

$$\{(x, y) \in R \mid c^h(x) \leqslant y \leqslant d^h(x)\}$$

where c^h and d^h are two μ–Lipschitzian maps satisfying $c^h(x) < d^h(x)$ for $x \in I^h$. One defines similarly the μ–vertical strips.



Figure 17: Horizontal and vertical strips

2. The definition of a horseshoe we use here is from [Mos01]

Definition 12. *Consider a finite family of rectangles $R_i = I_i^h \times I_i^v$, $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$, in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and let Φ be a C^1–diffeomorphism defined on a neighborhood of $R = R_0 \cup \cdots \cup R_m$. We say that R is a horseshoe for Φ when there exists $\mu > 0$ such that*

1. *for $(i, j) \in \{0, \dots, m\}^2$, $\Phi(R_i) \cap R_j$ is either $\varnothing$ or a μ–horizontal strip H_{ij} and $\Phi^{-1}(R_j) \cap R_i$ is either $\varnothing$ or a μ–vertical strip V_{ij}, so that $\Phi(V_{ij}) = H_{ij}$;*
2. *for each $z \in I = \big(\cup_{ij} H_{ij}\big) \cap \big(\cup_{ij} V_{ij}\big)$, there exists a sector $S_z^h \subset T_z\mathbb{R}^2 \sim \mathbb{R}^2$, of the form*

$$S_z^h = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\eta| \leqslant \mu\,|\xi|\},$$

which satisfies the stability condition $D_z\Phi(S_z^h) \subset S_{\Phi(z)}$ for all $z \in H$ together with the dilatation condition

$$\forall (\xi, \eta) \in S_z^h, \quad \text{setting} \quad D_z\Phi(\xi, \eta) = (\xi', \eta'), \quad \text{then} \quad \big|\xi'\big| \geqslant \mu^{-1}\,|\xi|\,;$$

3. *for each $z \in I$, there exists a sector $S_z^v \subset T_z\mathbb{R}^2$, of the form*

$$S_z^v = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\xi| \leqslant \mu\,|\eta|\},$$

which satisfies the stability condition $D_z\Phi^{-1}(S_z^v) \subset S^v_{\Phi^{-1}(z)}$ for all $z \in V$ together with the dilatation condition

$$\forall (\xi, \eta) \in S_z^v, \quad \text{setting} \quad D_z\Phi^{-1}(\xi, \eta) = (\xi', \eta'), \quad \text{then} \quad \big|\eta'\big| \geqslant \mu^{-1}\,|\eta|\,;$$

4. for all $z \in V$, $|\det D_z\Phi| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-2}$ *and for all* $z \in H$, $\left|\det D_z\Phi^{-1}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-2}$.

Given a horseshoe $R = (R_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant m}$ for Φ, one defines its *transition matrix* as the matrix $A = \big(\alpha(i,j)\big) \in M_m(\{0,1\})$ whose coefficient $\alpha(i,j)$ is 0 when $H_{ij} = \varnothing$ and is 1 when $H_{ij} \neq \varnothing$. Given such a transition matrix $A = \big(\alpha(i,j)\big)$, one defines as usual the A–admissible subset $\mathscr{S}_A$ of $\{0,\dots,m\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$(s_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathscr{S}_A \Longleftrightarrow \alpha(s_k, s_{k+1}) = 1, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

3. We can now set out the main result on horseshoes.

Theorem [Mos01]. *Let* $R = (R_k)_{1\leqslant k\leqslant m}$ *be a horseshoe for the* C^1 *diffeomorphism* Φ*, with transition matrix* A*. Equip* $\mathscr{S}_A$ *with the induced product topology and let*

$$\mathscr{I} = \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \Phi^{-k}(R)$$

be the the maximal invariant set for Φ *contained in* R*. Then there exists a homeomorphism* $\mathscr{C} : \mathscr{S}_A \to \mathscr{I}$ *such that* $\mathscr{C} \circ \sigma = \Phi \circ \mathscr{C}$*, where* σ *stands for the right Bernoulli subshift on* $\mathscr{S}_A$*, defined by*

$$\sigma\big((s_k)\big)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} = (\bar{s}_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}, \qquad \bar{s}_k = s_{k+1}.$$

Morover, the invariant set $\mathscr{I}$ *is hyperbolic in the sense that there exists two continuous line bundles* L^h *and* L^v *defined over* $\mathscr{I}$ *and invariant under* $D\Phi$*, such that for all* $z \in \mathscr{I}$*:*

$$\|D_z\Phi(\zeta)\| \geqslant \mu^{-1}\|\zeta\|, \ \forall \zeta \in L^h_z, \qquad \|D_z\Phi^{-1}(\zeta)\| \geqslant \mu^{-1}\|\zeta\|, \ \forall \zeta \in L^v_z.$$

Finally, let us notice that one can be more explicit for the coding of points of $\mathscr{I}$ by sequences induced by $\mathscr{C}$. Namely, for $m \in \mathscr{I}$:

$$\mathscr{C}(m) = (s_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \Longleftrightarrow \Phi^k(m) \in R_{s_k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

References

[AR67] Ralph Abraham et Joel Robbin : *Transversal mappings and flows.* An appendix by Al Kelley. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1967.

[Arn64] V. I. Arnol′d : Instability of dynamical systems with many degrees of freedom. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 156:9–12, 1964.

[Arn94] V. I. Arnol′d : Mathematical problems in classical physics. *In Trends and perspectives in applied mathematics*, volume 100 de *Appl. Math. Sci.*, pages 1–20. Springer, New York, 1994.

[Art91] Michael Artin : *Algebra.* Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1991.

[Ban88] V. Bangert : Mather sets for twist maps and geodesics on tori. *In Dynamics reported, Vol. 1*, volume 1 de *Dynam. Report. Ser. Dynam. Systems Appl.*, pages 1–56. Wiley, Chichester, 1988.

[1] BB03 M.L. Betotti, S. Bolotin : Chaotic trajectories for natural systems on a torus. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 9:2003.

[BB13] Pierre Berger et Abed Bounemoura : A geometrical proof of the persistence of normally hyperbolic submanifolds. *Dyn. Syst.*, 28(4):567–581, 2013.

[Ber00] Patrick Bernard : Homoclinic orbits to invariant sets of quasi-integrable exact maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 20(6):1583–1601, 2000.

[Ber08] Patrick Bernard : The dynamics of pseudographs in convex Hamiltonian systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(3):615–669, 2008.

[Ber10a] Pierre Berger : Persistence of laminations. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 41(2): 259–319, 2010.

[Ber10b] Patrick Bernard : Large normally hyperbolic cylinders in a priori stable Hamiltonian systems. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 11(5):929–942, 2010.

[BK87] David Bernstein et Anatole Katok : Birkhoff periodic orbits for small perturbations of completely integrable Hamiltonian systems with convex Hamiltonians. *Invent. Math.*, 88(2):225–241, 1987.

[BK02] G. R. Belitskii et A. Ya. Kopanskii : Equivariant Sternberg-Chen theorem. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, 14(2):349–367, 2002.

[BKZ] Patrick Bernard et Vadim Kaloshin et Ke Zhang : Arnold diffusion in arbitrary degrees of freedom and crumpled 3-dimensional normally hyperbolic invariant cylinders. arXiv :1112.2773

[Bol78] S. V. Bolotin : Libration motions of natural dynamical systems. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.*, (6):72–77, 1978.

[Bol83] S. V. Bolotin : Existence of homoclinic motions. *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, (6):117–123, 1983.

[Bou10] Abed Bounemoura : Nekhoroshev estimates for finitely differentiable quasi-convex Hamiltonians. *J. Differential Equations*, 249(11):2905–2920, 2010.

[BR02] S. V. Bolotin et P. H. Rabinowitz : Some geometrical conditions for the existence of chaotic geodesics on a torus. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 22(5):1407–1428, 2002.

[BT99] S. Bolotin et D. Treschev : Unbounded growth of energy in nonautonomous Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinearity*, 12(2):365–388, 1999.

[C] Chong-Qing Cheng : Arnold diffusion in nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems. ArXiv : 1207.4016

[Car95] M. J. Dias Carneiro : On minimizing measures of the action of autonomous Lagrangians. *Nonlinearity*, 8(6):1077–1085, 1995.

[Cha86] Marc Chaperon : Géométrie différentielle et singularités de systèmes dynamiques. *Astérisque*, (138-139):440, 1986.

[Cha04] Marc Chaperon : Stable manifolds and the Perron-Irwin method. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 24(5):1359–1394, 2004.

[Cha08] Marc Chaperon : The Lipschitzian core of some invariant manifold theorems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 28(5):1419–1441, 2008.

[CY09] Chong-Qing Cheng et Jun Yan : Arnold diffusion in Hamiltonian systems: a priori unstable case. *J. Differential Geom.*, 82(2):229–277, 2009.

[DdlLS00] Amadeu Delshams, Rafael de la Llave et Tere M. Seara : A geometric approach to the existence of orbits with unbounded energy in generic periodic perturbations by a potential of generic geodesic flows of $\mathbf{T}^2$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 209(2):353–392, 2000.

[DdlLS06a] Amadeu Delshams, Rafael de la Llave et Tere M. Seara : A geometric mechanism for diffusion in Hamiltonian systems overcoming the large gap problem: heuristics and rigorous verification on a model. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 179(844):viii+141, 2006.

[DdlLS06b] Amadeu Delshams, Rafael de la Llave et Tere M. Seara : Orbits of unbounded energy in quasi-periodic perturbations of geodesic flows. *Adv. Math.*, 202(1):64–188, 2006.

[Den89] Bo Deng : The Šil′nikov problem, exponential expansion, strong λ-lemma, C^1-linearization, and homoclinic bifurcation. *J. Differential Equations*, 79(2):189–231, 1989.

[DH09] Amadeu Delshams et Gemma Huguet : Geography of resonances and Arnold diffusion in a priori unstable Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinearity*, 22(8):1997–2077, 2009.

[Fa0] Albert Fathi : Weak KAM theory. *Unpublished manuscript.*

[FM03] Ernest Fontich et Pau Martín : Hamiltonian systems with orbits covering densely submanifolds of small codimension. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 52(1):315–327, 2003.

[GdlL06] Marian Gidea et Rafael de la Llave : Topological methods in the instability problem of Hamiltonian systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 14(2):295–328, 2006.

[GLS] Marian Gidea et Rafael de la Llaveet Tere Seara : A General Mechanism of Diffusion in Hamiltonian Systems: Qualitative Results. ArXiv : 1405.0866

[GM] Marian Gidea et Jean-Pierre Marco : Diffusion orbits along chains of hyperbolic cylinders. ArXiv

[Gou07] Nikolaz Gourmelon : Adapted metrics for dominated splittings. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 27(6):1839–1849, 2007.

[GR13] Marian Gidea et Clark Robinson : Diffusion along transition chains of invariant tori and Aubry-Mather sets. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 33(5):1401–1449, 2013.

[GT] Vassily Gelfreich et Dmitry Turaev : Arnold Diffusion in a priory chaotic Hamiltonian systems. arXiv : 1406.2945

[Her83] Michael-R. Herman : *Sur les courbes invariantes par les difféomorphismes de l'anneau. Vol. 1*, volume 103 de *Astérisque*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1983. With an appendix by Albert Fathi, With an English summary.

[Her86] Michael-R. Herman : Sur les courbes invariantes par les difféomorphismes de l'anneau. Vol. 2. *Astérisque*, (144):248, 1986. With a correction to: ıt On the curves invariant under diffeomorphisms of the annulus, Vol. 1 (French) [Astérisque No. 103-104, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983; MR0728564 (85m:58062)].

[HPS77] M. W. Hirsch, C. C. Pugh et M. Shub : *Invariant manifolds*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 583. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.

[KH95] Anatole Katok et Boris Hasselblatt : *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, volume 54 de *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. With a supplementary chapter by Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.

[KZ] Vadim Kaloshin et Ke Zhang : A strong form of arnold diffusion for two and a half degrees of freedom.

[LC86] Patrice Le Calvez : Existence d'orbites quasi-périodiques dans les attracteurs de Birkhoff. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 106(3):383–394, 1986.

[LC87] Patrice Le Calvez : Propriétés dynamiques des régions d'instabilité. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 20(3):443–464, 1987.

[LC91] Patrice Le Calvez : Propriétés dynamiques des difféomorphismes de l'anneau et du tore. *Astérisque*, (204):131, 1991.

[LC07] Patrice Le Calvez : Drift orbits for families of twist maps of the annulus. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 27(3):869–879, 2007.

[LM] Laurent Lazzarini et Jean-Pierre Marco : More hyperbolic kam tori in nearly-integrable systems. *¡hal-01172729¿*, :2015.

[LMS] Pierre Lochak, Jean-Pierre Marco, David Sauzin: Measure and capacity of wandering domains in Gevrey near-integrable exact symplectic systems. *In preparation*

[Mar] Jean-Pierre Marco : Arnold diffusion for cusp-generic nearly integrable systems on A^3. *Preprint on ArXiv*

[Mar98] Jean-Pierre Marco : Dynamics in the vicinity of double resonances. *In Proceedings of the IV Catalan Days of Applied Mathematics (Tarragona, 1998)*, pages 123–137. Univ. Rovira Virgili, Tarragona, 1998.

[Mar08] Jean-Pierre Marco : Modèles pour les applications fibrées et les polysystèmes. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 346(3-4):203–208, 2008.

[Mat91] John N. Mather : Action minimizing invariant measures for positive definite Lagrangian systems. *Math. Z.*, 207(2):169–207, 1991.

[Mat03] John Mather : Arnol′d diffusion. I. Announcement of results. *Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl.*, 2:116–130, 2003.

[Mat10] John N. Mather : Order structure on action minimizing orbits. *In Symplectic topology and measure preserving dynamical systems*, volume 512 de *Contemp. Math.*, pages 41–125. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[Moe02] Richard Moeckel : Generic drift on Cantor sets of annuli. *In Celestial mechanics (Evanston, IL, 1999)*, volume 292 de *Contemp. Math.*, pages 163–171. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[Mos01] Jürgen Moser : *Stable and random motions in dynamical systems*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. With special emphasis on celestial mechanics, Reprint of the 1973 original, With a foreword by Philip J. Holmes.

[MS] Jean-Pierre Marco et Lara Sabbagh : Examples of nearly integrable systems on $\mathbb{A}^3$ with asymptotically dense projected orbits.

[Neh79] N. N. Nehorošev : An exponential estimate of the time of stability of nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems. II. *Trudy Sem. Petrovsk.*, (5):5–50, 1979.

[NP12] Meysam Nassiri et Enrique R. Pujals : Robust transitivity in Hamiltonian dynamics. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 45(2):191–239, 2012.

[Oli08] Elismar R. Oliveira : Generic properties of Lagrangians on surfaces: the Kupka-Smale theorem. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 21(2):551–569, 2008.

[Pal68] J. Palis : On Morse-Smale dynamical systems. *Topology*, 8:385–404, 1968.

[Poi87] H. Poincaré : *Les méthodes nouvelles de la mécanique céleste. Tome III.* Les Grands Classiques Gauthier-Villars. Librairie Scientifique et Technique Albert Blanchard, Paris, 1987.

[Pös93] Jürgen Pöschel : Nekhoroshev estimates for quasi-convex Hamiltonian systems. *Math. Z.*, 213(2):187–216, 1993.

[Rud91] Walter Rudin : *Functional analysis*. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, second édition, 1991.

[Sab15] Lara Sabbagh : An inclination lemma for normally hyperbolic manifolds with an application to diffusion. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 35(7):2269–2291, 2015.

[Sor] Alfonso Sorrentino. Lecture notes on Mather's theory for Lagrangian systems. ArXiv : 1011.0590

[Tak83] Floris Takens : Mechanical and gradient systems; local perturbations and generic properties. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.*, 14(2):147–162, 1983.

[Tre04] D. Treschev : Evolution of slow variables in a priori unstable Hamiltonian systems. *Nonlinearity*, 17(5):1803–1841, 2004.

[TS89] D. V. Turaev et L. P. Shil′nikov : Hamiltonian systems with homoclinic saddle curves. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 304(4):811–814, 1989.

[Yan] Dennis Guang Yang : An invariant manifold theory for odes and its applications. ArXiv : 0909.1103

[Zeh76] Eduard Zehnder : Moser's implicit function theorem in the framework of analytic smoothing. *Math. Ann.*, 219(2):105–121, 1976.